

FILE NAME: National Safety Council (NSC)

DATE: 1936 Oct

DOC#: NSC156

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Excerpt - Transactions of the NSC - 25th National Safety Congress



TRANSACTIONS

25th

National Safety Congress

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, Inc.

ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY
OCTOBER 5 to OCTOBER 9, 1936

Ambassador, Chelsea, Ritz-Carlton Hotels
and Atlantic City Auditorium

Copyright, 1936, National Safety Council, Inc.

THE Transactions of the Twenty-fifth National Safety Congress, 1936, are published by the National Safety Council in two volumes. This, the first, contains the general, the special subject, and the Industrial Section sessions. The second volume contains the sessions of the Child Education, Home Safety, Street and Highway Traffic and Vehicle Fleet Sections.

All industrial members of the Council automatically receive Volume I. Volume II is sent to members believed to be interested chiefly in the sessions it covers. Other Council members, however, may obtain it upon request.

Many members have found it worth while to distribute copies of the Transactions to supervisors, foremen, and others in an administrative or supervisory position who may make practical use of them for reference purposes. The following quantity prices are quoted on extra copies: 1 to 10 copies of the large volume, \$1.50 each; 11 copies or more, \$1.25 each; copies of the smaller volume, 50 cents each.

THE Transactions are published as a condensed record of the proceedings at the National Congress. The papers of each session or division of the Congress have been edited carefully to eliminate extraneous matter and to abbreviate the less essential portions because of space limitations. Thus the material herein presented is not a verbatim report of all the speeches presented at the Congress, but rather an abridged version made as compact as possible, yet without injury to the technical aspects of any address. The original manuscripts are on file in the Council's Bureau of Information, where they are available for additional reference. Where illustrations were sent by the speakers to the Council, these also are on file.

The National Safety Council at its Congresses seeks to eliminate from discussion matters which are not pertinent to the aim of the Congress or which are contrary to Council policies. It cannot accept responsibility for the views expressed either in the papers presented or in the discussions based upon the papers.

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.

20 North Wacker Drive
Chicago

Council Officers and Directors.....	4
Council Purposes and Policies.....	9
Annual Meeting of Members.....	11
Annual Banquet.....	31
Subject Sessions—	
Exhaust Systems.....	37
Fire Prevention.....	53
Industrial Health—American Association of Industrial Physicians and Surgeons.....	61
Industrial Nursing.....	69
Industrial Safety Lectures.....	79
Injured Worker, The.....	99
Maintaining Interest in Safety.....	109
Occupational Diseases.....	117
Safety Belts	127
Safety Equipment	141
Safety Exchange	151
Safety Fundamentals for Beginners.....	159
Safety Training	171
Aeronautical Section.....	181
A. S. S. E.—Engineering Section.....	191
Automotive and Machine Shop Section.....	197
Cement Section.....	205
Chemical Section.....	223
Construction Section.....	241
Food Section.....	253
Marine Section.....	269
Meat Packing, Tanning and Leather Industries Section.....	287
Metals Section.....	299
Mining Section.....	319
Paper and Pulp Section.....	347
Petroleum Section.....	361
Power Press Section.....	395
Public Utilities Section.....	405
Quarry Section.....	425
Refrigeration Section.....	443
Rubber Section.....	461
Safety Equipment Section.....	483
Steam Railroad Meeting.....	489
Textile Section.....	501
Transit Section.....	503
Wood Products Section.....	517
Index	531

National Safety Council, Inc.

HONORARY MEMBERS

ASSOCIATION OF IRON AND STEEL ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS

ROBERT W. CAMPBELL

ARTHUR WILLIAMS

LEW R. PALMER

OFFICERS (1936-1937)

DR. C. H. WATSON, President

D. D. FENNELL, Vice-President for Public Relations

DR. HART E. FISHER, Vice-President for Health

JOHN B. GIBSON, Vice-President for Community Safety Councils

HON. HAROLD G. HOFFMAN, Vice-President for Public Safety

ALBERT S. REGULA, Vice-President for Engineering

A. V. ROHWEDER, Vice-President for Industrial Safety

R. T. SOLENSTEN, Vice-President for Membership

ALBERT W. WHITNEY, Vice-President for Education

W. E. WORTH, Vice-President for Finance and Treasurer.

W. H. CAMERON, Secretary and Managing Director

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (1936-1937)

GEORGE J. ADAMS, Paper and Pulp Section

C. M. ALLEN, Metals Section

C. B. AUEL, Past President

J. I. BANASH, Past President

C. W. BERGQUIST, Past President

HAROLD S. BUTTENHEIM, The American City Magazine

W. H. CAMERON, National Safety Council, Inc.

ROBERT W. CAMPBELL, Past President

ROBERT I. CATLIN, Aetna Casualty & Surety Company

LEWIS A. DEBLOIS, Past President

C. W. DEMPSEY, Food Section

MARCUS A. DOW, Past President

D. D. FENNELL, Consulting Engineer

DR. HART E. FISHER, Chicago Rapid Transit Company

R. B. FORTUIN, Lehigh Valley Safety Council

C. W. GIBBS, Mining Section

JOHN B. GIBSON, Western Electric Company

HARRY GUILBERT, The Pullman Company

HON. HAROLD G. HOFFMAN, Governor of New Jersey

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS, Continued

E. C. HOLDEN, JR., Marine Section
HARRY J. KELLEY, Wood Products Section
WALTER G. KING, Past President
WM. C. KNOELK, Milwaukee Safety Commission
JOHN E. LONG, Past President
THOS. H. MACDONALD, United States Department of Agriculture
E. J. MEHREN, Portland Cement Association
W. J. MELVILLE, Chippewa County Safety Council
I. W. MILLARD, Industrial Gloves Corporation
HAROLD L. MINER, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company
E. J. O'BRIEN, JR., Louisville Safety Council
LEW R. PALMER, Past President
C. E. PETTICONE, Past President
ALBERT S. REGULA, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc.
LT. COL. HENRY A. RENINGER, Past President
A. V. ROHWEDER, Duluth, Missabe & Northern Railway Company
D. L. ROYER, ASSE-Engineering Section
GEORGE E. SANFORD, General Electric Company
CHARLES-B. SCOTT, Past President
CARL L. SMITH, Cleveland Safety Council
C. W. SMITH, Standard Oil Company (Indiana)
WALTER DENT SMITH, Delaware Safety Council
R. T. SOLENSTEN, Elliott Service Company
C. P. TOLMAN, Past President
GEORGE G. TRAYER, Chicago Safety Council
ROBERT R. WALLACE, Worcester Safety Council
DR. C. H. WATSON, American Telephone & Telegraph Company
A. W. WHITNEY, National Bureau of Casualty & Surety Underwriters
W. E. WORTH, International Harvester Company
ARTHUR H. YOUNG, Past President

DIRECTORS (1936-1937)

GEORGE J. ADAMS, Paper & Pulp Section
C. M. ALLEN, Metals Section
NELSON R. ANDERSON, Seattle Traffic & Safety Council
FREDERICK ARCHER, Child Education Section
A. L. ARMSTRONG, Chemical Section
J. I. BANASH, Consulting Engineer
JOHN J. BARADA, Public Utilities Section
ERNEST W. BECK, United States Rubber Products, Inc.
C. W. BERGQUIST, Western Electric Co.
DAVID S. BEYER, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.
CLIFFORD M. BISHOP, Brooklyn Safety Council

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS, Continued

E. F. BLANK, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.
 C. F. BORKENHAGEN, Kenosha Safety Council
 S. D. BOYD, York County Safety Council
 A. W. BREELAND, Petroleum Section
 F. S. BROWN, Standard Accident Insurance Co.
 S. W. BURCHIEL, Automobile Club of Rhode Island
 HAROLD S. BUTTENHEIM, The American City Magazine
 PRESTON D. CALLUM, Baltimore Safety Council
 W. H. CAMERON, National Safety Council
 ROBERT I. CATLIN, Aetna Casualty & Surety Co.
 M. A. CLARK, Automotive and Machine Shop Section
 H. HERBERT CORSON, Safety Department, Nashville Chamber of Commerce
 FRANK EMERY COX, Berkeley Traffic Safety Commission
 ROBERT W. CROWTHER, Springfield, Massachusetts Safety Council
 J. E. CULLINEY, Bethlehem Steel Co.
 LEWIS A. DeBLOIS, Consulting Engineer
 JAY E. DECKER, Mason City Safety Council
 C. W. DEMPSEY, Food Section
 JAMES B. DOUGLAS, Philadelphia Gas Works Co.
 DR. LOUIS I. DUBLIN, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.
 D. D. FENNELL, Consulting Engineer
 DONALD A. FINKBEINER, Toledo Safety Council
 DR. HART E. FISHER, Chicago Rapid Transit Co.
 HOWARD B. FONDA, Burroughs Wellcome & Co. (U. S. A.) Inc.
 WILLIAM FORSYTH, Superior, Wisconsin Safety Council
 R. B. FORTUIN, Lehigh Valley Safety Council
 ALEXANDER FOSTER, JR., Quarry Section
 C. W. GIBBS, Mining Section
 JOHN B. GIBSON, Western Electric Co.
 HARRY GUILBERT, The Pullman Co.
 ISALAH HALE, The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co.
 MAJOR BOLLING H. HANDY, Richmond Safety Council
 D. T. HARRINGTON, U. S. Bureau of Mines
 R. C. HAVEN, Vehicle Fleet Section
 G. T. HELLMUTH, Chicago, North Shore & Milwaukee R. R. Co.
 CHAS. E. HILL, New York Central Lines
 HON. HAROLD G. HOFFMAN, Governor of New Jersey
 E. C. HOLDEN, JR., Marine Section
 FREDERICK B. HUNT, Cement Section
 P. G. HUNTER, Power Press Section
 MORRIS E. HURLEY, Eastbay Safety Council
 MAJOR NORMAN A. IMRIE, Columbus Safety Council
 EDWIN A. KAYSER, St. Louis Safety Council
 THOMAS P. KEARNS, Industrial Commission of Ohio

