

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES IN OCCUPATIONAL MEDICINE

Changing Attitudes and Opinions Regarding Asbestos and Cancer 1934-1965

Phillip E. Enterline, PhD

Literature published in the years 1934-1965 was reviewed to determine attitudes and opinions of scientists as to whether asbestos is a cause of cancer. In Germany, the issue was decided in 1943 when the government decreed that lung cancer, when associated with asbestosis (of any degree), was an occupational disease. In the United States, however, there was no consensus on the issue until 1964. Opinions of scientists over a 22 year period are shown and the contributions of various cultural, social, economic and political factors to these opinions are discussed. A lack of experimental and epidemiological evidence played a major role in delaying a consensus. Other important factors included a rejection of science conducted outside of the U.S. during this period, particularly a rejection of German scientific thought during and after WWII, and a rejection of clinical evidence in favor of epidemiological investigations. Individual writers rarely changed their minds on the subject of asbestos as a cause of cancer.

Key words: epidemiology, mesothelioma, lung cancer, asbestosis, literature review, history of medicine

INTRODUCTION

Observations on disease in human populations have made important contributions to our knowledge about causal factors in disease. It is tempting to ask, however, why it took so long to discover things that are today so obviously true. How could we have long missed the association between cigarette smoking and lung cancer, for example. The answer must lie, in part, in what data were available, how data were interpreted by medical observers, and what factors influenced these interpretations. Clearly, some of these interpretations must have been wrong, and if the reasons for

Department of Biostatistics, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA. Address reprint requests to Philip E. Enterline, Ph.D., Emeritus Professor of Biostatistics, Department of Biostatistics, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, 130 DeSoto Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15261.

Accepted for publication February 15, 1991.

© 1991 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Few an-
mesothelioma
resolved. Ac-
identify with
common dis-
Moreover, th-
ological evi-
existed and l-

Attitudes, Opinions: Asbestos and Cancer 1934-1965 687

the association between asbestos and lung cancer seems to have been firmly established. Table I shows how articles dealing with asbestos and lung cancer were classified. This table also indicates the type of article, whether based on animal or human observations, whether the original article was in some language other than English, and whether the author conditioned this opinion on type of asbestos fiber. In a few cases involving negative animal experiments, the writing has been put under the unproven column even though the writer may have personally believed in an association between asbestos and cancer. Usually, however, where original data were presented, the writer's opinion coincided with what the data seem to show. Only the name of the first author is given. Where textbooks had chapters written by other than the author or editor, the name of the textbook author appears in parentheses after the name of the chapter author. Where discussants of articles are referenced, the discussant is referenced and the name of the first author of the article is shown in parentheses. Unsigned editorials are referenced only as "editorial."

The general impression gained from Table I is that opinions shifted fairly rapidly from "unproven" or "possibly causes cancer" to "definitely causes cancer" during the period from the first published observation on lung cancer in 1934 to 1942. Between 1942 and 1956, not much change occurred except perhaps for a few writings that suggested that asbestosis was not necessarily a precursor to asbestos-caused cancer. During this period, many writers remained unconvinced of a relationship and in 1956, there seemed, in fact, to be a retreat from a consensus as to a causal relationship. The period 1957-1963 also seemed to be one of uncertainty with little change in the position of individual writers on the question of whether asbestos is a cause of lung cancer. In fact, most individual writers held firm to their original position on whether asbestos is a cause of cancer. Perhaps this is unfortunate. It does appear true in all of science, however, that it is better to be wrong than to be inconsistent. Textbook writers were among the last to acknowledge a relationship, whereas writers of editorials were among the first. Note, for example, that after 1951, there are no editorials in the unproven column but five textbooks. Articles in a language other than English are indicated by an asterisk. Nearly all of the early articles in the proven column were in German and it is clear that German writers had made up their minds about the relationship between asbestos and lung cancer by 1943.

A total of 61 papers or writings were found that dealt with the subject of malignant mesothelioma and asbestos. Whether asbestosis needed to be present for this cancer to occur was never an issue. Table II classifies articles that deal with mesothelioma as: 1) asbestos definitely causes mesothelioma; 2) asbestos possibly causes mesothelioma; and 3) the relationship is unproven. Included are articles in which the cancer was not recognized as a mesothelioma but in retrospect appears that it was indeed a mesothelioma. Information is coded as in Table I.

Few authors ever expressed doubt about the relationship between malignant mesothelioma and asbestos exposure, and by 1953, the issue seemed to be fairly well resolved. Actually, rare diseases like malignant mesothelioma are relatively easy to identify with occupational exposure, so that many of the problems in linking more common diseases, such as lung cancer, with asbestos exposure did not apply here. Moreover, those who observed mesothelial tumors did not usually demand epidemiological evidence. The main problem was in agreeing that such tumors actually existed and how they should be defined. Until the report by Wagner et al. in 1960,

688 Enterline

TABLE I. Opinions of Authors Publishing 1933-1965 Regarding the Causal Relationship Between Asbestos and Lung Cancer*

Year	Definitely causes lung cancer		Possibly causes lung cancer		Unproven
	Without asbestosis	Only after asbestosis	Without asbestosis	Only after asbestosis	
1934					Wood {O}
1935				Lynch {O}	Gloyne {O}
1936				Egbert {O}	Gloyne {O}
1938		Nordmann {O} Hummig {O}		Tefeky {R} Koelsch {R}	Vorwald {O,a} Editorial (1) Editorial (2) Gloyne {T}
1939		Haader {R}		Klotz {O} Saepe {O}	Lynch {O} ILO {R} Lanza {T}
1940					Gardner {R}
1941	Nordmann {O,a}	Linzbach {O}		Desmoules {O}	
1942		Wegelin {R} Welf {O}		Holleb {O} Heuper {T}	
1943		Wedler (1) {O} Wedler (2) {R} Boemke {O} Heuper {R}		Hombberger {O}	Wampler {T}
1946		Hueper (1) {R} Hueper (2) {R}			King {O,a}
1947				Kernaway {O}	Wegelius {O}
1948		Saita {R}		Lynch {O} Caretton {O}	Warren {R} Tefeky {T}
1949		Merewether {O} L.W. Smith {R} Wyers {O} Editorial		Doig {R}	
1951		Hueper {R} Gwen {O,q} Sioll {O} Tabershaw {R}	Gloyne {O}		Vorwald {O,a} Behrens {O,a} Sax {T}
1952		Hueper {R} Werber {O}		Belucis {R}	WE Smith (1) {R,a} WE Smith (2) {O} Cartier {R} Lanza {R}
1953	Clemmesen {E}	WE Smith {R} Isselbacher {O} Weiss {O} Boemke {O}		Lynch {O}	Vorwald {C}
1955	Doll {O} Editorial (1) Editorial (2)	Hunter {q} Knox {C} Rambola {O,f} Portigliati, Barbois {O} Hueper (2) {O} Bonser {O} Merewether {O} Hueper (3) {R}	Breslow {O}	Lynch {O} KW Smith {R,f} Jacob {O}	Cartier {O} Hueper (1) {O,a}

(continued)

