

CCIM DOSSIERFICHE EU

DOSSIER :	<i>AOB point by Belgium Findings, obstacles and opportunities in the management of PFAS pollution</i>
Piloot	
Andere Belgische contactpersonen	<i>CCIM SG Chemical Products (incl. CCIM WG PFAS)</i>
Fichedatum (laatste aanpassing):	14/10/22
Praktische overwegingen:	The AOB-note is in English, in line with this the same language is also used in this 'fiche'.

CONTEXT

Korte inhoud van de belangrijkste elementen en innovaties van het voorstel en (internet-)link naar het voorstel:
<i>No proposal in particular is the subject of the AOB point, several aspects of EU policy are referred to proper to the defended need for a systemic approach (+ see links with circular economy policy).</i>
Strategisch belang van het dossier voor België:
<i>The AOB point wants to highlight important aspects linked to the management of PFAS pollution, the latter having considerable impacts at the Flemish level. Solutions could and should partly be provided by further steps at the EU level. Our country already called for “coordinated action against PFAS” and placed the issue for that reason on the agenda as an AOB point of a meeting of EU environment ministers a year ago (6/10/21).</i>
Bevoegdheidsverdeling binnen België en mogelijke gevolgen van het voorstel voor regionale en/of federale regelgeving, bestuurlijke organisatie, kosten...
<i>Mixed competence within BE;</i>

PROCES

Stand van zaken besluitvorming Europees niveau (Europees commissaris, DG, WG van de raad, COREPER I/II, Raad en Parlement (commissie enz.) :
<i>The broader context of PFAS challenges and regulatory initiatives is described in the CCIEPfiche “XXXX”</i>
Stand van zaken besluitvorming Belgisch niveau (DGE, CCIM, andere...)
<i>The note was introduced by the FL Region and after agreement at the CCIEP-level (7/10/22) it obtained the validation at DGE-level (11/10/22). Announced at the MERTENS and COREPER meeting (12/10/22).</i>

INHOUDELIJK

Voornaamste knelpunten + posities lidstaten, Commissie en EP
<i>Not applicable</i>
<i>For the proposed contents: the formulations of the AOB-note provide the message BE wants to bring.</i>
Aandachtspunten stakeholders (NGO, vakbonden, federatie, publieke opinie, relevante persaandacht...) :

At the Flemish level we witnessed a lot of attention by stakeholders and media on the topics linked to the revealed impacts of PFAS pollution (this in particular since the spring of 2021, and during the period that the parliamentary commission of inquiry was working on the issue in the Flemish Parliament (16/06/2021 – 30/03/2022)). As we speak new important developments to tackle PFAS related issues still receive considerable media attention and also replies by stakeholders.

(Voorstel van) Belgisch standpunt (in het algemeen en over de knelpunten)

Not applicable

VOORSTEL VAN MONDELINGE INTERVENTIE voor de BE tussenkomst op de Raad Leefmilieu

In dealing with the PFAS pollution in our Flemish Region we identified its presence at suspected but also unsuspected areas like nature reserves. Due to its persistent properties an enrichment of PFAS in water takes place, causing slowly increasing values in surface, ground and drinking water.

We foresee challenges for implementing and enforcing the standards certainly as they are expected to be lowered considerably (see for instance proposals for standards in the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD)). And this even when applying the present most performant best available techniques.

A second observation is that to solve the legacy issues linked to PFAS this requires significant human and financial resources, knowledge of performant practices and the capacity to deploy them.

We suggest tackling PFAS by covering the complete value chain, and this in a systemic way also at EU level: preventing production and use, minimizing emissions, remediation of pollution, the managing of PFAS-holding materials, and the destruction of PFAS residues.

We need to maximize the exchange of views on the way forward to ensure health protection, environment quality, good water status, and if possible safe soil reuse. We are especially interested in ways to overcome current technical limitations to achieve these goals and strengthen legal certainty. We can already announce that we will share more information on this for your consideration and commenting in the near future.