

Message

From: Ed Brookover [ed@avenuestrategies.com]
Sent: 5/19/2017 3:20:27 PM
To: Beck, Nancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=168ecb5184ac44de95a913297f353745-Beck, Nancy]
Subject: Re: Information/potential meeting request

Thanks for the quick turnaround Nancy!

On Fri, May 19, 2017 at 9:11 AM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Ed,

I've been working to try to see what may be in the pipeline.

As far as my office goes, nPB is not on list of the first 10 chemicals that will be assessed under TSCA. Nor do we have any registered pesticide use with nPB. I put a check in to the air office to see if they have any activities but have not heard back yet. When I do hear I will let you know.

Regards,

Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSP

P: [202-564-1273](tel:202-564-1273)

Ex. 6

beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Ed Brookover [mailto:ed@avenuestrategies.com]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 9:58 AM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Subject: Information/potential meeting request

Hi Nancy,

Ed Brookover here with Avenue Strategies. My friend and former colleague Charles Munoz suggested I reach out to you.

We are doing some research on the current EPA rule-making process regarding the cleaning chemical n propyl bromide (nPB).

Specifically, there are two potential EPA actions that we are trying to learn more about.

First, would be any potential EPA rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), as amended in 2016, determining potential usage of nPB and/or the fact that nPB was on the EPA's November 29, 2016, list of High Priority Chemicals for risk evaluation purposes.

Second, would be any potential rule-making naming nPB as a Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP), given that on March 6, 2017, EPA publicly announced it had received a petition requesting that this action be taken.

Our questions surround these processes and the January 20, 2017, Memorandum from White House Chief of Staff Reince Preibus directing that all federal departments and agencies immediately freeze most pending rules and regulations in order to allow the Trump Administration sufficient time to review all such proposed rules and regulations prior to public release and implementation.

What is the current status of the processes for either or both of these potential rule-making processes and nPB vis-à-vis the January 20th Memorandum? We would welcome as much information as possible about how the EPA plans on moving ahead with these matters.

Could we stop by and have a brief meeting and discussion with you on these questions?

Thank you very much for your attention to these matters.

--

Ed Brookover, Partner

Avenue Strategies

1717 Pennsylvania NW

Suite 1025

Washington, DC 20006

202/841-7921

--

Ed Brookover, Partner
Avenue Strategies
1717 Pennsylvania NW
Suite 1025
Washington, DC 20006
202/841-7921