TABLE I. Opinion Between Asbestos and Lung Cancer

Year	Without asbestosis
1956	
1957	
1958	Schmahl {O,a}
1959	Bohlig {O}
1960	Schepers {T} Gilson {T,f} Konig {O}
1961	
1962	
1963	Mancuso {O}
1964	Doll {O} Elwood {O} Oettle {O} Selikoff {O}
1965	Editorial (1) Working Group, UIAC {E}

*O, original article (observation letters, etc.); T, text quote from other source—For example author's last expressed the opinion that one author only the first a year articles are identified

TABLE I. Opinions of Authors Publishing 1933-1965 Regarding the Causal Relationship Between Asbestos and Lung Cancer* (Continued)

Year	Definitely causes lung cancer		Possibly causes lung cancer		Unproven
	Without asbestos	Only after asbestos	Without asbestos	Only after asbestos	
1956		Wetter {T} Hueper {R} Bohlig {R}		Goldblatt {T} Francia {O,f} McGee {q}	Rosenblatt {T} Todd {O} Hammond {T} Bohlig {R}
1957		Jones-Williams {O} Fairhall {T,q} Editorial		O'Donnell {O}	Sax {T} Lynch {O,a}
1958	Schmahl {O,a,q}	Goldblatt {R} Bohlig {O} Chauve {O}		Sander {T}	Braun {O} Bohlig {O}
1959	Bohlig {O}	Duhon {T} Hueper {T} Bohme (1) {O} Bohme (2) {O} Eckardt {T}		Nordvik {R,t}	
1960	Schepers {T} Gilson {T,f} Konig {O}			Keat {O} Anderson {O}	Johnstone {T} Dunn {O}
1961		Heard {O} Telisch {O} Frankel {O} Trimble {C} Krzynien {R}		Sczymczykiewicz {R}	Johnstone {C}
1962			Champeix {O} DeLaguillanne {O} Hunter {T}	Cordova {O} Fingerland {O}	Danrigal {O}
1963	Mancuso {O}	Leathern {O} Knowles {O} Walters {O}		Farina {O}	KW Smith {T}
1964	Doll {O} Elwood {O} Oettle {O} Selikoff {O}	Noetmicks {O} Buchanan {O,f}		Navrátil {O} Kogan {O} Gafafer {T}	Am. College of Chest Physicians {R,f}
1965	Editorial (1) Working Group, UIAC {E}	Dutra {O} Elmes {O} Hinson {R} Jones-Williams {O} Editorial (2) Anspach {O}	Cauna {O}		Andrews {T,q}

*O, original article (observations, studies, experiments); R, review article; E, editorial; C, communication (letters, etc.); T, textbook or monograph; f, foreign language article (not English); a, animal data; q, quote from other source—no opinion expressed; f, association depends on type of fiber; s, sarcoma only. For example author's last name {O,a,f} indicates an original article on an animal study where the author expressed the opinion that the association is dependent on the type of fiber. Where there was more than one author only the first author's name is given. If that author published more than one article in a single year articles are identified by (1), (2), etc.

(continued)

690 Enterline

TABLE II. Opinions of Authors Publishing 1933-1965 Regarding the Causal Relationship Between Asbestos and Mesothelial Tumors*

Year	Definitely causes mesothelioma	Possibly causes mesothelioma	Unproven
1933			Gloyne {O}
1934			Wood {O}
1943	[†] Wedler (1) {R} [†] Wedler (2) {O}		
1949		Doig {R}	
1951			[†] Behrens {O,a}
1952		WE Smith (1) {R}	Cartier {R}
1953	[†] Weiss {O}		
1954	[†] Leicher {O}		
1955		Bonser {O}	
1956		[†] Bonfig {R}	
1958		[†] Van der Schoot {O}	
1960	Gilson {T,f} [†] Konig {O}	Keal {O} Wagner {O} Schepers {T,f}	
1961	Sleggs {O} [†] Frankel {O}	Heard {O}	Knox {C}
1962	McNulty {O,f} Thompson {O} Wagner {O,a} McCaughey {C} Enticknap {C}	Smithers {C} Hunter {T}	
1963	Smithers {R,f} Mancuso {O} Walters {R} Thompson {C} Lawson (1) {C} Lawson (2) {C}	Knowles {O} Thompson	
1964	Buchanan {O,f} Enticknap {O} Hourihane {O} Noeninckx {O} Oettle {O} Owen {O} WE Smith {O,a}	Garafer {T} Murray {R,f} Elwood {O,f} Fowler {O} Selikoff {O} Editorial {f}	Am. College of Chest Physicians {R,f}
1965	Dutra {O,q} Ehnes {O} Hinson {R} Selikoff {O} WE Smith {O,a,f} Steel {O} [†] Anspach {O} Editorial (1) Editorial (2) {f}		

*See Table I for explanations of symbols and style.

these cancer articles follow single writer opinions on the asbestos mo

LITERATURE

The ea Gloyne in E autopsy in 5 these two cas asbestosis ca case from the in two cases correlation m facing an oc writers quick animal study white mice as this the expe discovering tl government i asbestosis (of January 25, 1 1950. In 1943 most recent no writing in Ge mesothelioma

From the very popular attention. The discovery shot his 1935 repor fulfilled in ord rate in the occ a significant ex association of genic propertic Gloyne's lifeti cancer. Nearly seen at autops concern for the that necropsy cancer, wherea 15 and over wa

Lynch, to asbestos and h

these cancers were not a major focus of research. Table II shows that a flood of articles followed the appearance of the paper by Wagner et al., and in 1965 not a single writer expressed doubts about the relationship. Many did condition their opinions on the type of fiber, however, believing that crocidolite asbestos was the type of asbestos most likely to be related to mesothelioma.

LITERATURE HIGHLIGHTS

The earliest recorded observation on lung cancer was a report by Wood and Gloyne in England in 1934. They reported two cases of lung carcinoma seen at autopsy in 53 cases of asbestosis. In 1935, Gloyne gave a complete description of these two cases. Also in 1935, Lynch and Smith reported a case of lung cancer in an asbestosis case from the U.S., and in 1936, Egbert and Geiger also reported such a case from the U.S. In 1938, in Germany, Nordmann added two cases of lung cancer in two cases of asbestosis, making a total of six, and concluded, "A cause-effect correlation must be present here, even if I had been the only observer. We are indeed facing an occupational cancer which affects asbestos workers." Several German writers quickly accepted Nordmann's views. In 1941 they were reinforced by an animal study in which Nordmann and Sorge reported pulmonary carcinomas in two white mice as the result of asbestos dust inhalation. Other German writers considered this the experimental evidence needed for proof, and gave Nordmann credit for discovering the relationship between asbestos and lung cancer. In 1943, the German government issued a decree declaring cancer of the lung, when associated with asbestosis (of any degree), to be an occupational disease (Reichsgesetzblatt I, P. 85, January 25, 1943). A similar decree was issued by the West German government in 1950. In 1943 in the U.S., Heuper declared that "Asbestosis cancer of the lung is the most recent newcomer among the occupational cancers of this organ." Also Wedler, writing in German [1943a], concluded that asbestos was also a cause of malignant mesothelioma.

