

Table 10 .... Summary of Heat Losses of Insulated Residence (Btu Per Hour)

| Room or Space                 | Walls  | Ceiling and Roof | Floor | Glass and Door | Infiltration | Totals |
|-------------------------------|--------|------------------|-------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| Bedroom A                     | 2620   | 2770             | ...   | 1530           | 2310         | 9,230  |
| Bedroom B                     | 1720   | 1870             | ...   | 1530           | 1560         | 6,680  |
| Bedroom C                     | 1260   | 1470             | ...   | 1030           | 1340         | 5,100  |
| Bedroom D                     | 1300   | 1220             | 790   | 770            | 1010         | 5,090  |
| Bathroom 1                    | 330    | 610              | ...   | 540            | 670          | 2,150  |
| Bathroom 2                    | 870    | 280              | 250   | 340            | 430          | 2,170  |
| Living Room                   | 3630   | ...              | ...   | 1910           | 5730         | 11,270 |
| Dining Room                   | 1830   | ...              | ...   | 3300           | 3270         | 8,400  |
| Kitchen                       | 1430   | ...              | ...   | 1040           | 2440         | 4,910  |
| Lavette                       | 1620   | ...              | ...   | 1160           | 590          | 3,270  |
| Entrance Hall                 | 430    | 960              | ...   | 680            | 1700         | 3,770  |
| Garage                        | -580*  | -1040*           | 1180  | 4120           | 2120         | 5,800  |
| Recreation                    | 950    | ...              | 720   | 770            | 3080         | 5,520  |
| Design Totals                 | 17,310 | 8,140            | 2,940 | 18,720         | 26,250       | 73,360 |
| Operating Totals <sup>1</sup> | 17,310 | 8,140            | 2,940 | 18,720         | 13,130       | 60,240 |
| Percentages <sup>2</sup>      | 28.7   | 13.5             | 4.9   | 31.1           | 21.8         | 100.0  |

\* Wall heat loss of 1080 Btu/h minus wall heat gains of 450, 370, and 610 Btu/h.  
<sup>1</sup> Based on 750 and 250 Btu/h. <sup>2</sup> Based on 1/4 computed infiltration.  
<sup>3</sup> Based on operating totals.

instance, the glass and door transmission heat losses of 19.8 percent are relatively small. The infiltration losses of 14.0 percent are also comparatively small because the storm windows are equivalent to weatherstripping. In this problem, the wall, ceiling, and floor transmission losses comprise 66.2 percent of the total. Example 7: Calculate the heat loss of residence shown in Fig. 1, based on the same conditions as in Example 6, but having construction improved or insulated to obtain coefficients as follows:

Walls, 0.13; Walls of Dormer over Garage, 0.12; Attic Walls, 0.28; Walls Adjoining Garage, 0.18; Basement Walls (Recreation Room), 0.10.  
 Roof, 0.53.  
 Ceiling (Second Floor), 0.15.  
 Windows (Same as in Example 6).  
 Floor (Bedroom D), 0.18.  
 Solution: The procedure for calculating the heat losses is similar to that for Example 6. A summary of the results is given in Table 10.

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> Evaluated Weather Data for Cooling Equipment Design, (Fluor Products Company, Santa Rosa, Calif., 1958, 1st ed.).
- <sup>2</sup> Engineering Weather Data, (Army, Navy, and Air Force Manual TM 5-785, 1963).
- <sup>3</sup> L. W. Crow: Study of Weather Design Conditions, (ASHRAE Research Project No. 25, Bulletin 1963).
- <sup>4</sup> D. W. Boyd: Climatic Information for Building Design in Canada, (Supplement No. 1, National Building Code of Canada, National Research Council, Ottawa).

<sup>5</sup> Temperature and Wind Frequency Tables for North America and Greenland (Vols. 1 and 2, Arctic Meteorology Research Group, Publication in Meteorology Nos. 24 and 25, McGill University, 1960).

<sup>6</sup> Evaluated Weather for Cooling Equipment Design, Addendum No. 1, Summer and Winter Data (Fluor Products Company, Santa Rosa, Calif., 1964).

<sup>7</sup> M. K. Thomas: A method for determining winter design temperatures (ASHAE TRANSACTIONS, Vol. 61, 1955, p. 387).