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS, Continued

K. T. KELLER, Detroit Industrial Safety Council
HARRY J. KELLEY, Wood Products Section
THOS. L. KELLEY, Paterson Safety Council
J. M. KERRIGAN, Rubber Section
WM. C. KNOELK, Street & Highway Traffic Section
C. L. LAFONTAINE, Great Northern Railway Co.
A. H. LAUER, Madison County Safety Council
J. E. LONG, The Delaware & Hudson Railroad Corporation
W. R. LOYD, Safety Div. Birmingham Chamber of Commerce
L. T. McARTHUR, Peoria, Illinois Safety Council
THOS. H. MACDONALD, United States Department of Agriculture
MILLER MCCLINTOCK, Traffic Audit Bureau
T. H. McKENNEY, Retired
F. W. MATSON, Minnesota Safety Council
JAMES R. MAYS, Elizabeth Safety Council
E. J. MEHREN, Portland Cement Association
W. J. MELVILLE, Chippewa County Safety Council
I. W. MILCARD, Industrial Gloves Corporation
E. M. MILLER, Employees Publication Section
JAMES K. MILLER, Grand Rapids Safety Council
HAROLD L. MINER, E. I. duPont de Nemours & Co.
R. B. MORLEY, Industrial Accident Prevention Association
ERNEST MURPHY, Albany Safety Council
E. J. O'BRIEN, JR., Louisville Safety Council
GEORGE C. A. OPP, The Detroit Edison Co.
GEO. OPPENHEIMER, Kansas City Safety Council
LEW R. PALMER, The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States
WILSON PALMER, Meat Packing, Tanning & Leather Industries Section
A. E. PARKER, Transit Section
DAVID A. PATTON, Newark Safety Council
MRS. G. M. PELTON, Evanston Safety Council
CHARLES W. PENDOCK, Safety Division, Milwaukee Assn. of Commerce
C. E. PETTIBONE, American Mutual Liability Insurance Co.
GEN. GEORGE B. PILLSBURY, United States Engineer Office
ARTHUR POTTERTON, Hudson County Safety Council
ALBERT S. REGULA, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc.
LT. COL. HENRY A. RENINGER, Lehigh Portland Cement Co.
A. V. ROHWEDER, Duluth, Missabe & Northern Railway Co.
D. L. ROYER, ASSE-Engineering Section
G. E. SANFORD, General Electric Co.
HENRY C. SCHAEFFNER, Erie Safety Council
KARL G. SCHOEFFLER, Rahway Safety Council

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS, Continued

HARRY A. SCHULTZ, United States Steel Corp.
 EARL S. SHARTZER, Utica Safety Council
 GEN. JOHN H. SHERRBURNE, Massachusetts Safety Council
 DR. L. A. SHOODY, Bethlehem Steel Co.
 ERNEST L. SIMONDS, New Haven Safety Council
 CARL L. SMITH, Cleveland Safety Council
 C. W. SMITH, Standard Oil Co. (Ind.)
 EDWIN C. SMITH, Blackstone Valley Safety Council
 WALTER DENT SMITH, Delaware Safety Council
 W. A. SNOW, Construction Section
 R. T. SOLENSTEN, Elliott Service Co.
 P. EARL SPITZER, Contra Costa County Safety Council
 E. C. SPRING, Lansdale, Pa.
 GEORGE R. STEPHENS, Safety Bureau, Buffalo Chamber of Commerce
 ARTHUR M. TODE, Consulting Marine Engineer
 HAROLD M. TOOMBS, Refrigeration Section
 GEO. G. TRAVER, Chicago Safety Council
 W. W. TRENCH, Schenectady Safety Council
 W. D. TURDEVILLE, San Antonio Safety Council
 ROBERT R. WALLACE, Worcester Safety Council
 DR. C. H. WATSON, American Telephone & Telegraph Co.
 HARRY M. WEBBER, Retired
 E. T. WHITER, Western Pennsylvania Safety Council
 S. E. WHITING, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.
 A. W. WHITNEY, National Bureau of Casualty & Surety Underwriters
 T. A. WILLSON, Accident Prevention Equipment Manufacturers' Section
 T. A. WILSON, Textile Section
 W. H. WINANS, Union Carbide & Carbon Corporation
 E. W. WIRTH, Rochester Safety Council
 HARRY WISE, SR., Chattanooga Safety Council
 J. M. WOLTZ, Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co.
 W. W. WOOD, Steam Railroad Section
 W. E. WORTH, International Harvester Co.
 E. J. ZAFT, Safety Bureau, Duluth Chamber of Commerce
 EARL W. ZIMMERMAN, Safety Div. Syracuse Chamber of Commerce
 G. L. ZWICK, St. Joseph Safety Council

The functional Safety Council is a continuous institution that is to all types directly or indirectly citizenry. It is non-sectarian in affiliations. Since it has won recognition.

Inseparable from the Council's conditions are cases in America.

Today there are 400 foreign shipbuilding firms, individual chambers of organizations, industrial concerns, companies, to the railroads, automotive industry, and in the industrial field.

Origins

The history of the Association of Engineers in America is a story of growth. In brief, the Association was organized in 1897 with four members. In the first year the number grew to 971.

Occupational Diseases

FRIDAY MORNING SESSION

October 9, 1936

The session was called to order by the Chairman, Dr. Hart E. Fisher, Vice-President for Health, National Safety Council,

and Chief Surgeon, Chicago Rapid Transit Co., Chicago, who presided, introducing the speakers.

The Lesser Known Facts About Common Occupational Diseases

By DR. ROBERT B. HUNT

Medical Advisor, American Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Boston

Probably the leader in the parade of industrial diseases is an acute or chronic inflammation of the skin which we call dermatitis. This disease may present itself in any type of shop, factory or foundry. Any paste, powder, gas or liquid which comes in contact with the employee's skin, even the water supplied by the town in which he lives or works, may be the potential cause of such a skin disturbance.

The wide diversity of causes together with the varying susceptibility of different individuals makes the problem of control difficult.

Much investigation is under way to determine why one person may be susceptible to a certain substance while another is not. It has been proved many times that a poisonous substance formed within the body has been able to prolong the action started by some external influence. A printer developed a marked dermatitis from ink which persisted for two years. Finally food tests were made and showed that he was susceptible to lettuce, which, when removed from his diet cleared the skin. He returned to his usual occupation without a return of symptoms.

The poisoning of allergic substances is usually an accumulative process. It takes time to produce a manifestation of disease, but let any other substance cause the disease, and the irritating food may be of sufficient strength to magnify its action.

All cases of dermatitis cannot be cured by eliminating offending foods, but further investigation along these lines may give us a clue with which to lessen the loss of time from work and the discomfort now produced by industrial dermatitis.

Metals

Lead

The distribution of lead poisoning is quite extensive.

The skin of the body is a protective covering, and as long as it remains intact it does a good job except in the case of tetraethyl lead, which is used in blending gasoline and which is readily absorbed through the skin, having a tendency to create changes in the nervous system. The effect of other forms of lead, through that avenue of entrance, is practically nil, which explains the extremely low poisoning rate in typesetters, painters and others who continually come in contact with metallic lead.

Lead in any form, introduced through the mouth, acts rather slowly when compared with the inhalation of lead dust or fumes. However, no matter what the portal of entry may be, lead is acted upon by the body fluids and so converted that it may eventually be deposited within the bones.

Those bony safe deposit vaults may retain their lead contents for days or for years, and if the exposure is removed, may also free the employee of all signs

symptoms of lead poisoning. But the surprise of that supposedly person when years later, perhaps contracting some type of infectious disease or excessive use of alcoholic beverages awakes to find that he suffers chills and pains and paralyses of an lead poisoning. As the body fluids lead that it may be deposited, so those fluids attempt to de-lead the and too rapid absorption may promote the original disease with all its disorders.

One can readily see, then, that in some investigation of previous occupation is quite necessary to determine the actual contact with lead.

Heating of molten lead causes a blackening of lead suboxide to form on its surface and the heat from the metal disperses the fine powder into the air. Hence, in the process of smelting ores such as zinc, copper and silver, mixed lead, we find a rather high lead poisoning rate.

Those engaged in the manufacture of wire and cables, batteries, glass, paper and rubber industries, plumbers and users of dry colors offer their share of poisoning.

Probably the greatest source of lead poisoning may be found among those using sandpaper, scrape, chip or burn lead for the dust and fumes caused by these activities are rather rapid in their absorption. Such workers may become intoxicated in a few days because the action of lead dust causes the maximum amount of absorption into the blood in the minimum space of time.

Chromium

Chromium is a metal widely used as a coloring agent for colors, and dusts from its salts may produce lesions of the nasal and mucous membranes of the nose. Chromium plating by electrolysis may produce fumes which are similarly irritating. Although chromium poisoning does not usually cause death, the number of days of absence from work on account of incapacity which it causes is gradually increasing.