From the American point of view, German literature and German laws were not very popular in 1943, and the work of Nordmann and his followers received little attention. The situation was not helped by the fact that Gloyne, to whom credit for the discovery should have gone, was extremely cautious in interpreting his findings. In his 1935 report, Gloyne quoted Bridge and Henry [1928] on conditions that must be fulfilled in order that cancer be classified as industrial in origin: 1) that the incidence rate in the occupation under review should exceed that in the general population, to a significant extent; and 2) that in the occupation concerned, there should be sufficient association of the workers with a substance proved experimentally to have carcinogenic properties. These conditions are accepted by most scientists today; however, in Gloyne's lifetime, these conditions were not fulfilled with regard to asbestos and cancer. Nearly all the evidence dealt with the association of asbestosis and cancer as seen at autopsy. In a paper published posthumously in 1951, Gloyne expressed concern for the selection obviously at work in picking cases for autopsy, pointing out that necropsy records at the London Chest Hospital showed 21.3% primary lung cancer, whereas in the general population, only 2.4% of deaths from all causes at ages 15 and over were due to malignant disease of the lung.

Lynch, to whom most give credit for discovering the relationship between asbestos and lung cancer, was no less skeptical [Lynch and Cannon, 1948]. He

expressed concern not only with the problem of selection but also with the lack of experimental evidence. Only Nordmann and Sorge [1941] claimed to have produced cancer experimentally with asbestos, while experiments by Vorwald and Karr [1938], King et al. [1946], Vorwald et al. [1951], Behrens [1951], and Hueper [1955a] appeared to be negative. Moreover, Smith [1952a], in a review of animal work with asbestos, concluded that the study by Nordmann and Sorge [1941] appeared to demonstrate that asbestos did not provoke lung tumors, since of the two tumors reported in asbestos-exposed animals, one was, based on photographs presented, merely a squamous cell metaplasia, while the other, asserted to be an adenocarcinoma, "is a type of tumor that can arise spontaneously from the mouse adenoma." Even Hueper [1952] seemed to agree with this and, outside of Germany, Smith's views on Nordmann's work gained some acceptance.

Another problem in the U.S. was the attitude of leaders in the field of pneumoconiosis—particularly Vorwald [1953] and Lanza [1952]. Their expressed concern was the lack of experimental data. In addition, the possibility of a different relationship between asbestosis and lung cancer as compared with silicosis and lung cancer must have been disturbing. Lanza [1952] was also concerned about the same selectivity that worried Gloyne and Lynch, and he wondered, in regard to English claims of an association in autopsied cases of asbestosis, how many cases of lung cancer would be found if lungs from any sort of population were subject to the same minute scrutiny.

The situation could have been resolved, from the American point of view, in a report by Merewether in 1949. He compared lung or pleural cancer in cases of asbestosis reported for compensation with lung or pleural cancer in cases of silicosis, and found that 13.2% of the asbestosis cases had cancer at autopsy whereas only 1.3% of the silicosis cases had cancer. This was clearly an important study in bringing about a consensus in Great Britain. In the U.S., however, this seems to have given birth to a new idea: there is a relationship between asbestosis and cancer in England and in Germany but not in the U.S. This idea apparently originated with W.E. Smith in 1952 [1952a]; however, another Smith (K.W.) enlarged on this in 1955, stating that there was a difference due to the type of fiber used in England and Germany as compared with the U.S. In 1955, the Italian writer Rambola also felt that this was an argument for a difference between Italy and England. The argument was also repeated by Eckhardt [1959], Gilson [1960], and Johnstone and Miller [1960].

There was no answer to the question of fiber type, and it no doubt delayed acceptance by those who were uncertain as to whether a problem truly existed in the U.S. There was, however, an answer to the question concerning selection that bothered Gloyne in his 1951 article. In 1955, Doll reported on an epidemiological study of the type envisioned by Bridge and Henry [1928] in which it was shown that the incidence of lung cancer in a group of asbestos-exposed workers in England greatly exceeded that in a comparable group in the general population. This marked an end to a period when conclusions were based largely on pathological and clinical observations and opened the door for contributions by biostatisticians and epidemiologists. It probably also set back acceptance of a relationship between asbestos and cancer in the United States by another decade.

The epidemiologists demanded epidemiological evidence. In 1956, for example, Hammond and Machle stated that with regard to a relationship between asbestos and lung cancer, "there are at present too few cases and too little epidemiologic data

to establish a s
Cancer of the
reports contribu
increases in age
the same age, s
an occupational

The first t
in North Ameri
negative. Thus,
Answers" secti
no epidemiolog
among America
epidemiologic
evidence now s

The turni
of a positive epi
in 1964 by Seli
1964 conferenc
Effects of Asb
session was de
demiological st
mors. Of a tota
cancer (these p
asbestos caused
a relationship.
opinion was ex
was a consider
necessary for path
between asbest

On curren
cases wit
informati
exposures

J.C. Gils
human exposu
solved proble
carcinoma, and
idemiological)

There car
of the lung and
1964 conferenc
ence and of the
a Working Gr
Against Cance
chairmanship c

to establish a significant relationship." In 1958, the Committee on Occupational Cancer of the AMA Council on Industrial Health concluded that individual case reports contribute little to an understanding of occupational cancers and that "specific increases in age, sex, and site-specific cancer incidence above normal incidences for the same age, sex, and site represent the only reliable evidence for the existence of an occupational cancer hazard."

The first two epidemiological studies of this kind on asbestos and lung cancer in North America [Braun and Truan, 1958; Dunn et al., 1960] were considered to be negative. Thus, as late as 1961 [Johnstone] it could be stated in the "Questions and Answers" section of the *Journal of the American Medical Association* that "there is no epidemiological evidence [of an association between asbestos and lung cancer] among American workers." Despite all of the case reports, and despite the positive epidemiologic studies by Merewether [1949] and Doll [1955], the epidemiologic evidence now seemed to be negative.

The turning point came in 1963 with the publication by Mancuso and Coulter of a positive epidemiologic study of workers from an asbestos textile plant and a study in 1964 by Selikoff et al. of asbestos insulation workers. These were followed by a 1964 conference held by the New York Academy of Sciences on the Biological Effects of Asbestos [Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1965]. One session was devoted to experimental studies of asbestos and neoplasia, one to epidemiological studies of asbestos and neoplasia, and one to diffuse mesothelial tumors. Of a total of 65 papers presented, 23 dealt in varying ways with asbestos and cancer (these papers are not separately referenced here). On the question of whether asbestos caused cancer, no opinion was expressed in three papers, while 20 supported a relationship. On the question of whether asbestos caused mesothelial tumors, no opinion was expressed in four, while the remaining 19 supported a relationship. There was a considerable difference of opinion on the question as to whether it was necessary for pathologically detectable asbestosis to be present for a causal relationship between asbestos and lung cancer. As J.C. Wagner [1965] put it:

On current evidence, the earlier view that carcinoma of the lung occurs in cases with a significant degree of asbestosis is strongly supported, but information is still required to confirm that there is no correlation between exposures *per se* and these tumors (i.e. in the absence of asbestosis).

