

Affordability of Science

While it is laudatory that Daniel E. Koshland, Jr. (Editorial, 29 June, p. 1593), calls upon scientists to advocate the full funding of science, the issue cannot be helped by his comparing the affordability of the scientific enterprise to that of the savings and loan (S&L) fiasco. Perhaps we are a "country that can squander hundreds of billions of dollars" on an S&L bailout in the sense that we were able to create the climate that unexpectedly encouraged this white collar crime. However, the implication that the citizens of this country are wealthy enough to throw money away or that they planned for this debacle in the manner that they plan science budgets is illogical and insulting to the citizens' support of scientific research. Certainly, in the last decade, we have achieved the appearance of unlimited wealth by routinely forwarding our bills to those yet unborn. I certainly hope that Koshland, by including the funding of science in the same sentence as the insuring of the S&L industry, does not mean to imply that we should send the science bills to future generations as well.

GRANT M. CARROW
Graduate Department of Biochemistry,
Brandeis University,
Waltham, MA 02254-9110

"Purposeful" Evolution

While reading Marcia Barinaga's summary article on cone snail toxins entitled "Science digests the secrets of various killer snails" (Research News, 20 July, p. 250), I encountered phrasing concerning the evolution of the snails that I feel compelled to address.

The problem is one that occurs repeatedly in both the technical and popular literature, that is, discussions of evolution that cast it in terms that make the process appear as if it were purposeful. In this instance the article states, "the great variety of toxins in the venoms of the cone snails are due to the intense evolutionary pressure on the snails to stop their prey quickly, since they can't chase it down." That language implies that some real pressure is driving the snails to develop the toxins, but that isn't how evolution works. The reality is that those snails that produced toxins that immobilized their prey rapidly tended to obtain food more

often than those possessing slower-acting or no toxins, and thus over time the population of cone snails became dominated by those possessing the fast-acting agents. There was no pressure!

Use of language that fosters the notion that organic evolution proceeds in a purposeful manner leads to confusion among both the public and the majority of scientists. Further, it can provide an apparently legitimate avenue of attack upon evolution by the creationist element. It needs to be understood by all that evolutionary developments simply occur as slight to significant differences among organisms, and as a result of natural selection those features that confer greater survivability and concomitant reproductive success are the ones perpetuated into future generations. In the vernacular, "If it works, it works; and if it don't, it don't."

JAMES L. CAREW
Geology Department,
College of Charleston,
Charleston, SC 29424

The Old Puritanism?

Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.'s editorial "The new Puritanism" (1 June, p. 1057) hits the mark, but the problem goes beyond ethical arrogance and has ancient precedents.

Since time immemorial a few have realized that fear is the cheapest commodity to fabricate and the easiest to sell at great profit. It could be argued that manufacturers, wholesalers, and retailers of fear have been the major impediment to the enlightenment of the human race throughout history. That this perverse tradition continues unabated today is obvious, although the ascendancy of science and a possibly growing respect for rational thinking give promise that it may be eventually conquered.

With regard to contemporary specifics, it is astonishing that we have given public regulators a blank check for the exercise of prudence on our behalf. Excessive prudence can be dangerous and definitely costly, and yet we have not defined thresholds or ceilings that public officials should not exceed. Under the circumstances, can anyone be surprised about the current regulatory scenario?

Most regulatory issues in health and safety are concerned with whether potential insults cause injury. Sometimes the determination of causality is straightforward, as in the case of infectious agents, acute poisons, and the like. More often, causality is blurred in a maze of multifactorial conditions, and the indictment of any specific factor is a matter

of judgment. This is where most controversy and abuse arise. That regulation should proceed even in the face of imperfect knowledge is axiomatic, but as a minimum it should first be established that the risk is significant and the attribution is justified.

The significance of risk is determined by comparison with risks that are accepted by social tradition, while attribution is justified after competing causal hypotheses are tested, rejected, or used to assign fractional responsibilities. All this implies policies that consider the global situation rather than the expedient, ad hoc, and reductionist approaches now fashionable. Until something along these lines enters our statutes, fear-mongers will continue to have the day.

GIO BATTÀ GORI
Health Policy Center,
6704 Barr Road,
Bethesda, MD 20816

Electricity Use

The discussion in Briefings of "Growth without new energy" (22 June, p. 1486) correctly points out that our total use of energy has grown very little for almost 20 years while our gross national product (GNP) has increased substantially. However, the conclusion that this information contradicts "support for the next generation of nuclear power plants" or other electrical generation is incorrect. Although overall energy use has indeed remained constant over this period, the use of electricity has grown almost lockstep with GNP. Since 1973 GNP has grown 51%, accompanied by a growth in the use of electricity of 54%.