Metals

The most efficient portals of entry of substances into the body are the

nose and mouth. The smaller the dust (the size of smoke particles for example) the greater the speed of absorption by the blood. Fumes from hot metals carry not only poisons but numerous minute dust particles. This explains the incapacities occurring among those engaged in acetylene and electric cutting and welding. When men are so employed over a prolonged period of time, the constant inhalation of fumes and dust might produce symptoms of the metal upon which they work. In the shipbuilding trade, particularly in the construction of metal ships, we find occasional cases of metal-fume fever. "Brass chills" and "spelter shakes" from zinc are names applied by the lay people to such diseases. The terrific heat applied to some of our common metals and alloys by acetylene torches produces sufficient fumes to cause a temporary incapacity in 24 hours. Permanent disability from such causes is rare, however.

Diseases Due to Dust

Twenty-five per cent of all workers exposed to high concentrations of inorganic dust are expected to develop changes within their lungs due to that agency. We are at a loss to explain why the other seventy-five per cent are not so affected.

Mining, quarrying, foundry work, grinding, sandblasting, abrasive soap manufacturing, asbestos work, the making of emery and carborundum products and many other occupations offer opportunities for employees to inhale microscopic dust particles and create a foundation for possible future incapacity.

We are interested particularly in those men who work with sandstone, quartz, flint, granite, and sand, because they expose themselves to silica, and the chemical changes between that dust and the body fluids, in the presence of tuberculosis, may and usually do lead to a fatal ending.

It is safe to say, in light of our present knowledge, that the action of other inorganic dusts is much less virulent and incapacitating. That, however, does not allow us to lower the vigilant methods to improve dust control and protect employees, because all dusty occupations are potential trouble makers.

Although the actions of various dusts differ within the body, the exposure to asbestos fibres, present in the weaving and grinding of dry asbestos material, offers another type of dust which may cause fatalities among workers.

The organic dusts from cotton, silk, wool, tobacco, flour and similar substances are practically harmless. Such dusts rarely reach the lungs but may cause irritation of the windpipe and larger air tubes and result at the most in a mild bronchitis.

The factor which should be borne in mind, particularly when dealing with silicosis and asbestosis, relates to the progression of such disease. When changes occur within the lungs as a result of the presence of such dusts, nature forms scar tissue to heal such lesions but may fail to stop when the job is finished. As a result, the continuation of that healing process may lead to such structural changes within the lungs that partial or total incapacity may follow months or years after the employee has been removed from his dusty occupation.

Benzole-Benzene

Benzol is a distillate of coal tar used extensively in industry. It is an essential ingredient in the manufacture of explosives. It is an excellent solvent for grease, oils and cements, and because of that property it is important in many phases of the rubber and cleaning industries. In the manufacture of shoes benzol may be an ingredient of the dressing applied to the leather and of the cement which fastens the heels and soles. Not only in the shoe trade but in other branches of industry where employees work with gummy, sticky material, the workers soon learn of the solvent and cleansing action of this liquid and use it freely for that purpose upon themselves. The subsequent outbreak of skin afflictions may be the first indication of such unauthorized use.

Benzol is extremely poisonous, entering either through the nose or mouth or absorbed through the skin. Its action within the body is varied and may produce a multitude of signs and symptoms. The bone marrow becomes affected which in turn alters the character of the blood;

hemorrhages are common; it may cause death.

The fumes from benzol are heavier than air and lie near the floor, which is an important point in the engineering problem of ventilation.

The members of the benzene group are absorbed through the skin, and more cases of poisoning are found when men perspire than when their bodies are cool. Probably the answer to that is that the fumes may solidify as they cool and the powder may settle on the skin. The sweat on the body may dissolve this dust so that it is more readily absorbed through the increased surface blood supply.

Chlorinated Hydrocarbons

This group of petroleum derivatives has been known to science for years but during the past decade its advance into the industrial field has been widely distributed and most important. Led by carbon tetrachloride and its chemically associated members, it has furnished industry with refrigerants for ice chests, excellent solvents for rubber, wax, oil and grease, thinners for lacquers and a very fine household necessity for the removal of spots from clothes. Hospitals have been using it more and more for the removal of adhesive plaster.

Due to the non-flammability of carbon tetrachloride, it is used in fire extinguishers. The rapid evaporation produces fumes which may smother a small blaze, but we must not forget that excessive heat of the actual fire may bring about combustion sufficient to produce such biproducts as hydrochloric acid and phosgene gas. Like its associated member, chloroform, it may produce sleep when inhaled by an individual, and only 1/350 of an ounce in a quart of air is necessary to produce such action. That thought must be remembered when a large quantity of those liquid products is spilled on the floor, perhaps accidentally. Action should be started at once before the liquid gasifies, and employees should be notified to keep their heads up to avoid the low ceiling of gas.

Chemists have developed and introduced to industry many new products, the basis of which is the chlorinated hydrocarbons. These derivatives of petro-

in distillation are frequently combined with those from coal tar to form valuable chemical combinations. They have been placed on the market so rapidly that medical science has been unable to determine their physiological effect upon the health of man. We have known that one of these chemicals are capable of causing changes in the liver, and only recently in a city in Massachusetts one of these newer products caused the death of two employees and a battle to retain it among two others.

Carbon Monoxide

You remember the adage, "Where there is smoke, there is fire." We might add to that, "Where there is fire there is carbon monoxide."

This odorless but poisonous gas may occur in any industry or in any home, but the poorer the ventilation the better the chance of producing physical incapacity.

Since the advent of the motor car, the loose exhaust pipe may pour forth as much as 6% of carbon monoxide, we are exposed to that gas, particularly in crowded cities. The ordinary passenger liberates about two cubic feet per minute, and one can readily estimate the physical damage that may be done if in a motor is allowed to run within the doors of a poorly ventilated garage or shop.

Fortunately, serious cases of such poisoning are not common among those employed in public garages, but many of our employees are likely to complain of headache and faintness at the end of a day's work, especially in colder weather when doors and windows remain closed. In the steel industry, where gas is used as the heating agency in the blast furnaces, care must be used to provide proper ventilation. Within the mines, miners are warned to allow enough time following blasts for the escape of this

being a colorless, odorless and tasteless gas, quick of action within the human body, the manifestation of the very first symptom may be too late to save a workman from partial or total incapacity perhaps death.

Because the exposed person suffers first from motor paralysis, he may be unable to help himself or even to warn

others of the danger. Even if removed from the poisonous gas at that period, the damage actually done by the gas may result in permanent physical damage.

Infection

The skin is a protective covering of the body against infection and is extremely efficient as long as it remains intact, but allow it to be broken or punctured, introduce bacteria, and a battle ensues between the offensive agencies of infection and the defensive powers of the body. The winning of such a struggle may determine the presence or absence of incapacity. Infections are common throughout industry, but two types are particularly prominent—infection resulting from anthrax and infection among meat packers and fishermen.

Many of our fur, hair or wool-covered animals may contract anthrax. The germs of that disease defy the ordinary methods of sterilization and may linger on hides, bristles or hairs for long periods of time. Tanners, wool sorters, and hair or bristle workers may introduce the anthrax spore beneath their skin and undergo much physical suffering and sometimes death.

Infections are frequently found among meat and fish packers and those engaged in deep sea fishing. Although death rarely occurs, the loss of fingers, hands and nasty septic wounds are the result.

Punctured wounds, cuts, abrasions, burns and scratches are potential avenues of entrance for bacteria which would seem to be waiting everywhere at all times for such a break in the skin. Early medical treatment might often save later surgical interference, not to mention the accompanying discomfort, incapacity and perhaps the loss of an essential body part.

Summary

I feel that the time has come when an employer must demand of a manufacturer the answers to two questions:

1. How will your product affect my business?
2. How will your product affect the health of my employees?

That employer is entitled to honest answers based upon sufficient chemical and physiological examinations of the questionable product. That manufacturer

should be held responsible for the ills produced by the use of his product and unless he can guarantee its safety, it has no place in industry. Too many lives have been lost or jeopardized by too little knowledge of a product's action upon those who handle it.

The incidence of lost time due to illness and injury in industry has been greatly lessened by the many agencies working along lines of safety. When in-

dustry has been made fool-proof by substitution of non-toxic preparations those now found to be harmful, and w each employee is imbued with the i that constant thoughtfulness and care most necessary for the maintenance health and happiness, then, and not til that idealistic stage has been reach may vigilance and perseverance to ma tain and preserve health, limb and be lessened.

Pre-Employment Examination as an Aid to the Control of Industrial Diseases

By DR. W. C. TEMPLER

Medical Director, Corning Glass Works, Corning, N. Y.

We may well ask whether or not physical examination is of any value to the world at large, rather than limit the discussion to the control of industrial diseases. In the conservation of life and health much progress has been made in the eradication or control of such diseases as occur in epidemic form, as well as that type of disease which is not truly epidemic, but occurs in such frequency that general health programs are necessary. Much has been done to control such disabling illnesses as heart disease, arterio-sclerosis, kidney disease and diabetes; and something has been accomplished in the control of morbidity, which tends to, at least, make people happier through good health, even though an increased span of life is not gained.

Physical examination has played a large role in accomplishing these things, because it was through physical examination and physical observations that investigators were led to exert themselves to find a remedy for the cause of such conditions. Small-pox is no longer prevalent, due to such observations, which led to its control by vaccination. Malaria and yellow fever have been brought largely under control, and knowledge is now available in the control of venereal diseases, if the proper physical examination and laboratory methods are used to arrive at a diagnosis. We are all familiar with the results obtained in decreasing the

death rate due to tuberculosis. In fact in the treatment of all epidemic-type diseases that have so far been eradicated the examination of patients to determine the severity of symptoms and the improvement has been of foremost value.