J.C. Gilson [1965], in a discussion of unresolved problems associated with human exposures to asbestos, also reflected this view. In addition, he saw as unresolved problems: 1) the relationship of the type of asbestos to asbestosis, bronchial carcinoma, and mesothelial tumors; and 2) the need for systematic prospective (epidemiological) studies of workers exposed to asbestos.

There can be no doubt that the question of whether asbestos causes carcinoma of the lung and diffuse mesothelioma of the pleura and peritoneum was settled at the 1964 conference. Probably the best indication of the views expressed at the conference and of the questions that remained to be answered is contained in the report of a Working Group on Asbestos and Cancer sponsored by the International Union Against Cancer. This working group met at the time of the conference, under the chairmanship of Thomas Mancuso, and their report was published in 1965.

694 Enterline

In 1964, the Johns-Manville Corporation placed the following warning label on packages containing its asbestos products:

This product contains asbestos fiber. Inhalation of asbestos in excessive quantities over long periods of time may be harmful. If dust is created when this product is handled, avoid breathing the dust. If adequate ventilation control is not possible, wear respirators approved by the U.S. Bureau of Mines for pneumoconiosis-producing dusts.

CONCLUSIONS

The answer to the question as to why it took so long to accept the causal relationship between asbestos and cancer is not a simple one. Clearly, the matter involved a decision as to what is acceptable and conclusive evidence. Perhaps a special set of rules is needed for relationships like asbestos and lung cancer where some other biologic response, such as asbestosis, can be used as evidence of significant exposure, and the rules proposed by Bridge and Henry [1928] were not appropriate after all. Many writers were convinced of a relationship long before epidemiological and experimental evidence was available. Aside from the high incidence of lung cancer in asbestotics, they were impressed by the predominance of cancer in the lower lobes, the time lapse between exposure and disease, the high incidence in females, and the young age of many of the cases seen. Moreover, there were reasonable theories regarding mechanisms by which asbestos could cause cancer. These arguments were seldom acknowledged by contemporary epidemiologists and biostatisticians.

On the other hand, there were scientific reasons for delay in accepting a relationship. There were no good experimental data showing that asbestos was a carcinogen and very few positive epidemiologic data. There were political reasons, mostly reflecting the war with Germany and a rejection or lack of knowledge of much of the German scientific literature and thought during and immediately following World War II. There were economic reasons, since the asbestos industry probably exercised some control over research, and findings unfavorable to the use of asbestos were clearly not in their interest. There were cultural reasons, in that, somehow being an American during the 1940s and 1950s was being different, and ideas which originated outside of America were not readily accepted. Finally, there was a failure by the scientists themselves. Some were too modest, did not read the literature, were married to outdated ideas, or were unable to evaluate the data available to them.

Some of the problems that confronted health scientists in the past, and which delayed acceptance of a relationship between asbestos and cancer, have greatly diminished. Techniques needed for the conduct of experimental and epidemiologic studies have greatly improved; research information is much more widely available; where occupational hazards are concerned, industry is much more open with information than in the past; international political tensions have changed; more funding sources are available to conduct research; and there is better recognition that many types of cancer can be prevented and, thus, that more research is needed. On the other hand, the nature of science has probably not changed very much. Case reports, which signaled a problem with asbestos, are still given little weight; being consistent is still more important than being right; research conducted in the West is more important

than research conducted elsewhere; and health education is based on health effects like in the future.

REFERENCES

- American College of
Intelligence, Commit
Anderson J. Campaign
literature. Arch
Andrews CE (1965): I
Boston: Little,
Annals of the New York
Anspach M, Reitzsch
Mesothelioma.
Baader EW (1939): A
Behrens W (1951): U
Behrens W (1952): U
Boenke F (1943): As
Boenke F (1953): Da
Bohlig H, Jacob G (1
Wocheuschr 81
Bohlig H, Jacob G (1
Gesundheit 13
Bohlig H, Jacob G.
Unfallmed Ber
Bohme A (1) (1959a)
395.
Bohme A (2) (1959b)
461.
Bonser GM. Faults
operatives and
25:126-133.
Braun LC, Truan TC
Health 17:634
Breslow L (1955): In
Bridge JC, Henry SA
London, July.
Buchanan WD (1964)
Occupational F
Cartier P (1952): In di
Tumor Problem
Cartier P (1955): Son
11:204-207.
Cauna D, Totten RS,
Champeix J, De Lagu
aux possieres
Chauvet M (1958): A
Clemmesen J (1953):
of Medical Sci
Cordova JE, Tesluk I
1187.
Council on Industrial
of epidemiology

Attitudes, Opinions: Asbestos and Cancer 1934-1965 695

than research conducted elsewhere in the world; and textbooks, upon which medical education is based, almost of necessity lag behind new knowledge. Nevertheless, health effects like those resulting from asbestos are not likely to be missed for long in the future.

REFERENCES

- American College of Chest Physicians (1964): Asbestosis: Report of the Section on Nature and Prevalence. Committee on Occupational Diseases of the Chest. *Dis Chest* 45:107-111.
- Anderson J, Campagna PA (1960): Asbestosis and carcinoma of the lung. Case report and reviews of the literature. *Arch Environ Health* 1:27-32.
- Andrews CE (1965): Bronchogenic carcinoma. In Baum GL (ed): "Textbook of Pulmonary Diseases." Boston: Little, Brown and Company, p. 551.
- Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences (1965): Biological Effects of Asbestos. 132 (Art 1).
- Anspach M, Rontsch E, Clausnitzer W (1965): Ein Beitrag zur Aetiologie des Diffusen Malignen Pleura-Mesothelioms. *Arch Gewerbepathol Gewerbehyg* 21:392-407.
- Baader EW (1939): Asbestose. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 65:407-418.
- Behrens W (1951): Über Experimentelle Asbestosis. *Schweiz Pathol* 14:275-297.
- Behrens W (1952): Über Klinik und Pathologie der Asbestosis. *Unfallmed Berufskr* 45:129-140.
- Boemke F (1943): Asbestosis und Lungencarcinom. *Frankf Z Pathol* 57:569-577.
- Boemke F (1953): Das Lungenkarzinom in der Asbeststaublung. *Med Monatsschr* 7:77-81.
- Bohlig H, Jacob G (1956): Neue Gesichtspunkte über den Lungenkrebs der Asbestarbeiter. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 81:231-232.
- Bohlig H, Jacob G (1958): Die Häufigkeit des Lungenkrebses bei Deutschen Asbestarbeitern. *Dtsch Gesundheit* 13:1101-1103.
- Bohlig H, Jacob G, Kallabis B (1959): Über Morbidität und Pathologie des Asbestlungenkrebses. *Z Unfallmed Berufskr* 52:64-78.
- Bohme A (1) (1959a): Asbestose und Lungencarcinom. I. *Arch Gewerbepathol Gewerbehyg* 17:384-395.
- Bohme A (2) (1959b): Asbestose und Lungencarcinom II. *Arch Gewerbepathol Gewerbehyg* 17:457-461.
- Bonser GM, Faulds JS, Stewart MJ (1955): Occupational cancer of the urinary bladder in dyestuffs operatives and of the lung in asbestos textile workers and iron ore miners. *Am J Clin Pathol* 25:126-133.
- Braun DC, Truan TD (1958): An epidemiological study of lung cancer in asbestos miners. *Arch Ind Health* 17:634-653.
- Breslow L (1955): Industrial aspects of bronchogenic neoplasms. *Dis Chest* 28:421-430.
- Bridge JC, Henry SA (1928): Industrial cancers. In "Report of the International Conference on Cancer, London, July, 1928." Baltimore: William Wood & Co., 1928.
- Buchanan WD (1964): The association of certain cancers with asbestosis. In "International Congress of Occupational Health, 1963, Madrid." *Excerpta Med Found*, Vol 2, pp 617-619.
- Cartier P (1952): In discussion of: Survey of Some Current British and European Studies of Occupational Tumor Problems: Smith WE. *Arch Ind Hyg Occup Med* 5:262-263.
- Cartier P (1955): Some clinical observations of asbestosis in mine and mill workers. *Arch Ind Health* 11:204-207.
- Cauna D, Totten RS, Gross P (1965): Asbestos bodies in human lungs at autopsy. *JAMA* 192:371-373.
- Champeix J, De Laguillaumie B, Jacquemet L, Mory F, Geille A (1962): Cancer bronchique et exposition aux poussières d'amiante. *Arch Mal Prof* 23:267-271.
- Chauvet M (1958): Asbestose et cancer bronchique. *La Presse Med* 21:908-910.
- Clemmesen J (1953): The endemology of cancer of the lung. Council of the International Organization of Medical Sciences [Editorial]. *Acta Union Int Contra Cancer* 9:11-14.
- Cordova JE, Tesluk H, Kundtson KP (1962): Asbestosis and carcinoma of the lung. *Cancer* 15:1181-1187.
- Council on Industrial Health. Committee on Occupational Cancer (1958): Occupational cancer: Methods of epidemiological study. *JAMA* 166:2171-2199.