<sup>8</sup> A. P. Krats and S. Konzo: Investigation of Oil-Fired Forced Air Furnace Systems in the Research Residence (University of Illinois, Engineering Experiment Station Bulletin No. 318).

<sup>9</sup> A. P. Krats, W. S. Harris, M. K. Fahnestock, and R. J. Martin: Performance of a Hot-Water Heating System in the I-B-R Research Home at the University of Illinois (University of Illinois, Engineering Experiment Station Bulletin No. 349).

<sup>10</sup> A. P. Krats and W. S. Harris: A Study of Radiant Baseboard Heating in the I-B-R Research Home (University of Illinois, Engineering Experiment Station Bulletin No. 358).

<sup>11</sup> W. S. Harris: Performance of a One-Pipe Steam System in the I-B-R Research Home (University of Illinois, Engineering Experiment Station Bulletin No. 383).

<sup>12</sup> G. L. Larson, D. W. Nelson, and John James: ASHVE RESEARCH REPORT NO. 1011—Tests of three heating systems in an industrial type of building (ASHVE TRANSACTIONS, Vol. 41, 1935, p. 185).

<sup>13</sup> F. A. Joy, J. J. Zahrony, and S. Bhaduri: Insulating Value of Reflective Elements in an Attic Under Winter Conditions (Pennsylvania State University, October 1956).

<sup>14</sup> F. A. Joy: Improving attic space insulating values (ASHAE JOURNAL SECTION, Heating, Piping and Air Conditioning, January 1958, p. 223).

<sup>15</sup> F. B. Rowley, A. B. Algren, and C. E. Lund: Methods of Moisture Control and Their Application to Building Construction (University of Minnesota, Engineering Experiment Station Bulletin No. 17).

<sup>16</sup> F. C. Houghten, S. I. Taimuty, Carl Gutberlet, and C. J. Brown: ASHVE RESEARCH REPORT NO. 1213—Heat loss through basement walls and floors (ASHVE TRANSACTIONS, Vol. 48, 1942, p. 369).

<sup>17</sup> W. D. Collins: Temperature of water available for industrial use in the United States (U. S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 520 F).

<sup>18</sup> R. S. Dill, W. C. Robinson, and H. E. Robinson: Measurements of Heat Losses from Slab Floor (U. S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Building Materials and Structures Report BMS 103).

<sup>19</sup> H. D. Bareither, A. N. Fleming, and B. E. Alberty: Temperature and Heat Loss Characteristics of Concrete Floors Laid on the Ground (University of Illinois, Small Homes Council Technical Report).

<sup>20</sup> Concrete Floors for Basementless Houses (University of Illinois, Small Homes Council Circular No. F 4.3).

<sup>21</sup> J. R. Jamieson, R. W. Rogers, and S. Konzo: Warm-air perimeter heating, Part III—Heat losses from floor slab (ASHVE TRANSACTIONS, Vol. 58, 1952, p. 217).

<sup>22</sup> Warm-Air Perimeter Heating (National Warm Air Heating and Air Conditioning Association, Manual 4).

<sup>23</sup> Slab-on-Ground Construction for Residences (Building Research Advisory Board, National Research Council, Publication No. 385).

<sup>24</sup> Heat Requirement Tables for Intermittently Heated Buildings (A. and M. College of Texas, College Station, Texas, Engineering Experiment Station Bulletin No. 60) contains a set of tables applicable to either intermittent heating or cooling.

Further information may be found in a paper by E. G. Smith: A method of compiling tables for intermittent heating (ASHVE JOURNAL SECTION, Heating, Piping and Air Conditioning, June 1942, p. 336).

## AIR-CONDITIONING COOLING LOAD

COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL COOLING LOAD CALCULATIONS: Design Conditions; Instantaneous Heat Load; Solar Radiation; Periodic Heat Flow; Tables for Calculating Solar Heat Gain Through Walls, Roofs, and Glass; Instantaneous Heat Gain vs Cooling Loads; Load from Interior Partitions, Ceiling, and Floors; Load from Outdoor Air, Ventilation and Infiltration; Effect of Outdoor Air on Load; Heat Sources Within Conditioned Space; Moisture Transfer Heat Load; Miscellaneous Heat Loads; Apparatus Dew Point and Required Air Quantity Through Conditioning Equipment; Minimum Entering Air Temperature; Example Cooling Calculation; RESIDENTIAL COOLING LOADS: Interpretation of Data; Design Temperatures; Equivalent Temperature Differentials; Heat Gains; Infiltration and Ventilation; Occupancy Loads; Total Cooling Load

THIS chapter discusses the methods and data used for calculation of air-conditioning cooling loads for both comfort and process applications. Part I deals with the loads for commercial and industrial buildings and processes. Part II deals with the load for residential cooling.

