

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 25 March 2022 09:24
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Glossary / consistent terminology

CAUTION: This email originated from outside ECHA. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Check the email address of the sender. It is possible that the name of the sender is known to you (e.g. a colleague), but the actual sender is someone else.

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you very much for sending the list and for the clarification.

Best regards,

On 24 Mar 2022, at 17:13, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

Please find in the attachment the list of abbreviations we used in the PFAS in FFF proposal. It contains also many abbreviations of substance names but only those which are used in the text. Those which are only in tables are not included in the list.

Best regards,

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 March 2022 08:38
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Glossary / consistent terminology

Dear [REDACTED]

We should finish the complete glossary for the PFAS in FFF next week. Then I will send you the list.

Best regards,

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 03 March 2022 16:58

To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Glossary / consistent terminology

CAUTION: This email originated from outside ECHA. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Check the email address of the sender. It is possible that the name of the sender is known to you (e.g. a colleague), but the actual sender is someone else.

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you very much for the list. This is very useful indeed.

I would very much appreciate if you send me the revised list as well. The reason is that we can start using it to make terminology consistent throughout the UPFAS restriction proposal.

Thank you so much in advance.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

On 2 Mar 2022, at 12:08, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

On 4 February we received the UPFAS abbreviations from [REDACTED]. Please find the file in the attachment. That was our starting point. We have revised the list according to the content of the restriction proposal on PFAS in FFF and it is included now in the dossier. We are going still to continue work on it until launching the third party consultations on 23 March.

If you need I can send to you also our revised list.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Unit ND3 – Risk Management I
European Chemicals Agency
P.O. Box 400, FI-00121 Helsinki, Finland
Tel. [REDACTED]

<http://echa.europa.eu/>

[<image001.png>](#) [<image002.png>](#) [<image003.png>](#) [<image004.png>](#)

The above represents the opinion of the author and is not an official position of the European Chemicals Agency. This email, including any files attached to it, is intended for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify the author as soon as possible and delete the message.

<Abbreviations.xlsx>