696 Enterline

- Cureton RJR (1948): Squamous cell carcinoma occurring in asbestosis of the lung. *Br J Cancer* 2:249-253.
- Darrigal A, Tabbara W, Proteau J, Derobert L (1962): Asbestose, tuberculose pulmonaire opérée et cancer du pœmon. *Ann Med Legale* 42:69-72.
- Deflaguilaumie B, Champeix J, Jacquemet LR (1962): Relations entre cancers bronchiques et asbestose. *Arch Anat Pathol* 10:144-146.
- Desmeules R, Rousseau L, Siros A (1941): Amiantose et cancers pulmonaires. *Laval Med* 6:79-103.
- Doig AT (1949): Other lung diseases due to dust. *Postgrad Med J* 25:639-649.
- Doll R (1955): Mortality from lung cancer in asbestos workers. *Br J Ind Med* 12:81-82.
- Doll R (1964): Epidemiological observations on susceptibility to cancer in man with special reference to age. *Acta Union Int Contra Cancer* 20:747-752.
- DuBois KP, Geiling EMK (1959): "Textbook of Toxicology." New York: Oxford University Press.
- Dunn JE, Linden G, Breslow L (1960): Lung cancer mortality experience of men in certain occupations in California. *Am J Public Health* 50:1475-1487.
- Dutra FR, Carney JD (1965): Asbestosis and pulmonary carcinoma. *Arch Environ Health* 10:416.
- Eickard RE (1959): "Industrial Carcinogens." New York: Grune and Stratton.
- Editorial (1) (1938a): Pneumoconiosis and pulmonary carcinoma. *JAMA* 110:2086.
- Editorial (2) (1938b): Silicosis and pulmonary carcinoma. *Br Med J* 2:411.
- Editorial (1949): Asbestosis and cancer of the lung. *JAMA* 140:1219-1220.
- Editorial (1) (1955a): Cancer of the lung in industry. *Br Med J* 2:780-781.
- Editorial (2) (1955b): Lung cancer in asbestosis. *Lancet* 1:1163.
- Editorial (1957): Industrial lung cancer. *Lancet* 1:411-412.
- Editorial (1964): Asbestos and malignancy. *Br Med J* 2:202-203.
- Editorial (1) (1965a): Asbestosis and malignant disease. *N Engl J Med* 272:590.
- Editorial (2) (1965b): The association of asbestos and malignancy. *Can Med J* 92:1034-1035.
- Egbert DS, Geiger AJ (1936): Pulmonary asbestosis and carcinoma: Report of a case with necropsy findings. *Am Rev Tuberc* 34:143-150.
- Elmes PC, McCaughey WTE, Wade OL (1965): Diffuse mesothelioma of the pleura and asbestos. *Br Med J* 1:350-353.
- Elwood PC, Cochrane AL (1964): A follow-up study of workers from an asbestos factory. *Br J Ind Med* 21:304-307.
- Enterline PE (1978): Asbestos and cancer: The international lag. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 118:975-978.
- Enterline PE (1980): "Asbestos and Cancer: The First Thirty Years." University of Pittsburgh (privately published).
- Enticknap J (1962): Mesothelioma and asbestos dust [Correspondence]. *Br Med J* 2:1475.
- Enticknap JB, Smither WJ (1964): Peritoneal tumours in asbestosis. *Br J Ind Med* 21:20-31.
- Fairhall LT (1957): "Industrial Toxicology," Second Edition. Baltimore: The Williams and Wilkins Co.
- Farina S, Mazzanti G (1963): Asbestosi e neoplasie polmonari. *Lavoro Umano* 15:276-281.
- Fingertland A, Jindrichova J (1962): Asbestosis of the lungs associated with lung cancer. *Prac Lek* 14:468-471.
- Fowler PBS, Sloper JC, Warner EC (1964): Exposure to asbestos and mesothelioma of the pleura. *Br Med J* 2:211-213.
- Francia A, Monarca G (1956): Asbestosi e carcinoma polmonare. *Minerva Med* 47:1950-1959.
- Frankel M, de Jager H (1961): Mesothelioma peritonei in asbestosis pulmonum. *Jaarboek Kankeronderzoek Kankerbestrijding* 11:99-106.
- Gafafer WM (1964): Occupational Disease: A Guide to their Recognition." Washington: U.S. Dept of HEW; Public Health Service Publication No. 1097.
- Gardner LU (1940): The pathology and roentgenographic manifestations of pneumoconiosis. *JAMA* 114:535-545.
- Gilson JC (1960): Industrial pulmonary disease. In Schilling RSF (ed): "Modern Trends in Occupational Health." London: Butterworth & Co., Ltd.
- Gilson JC (1965): Problems and perspectives: The changing nature of exposure to asbestos dust. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 132 (Art 1):132-134.
- Gloyne SR (1933): The morbid anatomy and histology of asbestosis. *Tubercle* 14:550-558.
- Gloyne SR (1935): Two cases of squamous carcinoma of the lung occurring in asbestosis. *Tubercle* 17:5-10.
- Gloyne SR (1936): A case of oat cell carcinoma of the lung occurring in asbestosis. *Tubercle* 18:100-101.

