

■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid)

From: ■■■■■ (FOD Economie - SPF Economie)
Sent: vendredi, 24 novembre 2023 13:22
To: ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid); ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid)
Cc: ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid); ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid); ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid)
Subject: Re: WCSR advice PFAS / TOP assay

Yeah, might be a good idea.

Kind regards,
■■■■■

From: ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid) <■■■■■@health.fgov.be>
Date: Friday, 24 November 2023 at 12:14
To: ■■■■■ (FOD Economie - SPF Economie) <■■■■■@economie.fgov.be>, ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid) <■■■■■@health.fgov.be>
Cc: ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid) <■■■■■@health.fgov.be>, ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid) <■■■■■@health.fgov.be>, ■■■■■ (SPF Santé Publique - FOD Volksgezondheid) <■■■■■@health.fgov.be>
Subject: WCSR advice PFAS / TOP assay

Hi ■■■■■ and ■■■■■,

During our meeting yesterday (about VITO PFAS analytics report), VITO said that they are not ok with the TOP -assay (total oxidizable).

However, I just attended EEA/EIONET meeting, where DE said that they are finalising a project for standardizing the test, use it, and that DIN is working on that.

➔ This brings back my fears, even more that now we see that VITO is making the study about analytics for the restriction dossier.

Maybe we should request an advice to DE scientists on that aspect that will brought to the WCSR together with VITO report, for a focussed opinion of the committee?



Santé publique
Sécurité de la Chaîne alimentaire
Environnement

■■■■■ ■■■■■

Cell manager – PFAS, Chemicals in Circular economy, Mercury, Safe-by-design

■■■■■@health.fgov.be

Tel: +32 (0)2 524 9672

Avenue Galilée, 5/2 • 1210 Bruxelles • www.health.belgium.be