Knowing that the average span of life has been increased eight years in the last quarter century and assuming that the above mentioned factors have had some bearing on it, could we decry the value of physical examinations for the general population?

If we know that examinations made by insurance companies and their associated examining institutions, the clinics run by the United States Public Health Service and the great mass of physicians, who carefully examine their clientele, have been of value in the control of diseases may we not assume that examinations in industry will help control the incidence and extent of industrial disease, particularly when the life expectancy of industrial workers is less than that of the general population?

In fact on April 14, 1936, at the National Conference on Silicosis and Similar Dust Diseases, called by the Secretary of Labor, Dr. R. R. Sayers of the United States Public Health Service said, "Preliminary and periodic physical and roentgenological examination should be made of all employees engaged in industrial processes where there is a potential i

actual exposure to excessive concentrations of silica in the atmosphere."

Naturally in the early work of industry, basic materials were used, ingredients had not arrived at a state of development of complexity, when intricate chemical compounds and other toxic materials were unknown, much less used. At the present time over 500 materials are listed which can, under certain conditions, cause industrial disease and the list is by no means complete, inasmuch as experimental work is being done by the personnel of practically every plant or industry to improve or enlarge its manufactured products taking advantage of the great amount of knowledge science has given us.

Industrial examinations are of two kinds. First, the pre-employment examination, which consists of a very careful history, not only of the family, but also of the previous occupations with length of service in each, previous health problems and present habits. Naturally such a history is of no value unless truthfully given and a reasonable amount of detail must be taken to acquire details, which are often vague in a workman's mind or considered by him to be unimportant. The physical examination, of course, varies with the type of industry, but should be given with the knowledge of what the man's exact occupation is to be and by a physician familiar with the industrial processes in which he is to be employed. In this way selected employment can be obtained for men who have no basic disqualification for one job, which does not impair their ability for useful employment and the conserving of health in another.

The physical examination must be thorough. It should include all methods of determining a latent disability or one that is already present. Many examinations are available from insurance companies or other health services, which have been designed entirely for industrial work. It has been the experience of men interested in this work, that all the special senses of the body must be tested as well as the measurement of motion in all joints. The cardio-vascular system is of great importance as is the respiratory and gastro-intestinal tract.

Re-examination and periodical checks, because of particular industrial hazards,

must be correlated as to time spent in the hazard, although a period of one year is, perhaps, proper for persons who feel in good health and have no complaints, or who are not working in toxic substances or with other materials which would under some conditions impair their health and usefulness.

The objectives of pre-employment and post-employment examinations are the same, that is: the establishment of a physical standard in which the employee can pursue his trade or labor, having no discomfort or ill effect due to industrial exposure to harmful products. Such products and materials are, as we have mentioned, of great number and variety. Attempts have been made to classify workmen as to age, sex, color and nationality. Whether or not any of these classifications are of value, it still remains that the primary effort is to have healthy workmen and the benefit is of as great value to the workman as to the industry. The benefits of individual and community good health are numerous and obvious; and the benefits of good health to industry are equally important. The employee will be more productive, receive higher wages and be happier. The labor turn-over rate because of poor health will be lowered as will the costs of insurance. The working personnel will be more efficient and contented, and will dislike to be exposed to the overt acts of people who are epileptic, insane or who disregard communicable disease, and will use their endeavors to work safely among hazards they have been taught to respect.

The harmful exposure to each hazard is, of course, different, ranging from a few days as, for example, an acute poisoning from toxic gases, to that of 15 or 20 years in some of the less toxic dusts; therefore the period before re-examination for each class of employee will be different. However, individuals differ also and it is hard to state a definite period which may be satisfactory for each employee in a single class of hazard. Supervisors can often be of great value in sending employees out for physical examination whenever there is a change in appearance or work ability, or when they have been off on account of some illness, such as those self-diagnosed as common cold, lagrippe, cough, sore throat or skin irritation. Such observations should be

considered, where there is not a full-time physician, and they are of the greatest value in the early determination of a susceptibility to or the beginning of an industrial disease.

We are fortunate in having had a large amount of research work done on industrial diseases, until at the present time, materials from acetaldehyde to zinc are listed, together with the processes in which they are used and the symptoms which they cause, and an estimate of the amount of exposure in nearly every case necessary to produce symptoms. It is really not too much of a problem for an interested physician to accumulate a supply of such knowledge, particularly when he knows the processes involved during the manufacturing procedure of his industry. It thus becomes relatively a routine matter of observation, together with examination for specific signs or symptoms at the time of the periodical re-examination, to obtain some degree of control of the hazard.

We cannot discuss the benefits of pre-employment examination and periodic re-examination without mentioning some of the objections to it. If a man has a disability, he naturally is anxious to keep it to himself, and in some cases we find that the workman is disinterested to a point that he would rather not know of a disability even though he has it. Certain social groups regard each man as entitled to employment whether he is physically fit or not, on the assumption that industry hires labor, not health, and that rejection because of physical disability causes a class of artisans to become prejudiced against and become charity cases. It is also alleged that the quality of medical service in industry is such that the results of examinations are misleading and of no value. Another objection is voiced by labor unions, it being claimed that pre-employment examinations are used to discriminate against labor union workers or members. Many labor leaders realize, however, that pre-employment examination and periodical check-ups are the only means of preventing disability from certain industrial hazards. Competent and honest medical service renders all these objections quite impotent; for if an endeavor is made to

properly place employees, such a small percentage is finally rejected, and the percentage is so obviously unfit to fear that no fair-minded person can fail to see the justice of it.

These objections, however, are being noticed by legislative bodies, and in our State a law is in force which says: "It is hereby declared to be the policy of the legislature of this State, in enacting this article, to prohibit through every lawful means available, any requirement as a pre-requisite to employment which compels an applicant for employment in any occupation coming within the purview of this article to undergo a medical examination." This statement is in a paragraph labeled, "Prevention of Silicosis and Other Dust Diseases," and the logic of it is entirely non-understandable, even though at the present time there is no law or means available to enforce the stated policy.

If physical examinations are of benefit to the population at large, they certainly must be to the industrial workers, who may be exposed to special hazards. Periodical check-up examinations are necessary in any hazardous work or trade in order to protect the workers as well as the industry; and the benefits to the workers of proper and fair-minded examinations, in all ordinary cases, outweigh the objections.

I have purposely omitted any reference to the economics of pre-employment and periodic re-examination, which apply either to the individual or the industry. However, one of the great public health problems of today is the question of the cost of medical care. If industry assumes some of this cost by periodical examination in connection with the prevention of industrial disease, it relieves the individual of this responsibility and allows more room in his budget for the care of his family. The benefits to industry, of course, are those of a better personnel and a lower rate of insurance. This rate, due to industrial disease hazards, is in many States very high and the logical conclusion is that the real remedy lies in the prevention of industrial disease by any method, one of which, I believe, is pre-employment and periodical examinations.

What Can the Engineer Do to Eliminate the Hazards of Occupational Diseases?

By REUEL C. STRATTON

Supervising Chemical Engineer, The Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.

If I were asked, "Can the engineer prevent the majority of occupational diseases?" my answer would be, "yes." Obviously, with the exception of those diseases brought about through a sudden accidental contact with a poisonous material or a virulent disease-producing organism, if the exposure were controlled by engineering technique, then there would be no occupational disease.

The engineer must rely upon the medical director to place individuals capable of working in hazardous occupations and to assist him in controlling these individuals through periodic physical examinations when the men are exposed to occupational disease hazards; but the engineer alone is responsible for reducing generation of harmful substances and for the control of employees' contact with processes using harmful materials.

The ascribing of many and new occupational diseases to industrial environment is produced a problem for him. The engineer generally works with materials which he can see, but the study of industrial disease control may require the handling of invisible materials. It is a common fault to focus attention upon one object to such an extent that others of equal importance may thereby be relegated to obscurity. Today safety engineers and industrial hygienists are concentrating their attention upon diseases caused by the inhalation of dust, but I do not believe the safety engineer is warranted in confining himself to the prevention of diseases caused by dust alone. His consideration should extend to include all materials produced in any manner that they may cause an effect upon a worker. The question of whether a material exists as a gas, a vapor, or a dust is but one of article size and chemical make-up.

He must not forget that many such diseases are produced by exposure to vapors and gases as well as to dust, and that the control of these industrial diseases may be brought about by the application of certain fundamental principles common to all.

While the problem confronting individual safety engineers varies according to the industry, there are certain fundamentals common to all such problems which provide a workable basis upon which an occupational disease prevention program may be developed. Once these fundamentals are set in motion toward a safe working environment, continuance is much the same whether the engineer is engaged with a large organization or with a small one. The fundamentals follow this sequence:

- (1) An occupational disease inventory is required.
- (2) A plan for correction of the exposures shown by the inventory requires development.
- (3) A concentrated attack upon the major exposures first, following through until all are corrected or eliminated.

An inventory of all materials used in a plant will astound the average engineer. He will find many items about which he may have little or no knowledge. He may be confronted with items he had not recognized previously, even though he has been associated with the plant perhaps many years. When this inventory is complete, he should card index it, placing against the name of the material the health hazard associated with it both from a material and a process viewpoint. Such a card index should contain the component parts or constituency of compounds.

Process exposures should be studied in conjunction with hazardous materials. Assistance in compiling such data may be obtained in the bulletin "Occupational Hazards and Diagnostic Signs," prepared by Dublin and Vane of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, and in a publication of the United States Public Health Service, Public Health Bulletin No. 216, "The Potential Problems of Industrial Hygiene in a Typical Industrial Area in the United States."