- Gloyne SR (1931) Press, pp
- Gloyne SR (1951:810-81
- Goldblatt MW, "Industria
- Goldblatt MW (
- Hammond EC, A "Pulmona
- iversity Ph
- Heard BE, Willi 16:264-28
- Hinson KFW (15 Chest 59:1
- Holleb HB, Angr Pathol 18:
- Hornberger F (19 J Pathol 1:
- Hornig F (1938): 47:281-28
- Howe-Hane DO B asbestos c:
- Hueper WC (194 Publisher.
- Hueper WC (194: 25:63-69.
- Hueper WC (1) (Hueper WC (2) (190-200.
- Hueper WC (1951
- Hueper WC (1957 moconiosis 1952." Un
- Hueper WC (1) (I rabbits afte JNCI 15:11
- Hueper WC (2) (15 Monograph
- Hueper WC (3) (25:1388-13
- Hueper WC (1956 30:141-159
- Hueper WC (1959 York. Hoeb
- Hunter D (1955): "
- Hunter D (1962): "
- International Labor
- Isselbacher KJ, Ki autopsied ca
- Jacob G, Bohlig H Gewerbepatl
- Johnstone RT, Mill Saunders Co
- Johnstone RT (196
- Jones Williams W ment of lung
- Jones-Williams W (

Attitudes, Opinions: Asbestos and Cancer 1934-1965 697

- Gloyne SR (1938): Pathology. In Lanza AJ (ed): "Silicosis and Asbestosis." London: Oxford University Press. pp 198-246.
- Gloyne SR (1951): Pneumoconiosis. A histological survey of necropsy material in 1205 cases. *Lancet* 1:810-814.
- Goldblatt MW, Goldblatt J (1956): Industrial carcinogenesis & toxicology. In Merewether ERA (ed): "Industrial Medicine and Hygiene." Vol 3. London: Butterworth & Co., Ltd, pp 185-224.
- Goldblatt MW (1958): Occupational carcinogenesis. *Br Med Bull* 14:136-140.
- Hammond EC, Machle W (1956): Environmental and occupational factors. In Mayer E, Maier HC (eds): "Pulmonary Carcinoma. Pathogenesis, Diagnosis and Treatment." New York: New York University Press.
- Heard BE, Williams R (1961): The pathology of asbestosis with reference to lung function. *Thorax* 16:264-281.
- Hinson KFW (1965): Cancer of the lungs and other diseases after exposure to asbestos dust. *Br J Dis Chest* 59:121-129.
- Holleb HB, Angrist A (1942): Bronchogenic carcinoma in association with pulmonary asbestosis. *Am J Pathol* 18:123-131.
- Homberger F (1943): The co-incidence of primary carcinoma of the lungs and pulmonary asbestosis. *Am J Pathol* 19:797-805.
- Hornig F (1938): Klinische Betrachtungen zur Frage des Berufskrebses der Asbestarbeiter. *Z Krebsforsch* 47:281-287.
- Honrihane DO'B (1964): The pathology of mesothelioma and an analysis of their association with asbestos exposure. *Thorax* 19:268-275.
- Hueper WC (1942): "Occupational Tumors and Allied Diseases." Springfield: Charles C. Thomas—Publisher.
- Hueper WC (1943): Cancer in its relation to occupation and environment. *Bull Am Soc Control Cancer* 25:63-69.
- Hueper WC (1) (1946a): Industrial management and occupational cancers. *JAMA* 131:738-741.
- Hueper WC (2) (1946b): Significance of industrial cancer in the problem of cancer. *Occup Med* 2:190-200.
- Hueper WC (1951): Environmental lung cancer. *Ind Med* 20:49-62.
- Hueper WC (1952): Occupational and environmental pulmonary cancer with special reference to pneumoconiosis. In "Proceedings of the Seventh Saranac Symposium on Pneumoconiosis, Sept. 1952." Unpublished.
- Hueper WC (1) (1955a): Experimental studies in metal cancerogenesis VI: Tissue reactions in rats and rabbits after perenteral introduction of suspensions of arsenic, beryllium or asbestos in lanolin. *JNCI* 15:113-124.
- Hueper WC (2) (1955b): "A Quest Into the Environmental Causes of Cancer of the Lung." Public Health Monograph No. 36, PHS Publication No. 152. Washington: U.S. Public Health Service.
- Hueper WC (3) (1955c): Silicosis, asbestosis and cancer of the lung [Editorial]. *Am J Clin Pathol* 25:1388-1390.
- Hueper WC (1956): Environmental causes of cancer of the lung other than tobacco smoke. *Dis Chest* 30:141-159.
- Hueper WC (1959): Environmental cancer. In Homberger F (ed): "Physiopathology of Cancer." New York: Hoeber-Harper.
- Hunter D (1955): "The Diseases of Occupation." London: English University Press.
- Hunter D (1962): "Diseases of Occupations." Boston: Little, Brown and Co.
- International Labour Office (1939): "Occupation and Health." Geneva: I.L.O.
- Isselbacher KJ, Klaus H, Hardy H (1953): Asbestosis and bronchogenic carcinoma: Report of one autopsied case and review of the available literature. *Am J Med* 15:721-731.
- Jacob G, Bohlig H (1955): Über Häufigkeit und Besonderheiten des Lungenkrebses bei Asbestose. *Arch Gewerbepathol Gewerbehyg* 14:10-28.
- Johnstone RT, Miller SE (1960): "Occupational Diseases and Industrial Medicine." Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.
- Johnstone RT (1961): Silicosis and cancer [Letters to the Editor]. *JAMA* 176:81.
- Jones-Williams W (1957): Alveolar metaplasia: Its relationship to pulmonary fibrosis and the development of lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 11:30-41.
- Jones-Williams W (1965): Asbestosis and lung cancer. *Arch Environ Health* 10:44-45.

