

the matters that I made notes about. I don't want to be - I don't want to appear to be - I'm not, but I don't want to appear to be pedantic about this.

In the first place, I would like to emphasize that it has been - or give further emphasis to the fact that we are discussing a subject about which our knowledge is most imperfect. Now, does anybody disagree with that? Definitions at their best are imperfect, so we have a very complicated situation to try to formulate a definition.

Then I'd like to say a word in defense of Doctor Zenker. So many people used the word 'pneumoconiosis' and refer to Zenker as having originated it. I'd like to call your attention, if you don't know it, to the fact that Doctor Zenker was a pathologist and he was not necessarily introducing a term. If you will read his paper, you will find the very last sentence, almost in the last paragraph, the title of his paper was "The Pulmonary Diseases Due to Inhalation of Dust", and he said rather than to use this long-winded expression, I will simply use the word 'pneumococniosis', which means the same thing. That's the way the Greeks say it, and I won't go into the Greek about it; you can find some Greek scholar for that, but that's the dope.

Now, he was talking about an anatomical disease, not clinical disease. All of you are talking about clinical disease. Don't, then, blame it on Zenker. If he were here