In addition the engineer should obtain all available information upon the materials he is required to study. New

chemicals, solutions, dusts, degreasing agents, condensation products, paints, lacquers, dyes, abrasives, and other products too numerous to mention are being placed upon the market daily, and the average engineer's knowledge of their possible effects upon health is slight. Academic research determining the effect of these new materials upon humans is progressing slowly, and serious injuries may be sustained in industrial application long before the toxicologist is able to determine the properties of the material and the maximum permissible amount to which a worker may be exposed. In seeking this information it is essential to determine in so far as possible the maximum permissible amount of contaminants which may exist in a work place without causing an occupational disease.

Armed with this information, the engineer is in a position to locate properly in his own plant the process and the operation in which the exposure may exist. The engineer should study together with the plant physician the length of employment of exposed individuals and they should jointly determine the normal expectancy or average length of employment for the specific exposure. From such data may be developed a factor of safety, and the maximum allowable period of employment in certain areas may be assigned. Naturally this study should be focused upon the more hazardous locations first, and when these are corrected, attention turned toward minor conditions.

Housing. One of the most important considerations in attempting to control occupational disease is housing. Whenever practical, any hazardous manufacturing process should be separately housed and isolated; every effort should be made to arrest harmful substances—dust, vapors, or gases—at their source of generation. Buildings should be so constructed that accumulations of dust may be avoided.

When physical separation of departments is required, such physical divisions should be dust or vapor tight. Floors should be tight to prevent transfer of materials to floors or departments below. The engineer should give study to the complete enclosure of machinery or process units as individual units and, if so required, vent each one separately. The transfer of hazardous operations into

the open air does not always eliminate the danger; in fact, it may increase number of workers affected.

Process Revision. To reduce transportation of materials and exposure to harmful substances it is desirable to have processes mechanically continuous and possible, enclosed. When this cannot be accomplished, suitable physical division should be provided. The engineer should consider the substitution of wet processes for dry processes, providing at the same time for the disposal of the moisture collected material in a safe place. He should consider the substitution of automatic operations for hazardous hand work, machine work. A change of abrasive material will often reduce the quantity dust produced.

I can cite an example of how a little thought can cure a hazardous machine operation with little or no expense:

A plant producing a finely pulverized material created large amounts of dust bagging the material, using porous sacks. This high concentration of dust, not necessarily toxic, inconvenienced the workers. The cost of ventilating the department would have been prohibitive. By careful study the engineer determined that the fall of the material into the sacks forced the air out through the interstices of the material, carrying with it a quantity of the pulverized product. His problem, then, was to provide a means whereby this air could be harmlessly expelled from the sack prior to filling. Study led him to suggest and apply the use of a collapsible paper bag which contained little or no free air. The problem was solved. Dust generation was practically eliminated by this simple change. Many hazardous processes in ordinary manufacturing operations may be cured in like manner if the engineer exercises his ingenuity.

Ventilation. Proper ventilation is of paramount importance in the control of any occupational exposure. Most of the chief occupational diseases other than dermatitis arise through the inhalation or the ingestion of foreign material. If ventilating equipment can reduce the concentration of contaminating materials to a safe limit, the problem is solved. The engineer should study each process separately and ventilate each separately. It is seldom wise to rely upon general ven-

tilation. Instead, high velocity ventilating apparatus should be installed, functioning as closely to the point of generation of the harmful substance as is possible.

Individual machines should be so equipped if possible. Baffles may be introduced to prevent air stream disturbances or to increase the intake efficiency at the nozzle. Down-draft systems should be preferred for the collection of dust or gases of high specific gravity.

Even after the installation of exhaust systems, the engineer must study the type of maintenance required to keep them operating at peak efficiency. Nozzles should not be permitted to become covered nor moved out of proper position. Exhaust piping must be kept dust tight. Blower efficiencies must be constantly checked to insure that intake velocities are proper. The best ventilating system is a false protection if it does not function properly or if it is so poorly maintained that it falls below its designed rating. The engineer must exercise extreme care to provide a clean source of replacement air for the work place. He may find it necessary to install filtering and heating devices. The engineer must study the proper disposal of the collected or expelled material to be positive it will not drift back into the work place.

Employee Protection. While the primary engineering means of protection against possible occupational diseases should be mechanical correction, the engineer will find instances where even the best systems must be supplemented by individual protection. He must carefully study such exposures to provide the highest efficiency of protection, yet obtain a device easy for the operator to wear continuously. When the engineer has been successful in obtaining such a device, he must educate workers to use it.

Sanitation. Clean washing facilities and toilets should be provided. Lunch rooms should be not only clean but also well lighted and attractive and should be under the supervision of the plant physician.

Scientific Tests. Up to this point the engineer has deduced that an exposure exists, and from accumulated data has devised his means of prevention. How-

ever, the engineer interested in ascertaining not only exposure but also efficiency of control should realize control cannot be fully effective nor the efficiency of any protective device determined without scientific tests. Assumption, guesswork, unscientific procedure or apparatus have no place in an engineering program. The engineer must test each step unless it has been previously proved, carefully calculating in advance to avoid false protection.

He must be familiar with dust counting, air sampling, and chemical analysis technique, having such determinations made by established and proper methods. If such tests are beyond the scope of his ability or equipment and if the engineer considers such tests absolutely essential, then his executives should permit him to obtain experts qualified to make such surveys as his problem may require. The need for an accurate engineering approach to any control measure cannot be over-emphasized.

Conclusion. Every engineer realizes control of any industrial disease exposure is divided, part of such control falling within the jurisdiction of the industrial physician and part within his own engineering province. Medical knowledge is invaluable in the detection of early symptoms and in the administration of suitable preventive treatment. The engineer should gladly obtain the services of an industrial physician to make a study of the plant, to assist in the classification of the hazardous operations and working locations. By such coordination he will speed control of the exposure.

Each individual occupational disease exposure must be studied, analyzed, and controlled individually. While general data may be available upon common exposures, the solution can be found only in individual treatment. Such exposures cannot be compared to ordinary safety engineering efforts. A circular saw is a circular saw wherever it may be operating, but industrial disease exposure varies within plants and certainly varies between different manufacturing establishments, even though they may produce a like product or article.

ADJOURNMENT

T
Chai

S
frequent
tified
wind
accid
cost-
man
that
unn
F
and
into
Mar
four
gene
of
dat
safet
street
wh
requ
acc
150
reac
lous
m
W
testi
tion
one
bel
hav
h
and

Construction Section

Officers 1935-36

- General Chairman*—W. A. SNOW, Associated General Contractors of America, Inc., 1329 "E" Street, N. W., Washington, D. C.
- Vice-Chairman for Heavy Construction and Railroad Contractors*—R. J. REIGELUTH, C. W. Blakeslee & Sons, 58 Waverly St., New Haven, Conn.
- Vice-Chairman for Highway Construction*—F. I. ROWE, W. L. Johnson Construction Co., Hicksville, Ohio.
- Vice-Chairman for U. S. Engineer Department*—MAJOR ELLIOTT VANDEVANTER, C. of E., Office of the Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C.
- Vice-Chairman for Building Construction*—CHESTER W. WRIGHT, Wright & Kremers, Inc., Niagara Falls, N. Y.
- Secretary*—ROBERT MCKINLEY, General Accident Fire & Life Assurance Corp., Detroit, Mich.
- News Letter Editor*—G. O. GRIFFIN, Dravo Corp., Neville Island, Pittsburgh, Pa.
- Engineering Committee Chairman*—E. N. GOLDSTINE, San Francisco, Calif.
- Membership Committee Chairman*—THOMAS BENTLEY, The A. Bentley & Sons Co., Toledo, Ohio.
- Poster Committee Chairman*—R. A. SNOW, Carolina Power & Light Co., Raleigh, N. C.
- Program Committee Chairman*—V. P. AHEARN, National Sand & Gravel Assn., Munsey Bldg., Washington, D. C.
- Publicity Committee Chairman*—W. P. CONN, Editor, "The Constructor," Washington, D. C.
- Statistics Committee Chairman*—C. H. BLACK, Stone & Webster Engineering Corp., Boston, Mass.
- Past General Chairmen*—
W. F. AUSTIN, Detroit, Mich.
E. N. GOLDSTINE, San Francisco, Calif.
JOHN RUSSELL, JR., Public Service Electric & Gas Co., Newark, N. J.

Officers Elected for 1936-37

- General Chairman*—W. A. SNOW, Associated General Contractors of America, Inc., Washington, D. C.
- Vice-Chairman for Heavy Construction*—R. J. REIGELUTH, C. W. Blakeslee & Sons, New Haven, Conn.
- Vice-Chairman for Highway Construction*—F. I. ROWE, W. L. Johnson Construction Co., Hicksville, Ohio.
- Vice-Chairman for U. S. Engineer Department*—MAJOR ELLIOTT VANDEVANTER, C. of E., Office of the Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C.
- Vice-Chairman for Building Construction*—CHESTER W. WRIGHT, Wright & Kremers, Inc., Niagara Falls, N. Y.
- Secretary*—ROBERT MCKINLEY, General Accident, Fire & Life Assurance Corp., Detroit, Mich.