The correct conclusion to be drawn from the data is that, for the reasons the Office of Technology Assessment points out, we are more energy efficient but this is translating into a significantly growing demand for electricity. Economic growth could require as much as 200,000 megawatts of new generating capacity by the year 2000. Yet utilities are planning on adding less than one-third of that capacity.

THEODORE M. BESMANN
Oak Ridge National Laboratory,
Post Office Box 2008, Mail Stop 6063
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6063

"Life" in an Abstract World

Richard Sullivan ("Feelings . . ." Letters, 13 July, p. 111) expresses surprise that Robert Pool (Research News, 1 June, p. 1076) would say that an atom can "feel" an

→ IS → File: Asbestos

electromagnetic field. But natural scientists often use such expressions. Describing his thinking process, Jacques Monod wrote, "Let the attention so concentrate on the imagined experience as to be oblivious to all else, and . . . one may suddenly find oneself identifying with the object itself, with, say a molecule of protein" (1).

To understand a system one must empathize with it—in effect become that system in imagination—as Monod said he did. When a scientist with a deep understanding of a system reports on its behavior we should not be surprised by the use of affective (even poetic) language in which machines "hesitate," plants "dislike," and atoms "feel."

This point appears to be lost on those who argue, for example, that introductory physics courses should concentrate on contemporary matters and include extensive descriptions of quarks, black holes, and other exotica. Mastery of the theory of phenomena directly experienced (buoyancy, elasticity, levers, and pulleys) allows a student to learn through bodily experiences—both real and imagined—that promote understanding of the natural world (Einstein reported that his thinking was "of a muscular nature"). To move too fast into formal (algorithmic) thinking is to sacrifice the imaginative flexibility that allows a science student to understand a system, or a creative scientist to "live" in an abstract theoretical world.

ALLAN M. RUSSELL

Department of Physics,
Hobart and William Smith Colleges,
Geneva, NY 14456-3397

REFERENCES

1. J. Monod, *Chance and Necessity* (Random House, New York, 1972), p. 156.

Asbestos and Carcinogenicity

It is alleged by William J. Nicholson *et al.* (Letters, 18 May, p. 796) that there have been 67 or more cases of mesothelioma among "Quebec chrysotile miners and millers" and that one of us (J. C. McD.) was responsible for this estimate. Of the many thousand individuals employed by the Quebec chrysotile mining and milling industry since its inception, no one knows how many have died or of these how many from mesothelioma. At a 1989 meeting in Orta, Ontario, Canada, one of us (J. C. McD.) stated that in our cohort of 11,379 persons born between 1891 and 1920 and employed for 1 month or more, there had been 11 cases of mesothelioma among 4,547 deaths to the end of 1975 (1); by

1978 we knew of 18 cases (2), and a follow-up to 1990 will soon be completed. More than 70% of the cohort have now died, and a study of a subsample indicates that the eventual number of cases of mesotheliomas may be about 30. A disproportionate number of these (at least seven) were exposed to crocidolite in a small local factory, and we know that one had substantial exposure to amosite. Could the remaining cases be attributed to fibrous tremolite contamination (roughly estimated at about 1.5%)? Again, no one knows for certain but, on the basis of the exposure-response data for mesothelioma in cohort studies of Montana miners and millers whose only mineral fiber exposure was to tremolite (3), it seems possible. Whether or not chrysotile per se is capable of inducing mesothelioma, it is clear that workers whose exposure has been only to commercial chrysotile have suffered much less from mesothelioma than, for example, those exposed for even a few months to crocidolite in gas mask filter manufacture (4) or cigarette filter manufacture (5), in whom 16 to 19% of all deaths were attributable to this cause. In the general population of the Quebec chrysotile mining towns, exposed over generations to chrysotile concentrations far greater than anything encountered in public buildings, there have been few if any cases of mesothelioma attributable to nonoccupational exposure.