698 Enterline

- Keal EF (1960): Asbestosis and abdominal neoplasms. *Lancet* 2:1211-1216.
- Kennaway EL, Kennaway NM (1947): A further study of the incidence of cancer of the lung and larynx. *Br J Cancer* 1:260-298.
- King EJ, Clegg JW, Rae VM (1946): The effect of asbestos, and of asbestos and aluminum, on the lungs of rabbits. *Thorax* 1:188-197.
- Klotz MO (1939): The association of silicosis and carcinoma of the lung. *Am J Cancer* 35:38-49.
- Knowles LH (1963): In "Case Records of the Massachusetts General Hospital." *N Engl J Med* 269:747-754.
- Knox JF (1955): Lung cancer in asbestosis [Correspondence]. *Lancet* 1:1275-1276.
- Knox JF (1961): Asbestosis and abdominal neoplasms [Letters to the Editor]. *Lancet* 1:560-561.
- Koelsch (1938): Lungenkrebs and Beruf. *Acta Union Int Contra Cancer* 3:243-251.
- Kogan FM (1964): Asbestos dust and lung cancer. *Gig Sanit* 29:82-102.
- König J (1960): Über die Asbestose. *Arch Gewerbepathol Gewerbehyg* 18:159-204.
- Krzynien H, Wolska E (1961): Przyczynę do badań nad mechanizmem rakotwórczego działania azbestu. *Pol Arch Med Wewn* 31:663-666.
- Lanza AJ, Goldberg JA (1939): "Industrial Hygiene." New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lanza AJ (1952): In discussion of: Survey of Some Current British and European Studies of Occupational Tumor Problems. Smith WE. *Arch Ind Hyg Occup Med* 5:242.
- Lawson JP (1) (1963a): Exposure to asbestos dust [Correspondence]. *Br Med J* 1:401.
- Lawson JP (2) (1963b): Exposure to asbestos dust [Correspondence]. *Br Med J* 1:467.
- Leathart GL, Sanderson JT (1963): Some observations on asbestosis. *Ann Occup Hyg* 6:65-73.
- Leicher F (1954): Primärer Deckzelltumor Des Bauchfells Bei Asbestose. *Arch Gewerbepathol Gewerbehyg* 13:382-392.
- Linzbach AJ, Wedler HW (1941): Beitrag zum Berufskrebs der Asbestarbeiter. *Arch Pathol Anat* 307:387-409.
- Lynch KM, Smith WA (1935): Pulmonary asbestosis III: Carcinoma of the lung in asbesto silicosis. *Am J Cancer* 24:56-64.
- Lynch KM, Smith WA (1939): Pulmonary asbestosis V: A report of bronchial carcinoma and epithelial metaplasia. *Am J Cancer* 36:567-573.
- Lynch KM, Cannon WM (1948): Asbestosis VI: Analysis of forty necropsied cases. *Dis Chest* 14:874-889.
- Lynch KM (1953): Potential occupational factors in lung cancer: Asbestos. In "Am Cancer Soc, Inc., Proceedings of Scientific Section, Annual Meeting, Nov 3." New York: American Cancer Society, pp 115-118.
- Lynch KM, Pratt-Thomas HR (1955): Carcinoma of the lung in asbestosis. *South Med J* 48:565-569.
- Lynch KM, McIver FA, Cain JR (1957): Pulmonary tumors in mice exposed to asbestos dust. *Arch Ind Health* 15:207-214.
- Mancuso RF, Coulter EJ (1963): Methodology in industrial health studies: The cohort approach with special reference to an asbestos company. *Arch Environ Health* 6:210-226.
- McCaughy WTE, Wade OL, Elmes PC (1962): Exposure to asbestos dust and diffuse pleural mesothelioma [Correspondence]. *Br Med J* 2:1397.
- McGee LC (1956): "Manual of Industrial Medicine." Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- McNulty JC (1962): Malignant pleural mesothelioma in an asbestos worker. *Med J Aust* 2:953-954.
- Merewether ERA (1949): "Annual Report of the Chief Inspector of Factories for the Year 1947." London: H.M.S.O.
- Merewether ERA (1955): "Annual Report of the Chief Inspector of Factories for the Year 1954." London: H.M.S.O.
- Murray JF (1964): The epidemiology of cancer in Africa. *Acta Union Int Contra Cancer* 20:753-757.
- Navrátil M (1964): Azbestoza, Plicni Rakovina A Tuberkuloza U Zaměstnanců Zavodu Zpracujících Azbest. *Prac Lec* 16:345-349.
- Noeninckx F (1964): Asbestose et cancers. *Acta Tuberc Belg* 55:102-109.
- Nordmann M (1938): Der Berufskrebs der Asbestarbeiter. *Z Krebsforsch* 47:288-302.
- Nordmann M, Sorge A (1941): Lungenkrebs durch Asbeststaub im Tierversuch. *Z Krebsforsch* 51:168-182.
- Nordvik N (1959): La silicose et l'asbestose predisposent-elles au cancer pulmonaire? *Arch Belg Med Sociale* 17:85-99.
- O'Donnell WM, Mann carcinoma [Abst
- Oettle AG (1964): Can
- Owen TK (1951): Carc
- Owen WG (1964): Diff J 2:214-218.
- Portigliati-Barbus M (1 Accad Med Tori
- Rambola G (1955): Ash
- Rosenblatt MB, Lisa JR Oxford Universit
- Saita G (1948): I Tum
- Sander OA (1958): Pul New York. Intern
- Saupe E (1939): Weiter werbehyg 9:391-
- Sax NI (1951): "Handb
- Sax NI (1957): "Dange
- Schepers GWH (1960): "Modern Occup
- Schmähl D (1958): Car 561-567.
- Szczymczykiewicz K (19 Selikoff IJ, Churg J, Ha Selikoff IJ, Churg J, Ha Engl J Med 272:
- Sleggs CA, Marchand P J 35:28-34.
- Smith KW (1955): Pulm
- Smith KW (1963): Asbe pp 13-25.
- Smith LW (1949): Pneu Compens Med 2:
- Smith WE (1) (1952a): L Med 5:209-217.
- Smith WE (2) (1952b): problems. Arch I
- Smith WE (1953): An ex Endemology of I
- Smith WE, Miller L, Ch with asbestos [At
- Smith WE, Miller L, C injection of asbes
- Smithers WJ, Gilson JC. J 2:1194-1195.
- Smithers WJ (1963): In Ann Occup Hyg
- Steel SJ, Boyd J (1965): Chest 59:130-131.
- Stoll R, Bass R, Angrist Med 88:831-835.
- Tabershaw IR (1951): R Occup Med 3:298
- Teleky L (1938): Der Be
- Teleky L (1948): "Facto
- Telisch M, Rubenstein / bronchial adenom