- News Letter Editor*—G. O. GRIFFIN, Dravo Corp., Neville Island, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Engineering Committee Chairman—THOMAS SOUTER, Industrial Indemnity Exchange, San Francisco, Calif.
Membership Committee Chairman—F. J. CRANDELL, Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.
Poster Committee Chairman—S. M. LAUDERDALE, Civilian Conservation Corps, Washington, D. C.
Program Committee Chairman—V. P. AHEARN, National Sand & Gravel Assn., Washington, D. C.
Publicity Committee Chairman—W. P. CONN, "The Constructor," Washington, D. C.
Statistics Committee Chairman—C. H. BLACK, Stone & Webster Engineering Corp., Boston, Mass.
Past General Chairmen—
 W. F. AUSTIN, W. E. Wood Co., Detroit, Mich.
 EDGAR N. GOLDSTINE, Consulting Safety Engineer, San Francisco, Calif.
 JOHN RUSSELL, JR., Public Service Electric & Gas Co., Newark, N. J.

The first session was called to order by General Chairman Welton A. Snow, Associated General Contractors of America, Inc., Washington, D. C., who presided.

outlining the activities of the past year and commending the work of the various committee chairmen. Addresses were given as follows:

Construction Safety Ain't Different

By G. O. GRIFFIN

Safety Director, Dravo Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.

The construction industry in 1935, out of 30 major industries, ranked twenty-seventh in accident frequency and twenty-ninth in severity; and the only excuse that was ever given is, "Well, we're different." We aren't different! It's just

an alibi. The cement, quarry and public utilities industries contain many hazards similar to those of the construction industry, yet each has a superior accident rate.

The following table gives a percentage

Type of Accident	Per cent in Construction Industry	Per cent in All Industries
All types	100.0	100.0
Handling objects	25.9	26.8
Falls to the same level	9.5	9.6
Falls to a different level	8.7	14.9
Machinery	12.0	7.5
Vehicles	10.9	6.0
Falling objects	8.7	11.1
Using hand tools	7.6	7.9
Stepping on or striking against objects	5.6	6.5
Electricity, explosives, heat	3.6	2.7
Harmful substances	2.1	2.6
Other	5.4	4.4

distribution of the cases (nearly all compensable) covered in recent one-year reports from Illinois, New York, Maryland, New Jersey and Pennsylvania. These reports cover 224,661 injuries in all industries and 40,620 injuries among construction company employees.

Is it not remarkable how nearly equal these percentages are for most types of injuries? In only four instances does the difference in percentage exceed 1 per cent. The construction industry had 6 per cent more accidents due to falls to a different level, and 2 per cent more due to falling objects, than the average for all industries. Construction work had 4 per cent fewer accidents due to machinery and 5 per cent fewer due to vehicles.

It would appear, therefore, that the solution to the problem, the reduction of construction accidents, could be accomplished by the use of the same methods that other industries have used so successfully.

Actually, the best safety records known to the National Safety Council for construction work were not, as one might expect, made by contractors, but by the construction departments of an oil refiner, a manufacturer, and a public utility. The Norco Refinery of Shell Petroleum Corporation holds the best record with 1,929,478 man-hours operated without a disabling injury. DuPont's Penn's Grove plant has a record nearly as good; and the construction department of the Indianapolis Power & Light Company has the third best record.

These outfits didn't have any tradition of "a man a floor" or "a death for so many hundred thousand dollars worth of work" to live up to. They knew they weren't different; they just went ahead and did it. They had the safety programs of the parent corporation which were not built in particular for construction work, but which apparently functioned very well.

I have heard this same excuse in my own company many times. But last year we were rather fortunate in having one job hang up a record of about 125,000 man-hours without a disabling injury. That's nothing to write home about, but it sure was a help when the boys on the other jobs began the old "We're different" story.

Our company has several fields of op-

eration besides that of heavy construction. We build boats, operate a barge line and a sand and gravel company, besides fabricating steel, installing machinery and handling contractor's equipment. The types of accidents reported by these various subsidiaries differ very little. The boat or construction job, machine shop or gravel yard—they all report falls, cuts and other injuries in about the same proportion. However, there are some queer ones. One day last week we had a man fall out of his berth and crack a rib; and in the other case a spider bit the pilot. Recently, on one of the dam jobs a man was hurt because he didn't understand the foreman's order. The foreman had just had all his teeth out.

All books and theories on safety say that accident severity cannot be controlled. In general, I agree, but I feel that it can be controlled to a greater extent on construction work than on many other types. Most severe accidents in construction can be attributed to certain types of occurrences which can be guarded against fairly completely: by this I mean prevention of long falls by special types of scaffolding and railing; and the reduction in falling of material by the close inspection of mechanical equipment. To a very great extent, this has been done and the records show it.

I am about, however, to suggest something which may be quite controversial. All rules for safety contests and supposedly all fair safety men insist that if a temporarily injured employee is unable to return to a regularly established job on the day following his injury, he should be kept at home and recorded as a lost time accident. How the case is recorded is of little interest to me.

It is my contention that on construction work you can improve your severity rate and do everyone concerned a favor by keeping the injured man on the job. In this way, you can keep control of him, and of his injury, be sure he receives adequate treatment and reduce his suffering and loss of wages. If you don't do this you frequently lose control of the case entirely and have a simple seven-day injury turn into an expensive one with long disability.

To get back to our real subject, the program which, in my opinion, would ma-

terially improve accident records in the construction industry is that which other industries have been using for so long. Briefly, a program based on adequate

accident records, consistent inspection and maintenance of plant, a complete medical program and the principle of supervisory responsibility, cannot help but succeed.

Dust Diseases As They Affect the Construction Industry

By A. J. LANZA, M. D.

Assistant Medical Director, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York City

With a possible single exception, there is but one dust disease and one dust causing that disease with which the construction industry is concerned. The disease is silicosis and the dust causing it is dust containing free silica (SiO_2). Dusts containing silica in combined form have not been shown to cause disability or disease, with the exception of asbestos, which is a silicate.

The outstanding facts with regard to silicosis have often been detailed and will be only briefly summarized here. The dust must be in a fine state of subdivision to reach the lungs. The particles which do the damage are less than ten microns in diameter. In this country, the amount of dust in the air at any location is determined by the standard method elaborated by the United States Bureau of Mines and the United States Public Health Service, using the impinger, the results being expressed in millions of particles per cubic foot. In order to estimate the hazard, we must know the number of particles and the percentage of silica, because a dust containing 100 per cent pure silica would be more harmful than one containing 50 per cent silica.

When we know the amount of dust—in terms of averages—the amount of silica, and the extent of exposure, we are in a position to evaluate the seriousness of the hazard. We know that silicosis is a chronic disease, taking usually years to develop, and as we see it in American industries, causing little or no disability unless there is superimposed upon it an infection. This infection is most often tuberculosis, to which the individual with silica damaged lungs is very susceptible. The more severe the silica damage, the more apt tuberculosis is to develop.

We do not as yet have permissible or safe standards of dustiness. There is such an extremely wide variation of industrial processes and environmental factors that any elaborate system of standards would be impracticable; but a simple workable standard is highly desirable. There is a rough standard, which is based largely on the work of the Public Health Service, of ten million particles of dust per cubic foot. This is a convenient target to shoot at when dust control measures are undertaken in an industrial plant. That is not to say, however, that a threshold of ten million particles of a highly siliceous dust is safe. This standard was based on exposure to granite dust, containing about 35 per cent pure silica.

The construction section of this Congress embraces many types of industry and a multitude of processes. It is not practicable, therefore, to present a complete scheme of all the hazards in these industries. We can, however, attain our object in a sufficiently satisfactory manner by considering first, materials, and second, the processes in which these materials are used. The construction industry uses enormous amounts of certain well known materials. Lime, gypsum, cement, sand, occur to everyone's mind when you mention construction. Many of these substances are used in processes which involve considerable amounts of dust, wherein lies the possibility of a health hazard.

There is no silicosis without silica—free silica. So right off, the manufacturer or industrial company has the first clear-cut index of the potential harmfulness of a dust which may be causing concern to him or his employees. Does

it contain free silica in appreciable amounts?

What is an appreciable amount? Probably in excess of 5 per cent. At least, that is well on the conservative side. Non-silicious dusts may be a nuisance or an annoyance to employees or to neighboring communities, but they are not concerned with silicosis. If the employer does not know whether a dust to which his employees are exposed contains silica—that is the first thing for him to determine. Should he find that the dust does contain free silica, in a determined percentage, then the next step is to ascertain the amount of such dust present in the air where his employees work.

We are frequently asked whether dust arising from gypsum, cement, lime, marble, talc, rock wool, mineral wool and many other substances, may cause silicosis. We say to the inquirer, "You know the materials you are working with. Do they contain free silica?" He may reply, "No," or "Only a trace." The conclusion then is obvious. There is no silicosis hazard.

The type of process in which the dust is generated is of great practical importance. If the process is conducted in a confined place, with poor ventilation, it is apparent that the hazard is much more dangerous than if it were in the open air, because in the confined place, the workman gets a much bigger dose of silica.

Recently I saw some X-ray films of brick masons. These masons handled only fire bricks—made from a highly silicious material—and they were employed in lining kilns and furnaces. Their films showed well developed silicosis. Their job consisted in breaking up the worn-out lining in furnaces and building new ones. They were continuously exposed to a highly silicious dust in a very close, confined place. The same material could probably be handled in other types of construction work with little or no hazard.

The mention of close and confined places brings to mind tunnels, about which there has recently been much agitation. Without going into details concerning this subject, the lesson to be learned is clear. The digging of a tunnel is not a permanent undertaking in any locality but the work should be safeguarded as in more permanently estab-

lished industries. Where a tunnel is being constructed in a highly silicious rock, the dust hazard looms very large. It is similar to hard rock mining and everything conspires to make the control of dust difficult. It is interesting to note that tunnel work in various parts of the world has been followed by similar experiences.

