

**To:** gunasskara.mandy@epa.gov[gunasskara.mandy@epa.gov]; Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]; Dravis, Samantha[dravis.samantha@epa.gov]; Rogers, JoanB[Rogers.JoanB@epa.gov]; Sugiyama, George[sugiyama.george@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Dunham, Sarah[Dunham.Sarah@epa.gov]; shapiro@epa.gov[shapiro@epa.gov]; kevin.bromberg@sba.gov[kevin.bromberg@sba.gov]; david.rostker@sba.gov[david.rostker@sba.gov]; tayyaba.waqar@sba.gov[tayyaba.waqar@sba.gov]; Dominic (Dom) Mancini[Dominic\_J.\_mancini@omb.eop.gov]; shapiro@epa.gov[shapiro@epa.gov]; Best-Wong, Benita[Best-Wong.Benita@epa.gov]; viktorija.seale@mail.house.gov[viktorija.seale@mail.house.gov]  
**From:** Theresa Pugh  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 10:29:18 PM  
**Subject:** Theresa Pugh Consulting's comments EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190  
[Pugh FINAL EPAComments Re Evaluation of Existing RegulationsMay15,2017.pdf](#)

Good afternoon.

These comments address a few regulations suggested for modification. The comments also point to a few process issues that may interest you because they are fundamental to EPA. Perhaps no one has yet mentioned to you some problems with recent SBREFA and UMRA panels over the last few years. This regulatory reform process could also revive what was an excellent opportunity to discuss regulatory options under SBREFA that has declined significantly over the last few years in several programs (though not all programs).

The comments also point to two very well organized SBREFA meetings convened over the last ten years (water and PCBs) which were productive and perhaps instructive on how to do it well.

The comments were already submitted earlier to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov)

Thanks—

TP

Theresa Pugh Consulting, LLC

2313 North Tracy Street

Alexandria, VA 22311

703-507-6843

[www.theresapughconsulting.com](http://www.theresapughconsulting.com)