## PART I: COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL COOLING LOADS

The variables affecting cooling load calculations are numerous, often difficult to define precisely, and always intricately interrelated. Many of the components of the cooling load vary in magnitude over a wide range during a 24-hour period, and as the cyclic changes in load components are not usually in phase with each other, careful analysis is required to establish the resultant maximum cooling load for a building or zone. A zoned system must often handle peak loads in different zones at different hours.

Economic considerations must be of particular influence in the selection of equipment for cooling season operation in comfort air conditioning, and this fact, coupled with present inadequacies in available data and knowledge of the air-conditioning art, places a premium on the experienced judgment essential to successful design or practice. Variations in the weather, building occupancy, and other factors affecting load, necessitate carefully coordinated controls to regulate simultaneously the components and the equipment in order to maintain the desired room conditions.

The calculation procedures presented in this section deal with the various instantaneous rates of heat gain, both sensible and latent, in a conditioned space. There may be an appreciable difference between the net instantaneous rate of heat gain and the total cooling load at any instant. This difference is caused by the storage and subsequent release of heat by the structure and its contents. This thermal-storage effect may be quite important in determining an economical cooling equipment capacity. This effect has been demonstrated by investigators using thermal circuit techniques and computers.<sup>1-4</sup> The lack of any adequate means of treating this storage analytically in its entirety for a complete structure must be recognized in judging the procedures and data presented for calculating individual components of the net rate of instantaneous heat gain.

Solar heating calculations involve the same principles as cooling load calculations. Many of the data on solar radiation given in this section can be used in calculations for solar heating.

The general responsibility for this chapter is assigned to TC 2.1, Load Calculation Data and Procedures; TC 2.2, Weather Data and Design Conditions; and TC 2.4, Penetration.

## COOLING LOAD CALCULATIONS

Summer cooling load calculations, whether for industrial or comfort applications, require consideration of:

1. Design Conditions: (a) indoor conditions, (b) outdoor conditions (Table 1), (c) ventilation rate (Table 2).
2. Instantaneous Heat Load, Sensible and Latent: (a) load from solar radiation, sky radiation, and from outdoor-indoor temperature differential for glass areas and exterior walls and roofs, modified by periodic heat flow or lag factors, depending on the type of structure, (b) load due to heat gain through interior partitions, ceilings, and floors, (c) load due to ventilation, either natural or mechanical (d) load due to heat sources within the conditioned space such as people, lights, power equipment, and appliances, (e) load due to moisture transfer through permeable building materials, and (f) miscellaneous heat sources.
3. Determination of Air Quantity and Apparatus Dew Point.

These factors will be discussed in turn. The material presented leads to an illustrative procedure for a cooling load calculation, and a numerical example is given to demonstrate the calculations involved.

## DESIGN CONDITIONS

## Indoor Conditions

Indoor air conditions for human health and comfort continue to be the subject of discussion and research.

Research at the ASHRAE Research Laboratory<sup>1</sup> concludes that, for inactive individuals, lightly clothed in still-air conditions, the line of optimum comfort ranges from 77.6 F at 30 percent relative humidity to 76.5 F at 85 percent relative humidity. It is only slightly dependent on humidity. This research was based on 3-hr exposures to constant conditions in a room where the walls were held at room air temperatures; whereas earlier research resulting in the Effective Temperature Chart was based on subjective reactions to sudden temperature change.

Indoor design conditions, for which summer air-conditioning equipment is selected, should preferably be chosen at 75 F dry-bulb, with a relative humidity of 50 percent for the average job in the United States and Canada. In arid climates, a design relative humidity of 40 percent should be chosen.

The 75 F design dry-bulb temperature, which is slightly lower than the optimum range of 76.5 to 77.6 (with walls at room temperature), will partially compensate for the higher mean radiant temperatures normally encountered in comfort cooling applications. For brief occupancy only, a higher dry-bulb temperature may be chosen, although complaints of discomfort may be expected with continuous occupancy.

(Continued on p. 494)