

Neil Pattell - director Exposure group

● Charlie Aver telephone call

4/16/2000

reviewed 2-gen study - concerns
- value of NOAEL was concerning - $.1 \text{ mg/kg}$ ← I explained it should be
- effects "grew" in second generation (seen at lower doses?)

- would like progress statement until final report is sent → will go to hazard assessors

- Focus on 1976-on with summary of older studies

- what was known + when — as case goes forward Charlie will take time to think about this and document

● - focus on subchronic +

- use tiers of info for focus

tier 2 tier 3 from kids program
2-gen repro
cancer

- PFOA - submit as 2A - POFS et al + 2B - PFOA

↓
Keep separate

Exhibit
1664

State of Minnesota v. 3M Co.,
Court File No. 27-CV-10-28862

3MA00470824

1664.0001

use key study
concept.

Use Exposure Info Profile

- Exposure information
- Monitoring data
- analytical protocols

UEIP forms from SIDS cases
basic categories release
use
consumer
workers

- Critical thing is for EPA to see info.

- More critical focus is on environmental

- Human exposure info
 - repro
 - developmental - rabbit
 - teratology
 - PK
 - metabolism
 - sub chronic - less important
 - human monitoring

Submit to docket + to Oscar H. directly

7403 mail code
1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Wash D.C. 20460

As usual

Boccini - suggested we talk with him

TSCA 4(f) - chem present significant risk
180 day time frame to evaluate info and take action