The report of the Director General of Public Health for New South Wales, Australia, 1924, details an investigation concerning ventilation and sandstone dust present in the air of certain sewer tunnel in Sidney. Apparently there was an excessive amount of silicosis and tuberculosis among these tunnel workers.

A report of the South African Institute for Medical Research, April, 1935, details a severe silicosis hazard among sewer tunnel workers in South Africa.

We are justified in concluding that tunnel work calls for extraordinary precautions for safety and health with a supervision of the health of tunnel workers comparable to that followed in other industries. Grinding or pulverizing sand, gravel, and other highly silicious materials are processes that need very careful control and supervision.

The control of the dust hazard is an engineering problem and in the main depends on an effort to entrap the dust at its point of origin by using water, or suction exhaust systems, or both. Once the dust is in the air, reliance is placed upon further use of water, and on dilution of the silica dust by increased ventilation and on protecting the individual by a suitable mask or respirator. The United States Bureau of Mines now certifies respirators that are safe for silica dust. The use of respirators should be restricted to exposures which are intermittent or temporary.

Where ventilation equipment designed to remove dust from the air is installed its efficiency should be checked from time to time by dust counts, using the standard impinger method. As with other protective devices, there is always danger that this sort of apparatus becomes greatly impaired or useless because of failure to maintain it properly.

I mentioned an exception to the statement that only free silica dust will produce disease of the lungs. This exception is asbestos, a magnesium silicate.

The inhalation of asbestos dust produces a condition of the lungs similar to silicosis. The pathology is quite different and the appearance on X-ray films is distinct from silicosis. Asbestosis apparently does not predispose to tuberculosis and in this country has not been shown to cause much disability, though a few deaths have been recorded. In the manufacture of construction material, asbestos is usually combined with other substances which, by reducing the amount of asbestos in the dust, tend to mitigate the hazard.

A matter of great concern to the employer is what disposition to make of cases of silicosis among his employees. If active tuberculosis is present, it is to the interest of the individual and his fellow workmen that he be removed from his occupation and given proper care. If

infection is not present, there will be little or no disability and the employer should protect the individual from further exposure through methods suitable to the situation involved.

The important item to remember is the nature of the material and the process in which it is employed. Consideration of these two items will enable the employer to ascertain whether a silica hazard is present and to act accordingly. Again let me emphasize that where workmen are exposed to a highly silicious dust in confined places, the situation is extremely serious and calls for energetic and comprehensive measures of control and continued medical supervision of the men. Dust samples should be taken frequently and the men should be examined frequently so that serious disease will not occur.

Physical Examinations of Construction Employees on Public Works Programs

By L. M. DEARDORF

Superintendent of Construction, W. L. Johnson Construction Company, Hicksville, O.

If there ever was a time when employees on Public Works projects should be given physical examinations before permitting their names on the payroll, it is now.

Any contractor who secures a Public Works project with the Highways, PWA, the U. S. Engineers, or any of the other department of the Federal Government is required, as a part of the labor regulations, to submit a requisition for his employees to the National Reemployment Service. The National Reemployment Service is dominated by the WPA organization to the extent that all semi-skilled and common labor are furnished only from relief lists. In the majority of cases those unfortunate individuals who are still on relief are there only by reason of a mental or physical deficiency. They have done very little work during the past five or six years and are pretty

thoroughly demoralized mentally; physically they have permitted their bodies to be run down about like an old paver that has been standing alongside the road for a corresponding period of years.

Our procedure in carrying out physical examinations for our employees is as follows: The contract having been awarded, and the superintendent assigned to the project, one of his first important preliminary instructions is to talk to the doctors in the vicinity of the project and explain to them the problem which we face in securing competent physically-able workmen. If the M. D. shows any sympathy in our problems and troubles, we negotiate for mass examination of the employees. The price paid for the examination is from \$1.00 to \$2.50.

The findings have been very interesting on the various projects as the following facts will testify.

to think, inability to draw a personal lesson from the experience of others, overconfidence and a desire to make good on the job. These can only be overcome by careful and systematic handling on the part of the supervisor. His is the responsibility of putting over to the worker the value and advantages resulting from a close observance of rigid safety inspections.

Systematic Organized Safety Effort

There should be little need to make a plea for more concerted action along this line. As safety men, we know how easily the small employer could be put out of business as the result of even a few

accident losses. The larger employer, as well, cannot afford to overlook the situation—his losses, through waste of time, money and materials, will seriously affect his profits and hold his company up to the public as a very inefficient organization. The ever increasing cost of compensation insurance will undoubtedly be a factor in forcing more consideration for well organized safety work. Personally, I emphasize the side of humanity above the dollar and am satisfied from long experience that where humanity rules, the profits will be satisfactory. Where a real safety program is followed, without doubt efficiency and economy of the highest order will also prevail.

The Present Status of Control of Dust and Fumes in Industry

By H. B. MELLER

Managing Director, Air Hygiene Foundation of America, Inc.; Head, Air Pollution Investigation, Mellon Institute of Industrial Research, Pittsburgh, Pa.

The problem divides itself naturally into medical, preventive engineering and legislative phases, and includes consideration of the responsibilities of employer and employee to each other and to the public.

It is axiomatic that, in case of physical disorder, impairment of function or disability, the more thoroughly the cause and course of action are known, the more certainly can possible remedies be applied or preventive measures taken.

In the diseases or affections under consideration, there are facts upon which preventive methods are based, and upon which further studies now in course or contemplated are, at least in part, predicated.

During the development of the present state of knowledge of the influence of some air contaminants on health, naturally many theories were advanced that later scientific work has modified or displaced. However, not always has the correction been as widely and thoroughly disseminated as was the original theory; this condition, perhaps, has led to some confusion in the minds of many who have not followed too closely the evolution of knowledge in this field.

Classification of Dusts

There are available different published classifications of dusts. Included in such classifications are dusts, the exposure to inhalation of which are, or are likely to be, occupational. Those that are inherently toxic when inhaled or otherwise absorbed and which cause systemic poisoning, include lead, arsenic, mercury, manganese. Examples of dusts which have the ability to penetrate the deep lung tissues and to be retained there are quartz, flint, chert, asbestos, talc, coal, iron, clay, slate, and other types of rock dust.

Attention here will be centered upon this last group and upon pneumoconiosis, the general term used to designate lung conditions caused by dust. Silicosis, which is caused by the inhalation of free silica, has been prominent recently in the public press, sometimes in a way that made misunderstanding possible, if not probable.

Aside from silica, many of the insoluble mineral dusts may bring about slight changes in the lung tissues, which may be demonstrated on the roentgenogram if there is silica in the dust that is inhaled. As far as is known today, most of them are relatively or entirely harmless.

Ability of Dusts to Cause Injury

It has been established that the potentiality of silicious dusts to cause lung damage is, with few exceptions, dependent upon their content of free silica (SiO_2). The development of silicosis depends, therefore, upon the concentration of dust containing free silica in the air breathed. In other words, the total amount of free silica breathed and retained seems to be the primary factor; the length of time necessary for a sufficient accumulation is important, but less so than the total load.

Silica occurs also in chemical combination in silicates. Some of these compounds have been proved to be relatively harmless to health, some are still in the realm of doubt, and one—*asbestos*—may cause the industrial disease called *asbestosis*, which is the result of lung damage similar to, but not identical with nor as serious as, that produced by free silica.

Importance of Particle Size. The size of dust particles in the air which an individual may breathe is important. Not often are particles larger than 10 or 12 microns found in the deep lungs, except in the case of asbestos dust, where fibers as long as 200 microns have worked their way down lengthwise.

Uncomplicated Silicosis. Silicosis, uncomplicated by an infection, is more of an impairment than a disability. It is not seriously disabling until it reaches an advanced stage, and does not of itself greatly shorten the life of a silicotic.

Silico-Tuberculosis. When a silicotic dies, the immediate cause of death is usually some type of intervening lung infection. It is not known just why the presence of silica in the lungs increases susceptibility to tuberculosis, but when an adult with silicosis is exposed to tuberculosis, an active infection may occur, regardless of the previous state of health. It is thought probable that silica dust can reactivate healed tuberculous lung lesions of the adult type; how long after such lesions have healed the reactivation is possible is not certain. Exposure of silicotics to tuberculosis or of tuberculous individuals to silica dust is serious and should be avoided.

After silicosis has become well established in the lungs, medical science has not found a way to arrest the progress

of the silicotic process toward the point of disablement except through "rest," preventing further exposure to silica. Nor has medical science found a way to prevent tuberculosis from being imposed upon silicosis, except through control of contact. The course of silico-tuberculosis is chronic and is very difficult to influence by treatment, according to phthisiologists.

Medical Control. As a basis for intelligent determination of the physical fitness of a man for a job in a dusty atmosphere, physical examination, with stereoscopic roentgenograms of the chest, is necessary. Along with this study goes, naturally, preparation of an occupational history with detail as to the exact nature of previous work and of exposure to industrial dust—not a valueless recording of occupations as "laborer," "machinist," etc. Dr. Sayers, of the U. S. Public Health Service, has developed a method of securing information for a proper occupational history; among others, Cummins of Saranac Laboratory, also has worked out a useful history blank.

Standards have been developed for diagnosis of silicosis. There is also a suitable technic for taking roentgenograms of the chest in order to bring out the characteristic lung changes.