- O'Donnell WM, Mann RH (1957): Asbestos: An extrinsic factor in the pathogenesis of bronchogenic carcinoma [Abst. of presentation]. *Am J Pathol* 33:610.
- Oettle AG (1964): Cancer of Africa, especially in regions south of the Sahara. *JNCI* 33:383-413.
- Owen TK (1951): Carcinoma and asbestosis of the lung: Report of a case. *Br J Cancer* 5:382-383.
- Owen WG (1964): Diffuse mesothelioma and exposure to asbestos dust in the Merseyside area. *Br Med J* 2:214-218.
- Portigliatti Barros M (1955): Considerazioni sull' associazione: Asbestosi e carcinoma polmonare. *Giorn Accad Med Torino* 115:91-107.
- Rambola G (1955): Asbestosi carcinoma polmonare in una filatrice di amianto. *Med Lavoro* 46:242-250.
- Rosenblatt MB, Lisa JR (1956): "Cancer of the Lung: Pathology, Diagnosis and Treatment." New York: Oxford University Press.
- Saita G (1948): I Tumori primitivi del polmone di origine professionale. *Med Lavoro* 39:105-116.
- Sander OA (1958): Pulmonary dust diseases. In Patty FA (ed): "Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology." New York: Interscience Publishers, Inc., pp 357-411.
- Saue E (1939): Weitere Beiträge zur Röntgendiagnose der Lungenasbestose. *Arch Gewerbepathol Gewerbehyg* 9:391-406.
- Sax NI (1951): "Handbook of Dangerous Materials." New York: Reinhold Publishing Corp.
- Sax NI (1957): "Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials." New York: Reinhold Publishing Corp.
- Schepers GWH (1960): Occupational chest diseases. In Fleming AJ, D'Alonzo CA, Zapp JD (eds): "Modern Occupational Medicine." Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger, pp 463-470.
- Schmahl D (1958): Cancerogene Wirkung von Asbest bei Implantation an Ratten. *Z Krebsforsch* 62:561-567.
- Sczymczykiewicz K (1961): A survey of asbestosis research. *Med Pt* 12:165-178.
- Selikoff IJ, Churg J, Hammond EC (1964): Asbestos exposure and neoplasia. *JAMA* 188:22-26.
- Selikoff IJ, Churg J, Hammond EC (1965): Relation between exposure to asbestos and mesothelioma. *N Engl J Med* 272:560-565.
- Sleggs CA, Marchand P, Wagner JC (1961): Diffuse pleural mesothelioma in South Africa. *S Afr Med J* 35:28-34.
- Smith KW (1955): Pulmonary disability in asbestos workers. *Arch Ind Health* 12:198-203.
- Smith KW (1963): Asbestosis. In Lanza AJ (ed): "The Pneumoconioses." New York: Grune & Stratton, pp 13-25.
- Smith LW (1949): Pneumoconiosis and lung cancer with special reference to silicosis and asbestosis. *Compens Med* 2:3-10.
- Smith WE (1) (1952a): Lung cancer with special reference to experimental aspects. *Arch Ind Hyg Occup Med* 5:209-217.
- Smith WE (2) (1952b): Survey of some current British and European studies of occupational tumor problems. *Arch Ind Hyg Occup Med* 5:242-263.
- Smith WE (1953): An evaluation of claims for occupational factors in cancer of the lung. *Intern Sym on Epidemiology of Lung Cancer. Acta Union Int Contra Cancer* 9:50-58.
- Smith WE, Miller L, Churg J, Selikoff IJ (1964): Pleural reaction and mesothelioma in hamsters injected with asbestos [Abstract]. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 5:59.
- Smith WE, Miller L, Churg J, Selikoff IJ (1965): Mesotheliomas in hamsters following intrapleural injection of asbestos. *J Mount Sinai Hosp (New York)* 32:1-8.
- Smithers WJ, Gilson JC, Wagner JC (1962): Mesotheliomas and asbestos dust [Correspondence]. *Br Med J* 2:1194-1195.
- Smithers WJ (1963): In discussion of: Some Observations on Asbestosis: Leach GL, Sanderson JT. *Ann Occup Hyg* 6:65.
- Steel SJ, Boyd J (1965): Pleural calcification and mesothelioma following exposure to asbestos. *Br J Dis Chest* 59:130-132.
- Stoll R, Bass R, Angrist AA (1951): Asbestosis associated with bronchogenic carcinoma. *Arch Intern Med* 88:831-835.
- Tabershaw IR (1951): Report on the industrial hygiene in the Western Zone of Germany. *Arch Ind Hyg Occup Med* 3:298-315.
- Teleky L (1938): *Der Berufliche Lungenkrebs*. Acta Union Int Contra Cancer 3:253-268.
- Teleky L (1948): "Factory and Mine Hygiene." New York: Columbia University Press.
- Telisch M, Rubenstein AJ (1961): Pulmonary asbestosis associated with primary carcinoma of the lung, bronchial adenomas and adenocarcinoma of the stomach. *Arch Pathol* 72:234-243.

700 Enterline

- Thompson JG (1962): Mesothelioma of pleura or peritoneum and limited basal asbestosis. *S Afr Med J* 36:759-760.
- Thompson JG (1963): Exposure to asbestos dust and diffuse pleural mesotheliomas [Correspondence]. *Br Med J* 1:123.
- Todd IC, MacDermott HE, Mathews WH (1956): Clinico-Pathological Conference VII [Montreal General Hosp]. *Can MAJ* 75:757-760.
- Trimble GK (1961): Silicosis and cancer [Letters to the Editor]. *JAMA* 178:352-353.
- Van der Schoot HCM (1958): Asbestosis en Pleuragezwelven. *Med T Geneesk* 102:1125-1126.
- Vorwald AJ, Karr JW (1938): Pneumoconiosis and pulmonary carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* 14:49-57.
- Vorwald AJ, Durkan TM, Pratt PC (1951): Experimental studies of asbestosis. *Arch Ind Hyg Occup Med* 3:1-43.
- Vorwald AJ (1953): Personal communication referenced in Isselbacher KJ, Klaus H, and Hardy H: Asbestosis and Bronchogenic Carcinoma. *Am J Med* 15:721-731.
- Wagner JC, Sleggs CA, Marchand P (1960): Diffuse pleural mesothelioma and asbestos exposure in the North Western Cape Province. *Br J Ind Med* 17:260-271.
- Wagner JC (1962): Experimental production of mesothelial tumours of the pleura by implantation of dusts in laboratory animals. *Nature* 196:180-181.
- Wagner JC (1965): The sequelae of exposure to asbestos dust. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 132(Art 1):691.
- Walters LG (1963): Industrial cancer in South Africa. *Med Proc* 9:24-30.
- Wampler FJ (1943): "Principles and Practices of Industrial Medicine." Baltimore: The Williams and Wilkins Co.
- Warren S (1948): Pathologic aspects of occupational tumors. *Occup Med* 5:249-251.
- Wedler HW (1) (1943a): Asbestose und Lungenkrebs. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 69:575-576.
- Wedler HW (2) (1943b): Über den Lungenkrebs bei Asbestose. *Dtsch Arch Klin Med* 191:189-209.
- Wegelin C (1942): Der Bronchial und Lungenkrebs. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr* 72:1053-1062.
- Wegelin C (1947): Changes in the lungs in 126 cases of asbestosis observed in Finland. *Acta Radiol* 28:139-152.
- Weiss A (1953): Pleurakrebs bei Lungenasbestose in Vivo Morphologisch Gesichert. *Medizinische* 1: 93-94.
- Weller CV (1956): "Causal Factors in Cancer of the Lung." Springfield: Charles C. Thomas.
- Welz A (1945): Weitere Beobachtungen über den Berufskrebs der Asbestarbeiter. *Arch Gewerbepathol Gewerbehyg* 11:536-550.
- Werber M (1952): Lungen-Asbestose und Karzinom. *Z Arbeitsmed Arbeitsschutz* 2:179-180.
- Wood WB, Gloyne SR (1934): Pulmonary asbestosis: A review of one hundred cases. *Lancet* 2:1383-1391.
- Working Group on Asbestos and Cancer (1965): Report and recommendations of Working Group convened under the auspices of the Geographic Path. Comm. of International Union Against Cancer. *Arch Environ Health* 11:221-229.
- Wyers H (1949): Asbestosis. *Postgrad Med J* 25:631-637.

COMMENT

Cadmiur

George Kaz

Key words: cadmiur

With re [1991] refer t no clear dose Doll [1985] p a result of co collected to re have been ob United States prostatic carc provided no e SMR for pros 5-year update to 75, thus su Howeve exists for lung Fraenhofer I to inhaled ca most appropri rise to lung ca Gagliardi et a September 19 ionic cadmiur potency of ca The aut They correctl their text, to t Armstrong an

Centre for Enviro England. Address reprint r lege of Science, 7 2BP, England. Accepted for pub

© 1991 Wiley-L