Nicholson *et al.* describe studies that count and type mineral fibers in lung tissue at autopsy as "nearly worthless." We ourselves have urged caution in the interpretation of such studies unless they are carefully controlled (6); nevertheless, two points should be recognized. Although chrysotile fibers appear to penetrate the airways less well and are removed more rapidly than the amphiboles, their concentration at autopsy reflects quite well past levels of exposure in both chrysotile miners and millers and chrysotile textile workers (7). The fact well-controlled studies show that the concentration of amphibole, but not chrysotile, fibers in the lung tissue of patients with mesothelioma consistently exceeds that of controls has considerable etiological significance and is in line with the mass of other epidemiological evidence (8).

We are not sure what Nicholson *et al.* mean by the "amphibole hypothesis," but surely it relates to mesothelioma and not to lung cancer. The arguments against the hypothesis produced by Nicholson *et al.* on the basis of the lung cancer experience of asbestos textile workers are therefore not relevant. In the four studies cited by Nicholson *et al.*, the two of one plant that used only chrysotile had virtually the same steep exposure-response curve for lung cancer as

did the two other plants, where small but significant amounts of commercial amphibole were also used. In the chrysotile-only plant, despite intensive search, only one case of mesothelioma was detected; whereas in both mixed-exposure plants there were numerous cases—12 in one and 14 or more in the other. Much the same pattern has been observed in two similarly contrasting friction plants (8, 9).

To those of us who have spent our lives in public health research it seems strange and sad that a country with one of the highest infant mortality rates in the Western world and no shortage of other health and behavioral problems should commit billions of dollars to the questionable control of a minuscule or nonexistent health risk. Perhaps the real problems are too difficult.

J. CORBETT McDONALD

ALISON D. McDONALD

National Heart & Lung Institute,
London University,
Royal Brompton Hospital,
London SW3 6JHP, England

REFERENCES

1. J. C. McDonald, F. D. K. Liddell, G. W. Gibbs, G. E. Eyssen, A. D. McDonald, *Br. J. Ind. Med.* 37, 11 (1980).
2. A. D. McDonald, in *Biological Effects of Mineral Fibres 2*, J. C. Wagner, Ed. (International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, 1980), pp. 673-680.
3. J. C. McDonald, A. D. McDonald, B. Armstrong, P. Sébastien, *Br. J. Ind. Med.* 43, 436 (1986).
4. A. D. McDonald and J. C. McDonald, *Environ. Res.* 17, 340 (1978); J. S. P. Jones *et al.*, in *Biological Effects of Mineral Fibres 2*, J. C. Wagner, Ed. (International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, 1980), pp. 637-653.
5. J. A. Talcott *et al.*, *New Engl. J. Med.* 321, 1220 (1989).
6. J. C. McDonald, *Am. J. Ind. Med.* 14, 247 (1988).
7. N. Rowlands, G. W. Gibbs, A. D. McDonald, *Ann. Occup. Hyg.* 26, 411 (1982); P. Sébastien, J. C. McDonald, A. D. McDonald, B. Case, R. Harley, *Br. J. Ind. Med.* 46, 180 (1989).
8. J. C. McDonald *et al.*, *Cancer* 63, 1544 (1989).
9. A. D. McDonald, J. S. Fry, A. J. Woolley, J. C. McDonald, *Br. J. Ind. Med.* 41, 151 (1984); M. L. Newhouse and K. R. Sullivan, *ibid.* 46, 176 (1989).

Erratum: On page 1198 (column 2, line 23) of the article "Chiral metal complexes as discriminating molecular catalysts" by R. Noyori (8 June, p. 1194), hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase was incorrectly identified as "human menopausal gonadotropin-coenzyme A reductase."

Erratum: In the research article "Functional domains and upstream activation properties of cloned human TATA binding protein" by M. G. Peterson *et al.* (29 June, p. 1625), the amino acid glutamine was incorrectly abbreviated "Glu" in the caption for figure 1C (p. 1626) and three times in the third paragraph on page 1627. The correct abbreviation is Gln. In addition, the third paragraph of the article (p. 1625) should have begun, "In vitro reconstituted . . . transcription. . ."

Erratum: In the report "Spatial variation of ozone depletion rates in the springtime antarctic polar vortex" by Y. L. Yung *et al.* (11 May, p. 721), the maximum observed value of ClO attributed to J. G. Anderson *et al.* [*J. Geophys. Res.* 94, 11465 (1989)] (p. 723) was incorrect. The observed maximum ClO mixing ratio was $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{-9}$ (by volume) throughout the flight sequence from 23 August through 22 September 1987 at ER-2 cruise altitude (potential temperature ~ 440 K).