From the considerable amount of work that has been done, it is possible to forecast with a reasonable degree of accuracy the silicosis potentiality of various dusts.

Periodic physical examinations, including roentgenograms, are essential if employers are to be enabled accurately to learn the effect of individual exposure and intelligently to transfer affected workmen to lower dust concentrations before serious injury has been done, or to rotate a system of rotation where indicated as desirable in order that exposure be intermittent. Such examinations are helpful also in showing the efficiency of engineering control methods.

The ability to place responsibility in case of claim for injury is no small part of the value of such initial and periodic examinations.

Asbestosis. Clinical experience has shown that the inhalation of a sufficient amount of asbestos dust causes a serious type of fibrosis, which is not accompa-

by an unduly high incidence of tuberculosis.

Other dusts and silicate compounds so far studied produce, at most, only a mild non-progressive reaction in the lungs, provided there is no significant amount of free silica in their composition. While not serious clinically, such conditions may give rise to considerable litigation.

Adequate statistics on morbidity and mortality from occupational disease, to serve as a basis for effectively focussing the efforts to study and control dust diseases of the lungs, are non-existent.

In concluding this section, the following quotation from a court decision in Wisconsin is pertinent (*Schaefer v. Industrial Commission*, 265 N. W. 390 (Supreme Court, February, 1936)):

" . . . It will be necessary to distinguish carefully between medical or pathological disability and actual physical incapacity to work. The medical experts apparently ignore this distinction, and so increase the difficulty of arriving at a just result under the law."

Preventive Engineering

It would be needless repetition to discuss in a technical way the engineering phases of dust control. All interested are referred to "Industrial Dust," by Drinker and Hatch, which deals comprehensively with the subject; U. S. Bureau of Mines Information Circulars 6840 and 6848, by Harrington and Davenport, a review of literature on "Prevention of Dust Diseases"; U. S. Public Health Service Bulletin 217, by Bloomfield and DallaValle, on "The Determination and Control of Industrial Dust"; Report 29 of the American Standards Association; and to the U. S. Bureau of Mines for a list of approved protective devices.

It may be said that, in general, the engineering specialist can and will devise the necessary equipment to meet the conditions specified to him. But the setting of limits of permissible dust concentration is not his but the physician's responsibility. The engineer is concerned with keeping dustiness to or below the limits at present considered satisfactory.

Methods of Determining Dust

Some dusts (as lead) are determined by chemical methods and the concentra-

tions in air are recorded gravimetrically as milligrams per cubic meter. Others (as silica, where size is a factor in determining dangerous particles), are given by count. Neither method gives the whole story, but in both cases it may be possible to secure the information necessary for the purpose.

In one of the reports referred to earlier, there occurs this statement: "There is no doubt in the mind of anyone familiar with the present dust-sampling and dust-estimating methods that they will be amended and changed from time to time. At the present writing we would advise the use of the simplest possible procedures where dust control alone is in question. If one wishes to obtain values which can be compared with published data, it is generally necessary to copy with considerable care the technic used in the original case. This is another way of admitting the annoying fact that results by one method are usually not comparable to those obtained by another method."

In another place, the report says: "It is very doubtful if any useful purpose is served by determining accurately extremely dense dust concentrations—the only excuse for such data is to show the skeptic that the workplace is much dustier than it should be. . . ."

"It is probable that any sampling procedure which fixes concentrations in groups 100 per cent apart is sufficient and that the results of any attempts to come closer to the concentration at the moment of sampling are simply misleading. Thus ranges such as 0-5, 5-10, 10-20, 20-40, etc., suffice for dust control."

As dust particles in the lungs are of the order of 1 micron, with rarely a particle over 10 microns, dust control for hygienic reasons should be aimed at particles in the size range found to have been breathed and retained. Again quoting: ". . . if one could avoid use of the dusts of 1 micron or less or exclude them from the dust which passes a 325-mesh screen, nearly all dust diseases would be eliminated."

Dust Elimination

Obviously, it is better, when possible, to purchase equipment already supplied with exhaust hood, rather than to have to worry to fit one to equipment not designed to be hooded. Thus the responsi-

bility for potential efficiency is upon the manufacturer.

There is available comparatively little information upon which to base the scientific design of hoods. The Preventive Engineering Committee of Air Hygiene Foundation includes in its recent report a table of minimum air velocities to capture certain industrial dusts (for use in granite cutting, grain elevators, paint spraying, sand pulverizing, and electric welding).

For protective equipment such as dust respirators, air supplied masks, sand blast helmets, and goggles, very complete information is available, because of the work of the U. S. Bureau of Mines, the Bureau of Standards, and the American Standards Association in preparing and issuing specifications to be met if the equipment is to be used under conditions where approval is necessary.

Of course, all agree that, where possible, dust should be controlled at the source, and protective equipment used where this action cannot be accomplished.

Permissible Dustiness

There are various figures that have been and are being used as guides to permissible dustiness where free silica is present. It should be noted that many of the data were not determined as the medical requirement, but as being attainable in good practice and apparently satisfactory from a safety standpoint.

In South Africa the figure is 1 milligram per cubic meter (or 300 particles per cubic centimeter, or approximately 8.5 million per cubic foot).

Dr. Lanza found in 1917 in the Joplin, Mo., district that, with good engineering practice, a figure of 1 milligram per 100 liters of air could be attained.

The U. S. Public Health Service, in its study in the anthracite region of Pennsylvania, found that 50 million particles per cubic foot with 5 per cent quartz in the coarse dust and 10 million particles per cubic foot with 35 per cent quartz were apparently satisfactory and often were attained.

The figure of 10-20 million particles per cubic foot for granite dust with 35 per cent quartz content is often quoted from the Vermont granite studies of the U. S. Public Health Service.

Some metal-mining companies have gone further, setting the maximum permissible dustiness at 5 million particles per cubic foot.

Certainly, there is a degree of air cleanliness that is safe, from the standpoint of concentration of harmful dust. But the engineer may find himself in the position of practically being forced to control air dust, harmful or otherwise, if he would protect his employers against suits of common law and the possibility of award of damages to men who have breathed dust containing little or no free silica. A dusty workplace is difficult to defend in court. Neither courts nor compensation boards, and certainly not juries, have seemed to be greatly impressed with a low silica dust count in an otherwise dusty atmosphere.

Quoting from one of the reports referred to: "Each state is a unit—a sovereign—and it handles its problems in its own way. Uniformity is lacking, although of course the statutes of many states are similar. The courts of the various states follow such precedents as appeal to them; consequently, on any important question there is likely to be a divergence of opinion among the courts, and often the courts fall into two or more groups, each group following a different theory with respect to the same legal problem."

Workmen's Compensation Acts

Workmen's compensation acts have been passed in 46 states (all except Arkansas and Mississippi) and the District of Columbia. These acts are compulsory as to private employers in 14 states and elective in 31 states. Insurance to cover the risk is compulsory in seven states.

A state fund, out of which compensation is paid, is maintained in 18 states; in two of these the employer may, by proving financial responsibility, carry his own risk with limited contribution to the state fund for the expense of administration; in 11 more of this group of states the employer is not required to avail himself of the privileges of the state fund and may provide compensation otherwise. In 28 states there is no provision for a state compensation fund.

Administration of the compensation act is vested in a commission, board, or single

Steam Railroad Meeting

WEDNESDAY MORNING SESSION

October 7, 1936

The Steam Railroad Meeting of the National Safety Congress was called to order by Chairman W. W. Wood, Superintendent of Safety and Welfare, The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company, Baltimore, Md., who presided, welcoming the delegates and introducing the speakers in turn.

Safety in Construction and Maintenance From the Viewpoint of a Chief Engineer

By C. H. PARIS

Chief Engineer, Chicago & Illinois Midland Railway Co., Springfield, Ill.

Accident Bulletin No. 103 of the I. C. C. reports, table No. 55, shows casualties by classes of employees and other persons for non-train accidents. For the 10-year period 1924-1934 the casualty rate for the maintenance of way department was greater than the rate for all employees on duty. For example, in 1930, this casualty rate was 11.07 while for all employees it was 9.36. In 1934, for maintenance of way employees it was 8.06 while for all employees it was 7.04.

In 1930, 70 roads out of 162 had rates in the maintenance of way department below the average for their group. In 1934, 62 roads out of 158 were below the average. This means that less than one-half of the roads have casualty rates in the maintenance of way department better than their rates for all employees.

In 1930 the spread between the lowest and the highest rate for the maintenance of way department was from 0 to 77.92. In 1934 the spread was from 0 to 89.11. This shows lack of uniformity of results and it is plain that the maintenance of way department has a safety problem. Its casualty rate is too high and this rate

is too widely divergent as between the different carriers.

Now what about the nature of the accidents that go to make up the casualty rate? The four principal causes of injury are: 1. Handling rail, ties, timber, etc. 2. Falls. 3. Using hand tools, jacks, etc. 4. Motor and hand car accidents.

Why cannot employees handle rail, ties, timber, etc. safely? Is it because of improper methods, improper tools and equipment, poor quality of men, too much haste without regard to safety, or what? The majority of injuries are to hands and feet. Take the handling of creosote-treated ties for example. These ties may come loaded in flats, gondolas, box or stock cars. They are slippery if freshly treated, so this hazard is added to that of picking them up and throwing them out of the car. The elimination of injuries can only come through careful instructions as to exactly how the ties should be picked up, the assignment of the correct number of men, and their proper placement so they will not foul one another. This is true not only of the men in the car but also those on the ground.