

FILE NAME: Armco (ARM)

DATE: 1934 Apr

DOC#: ARM004

**DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: O.I.C. Monitor - Bulletin of the Industrial Commission
of Ohio**

FROM
INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF OHIO
DIVISION OF SAFETY AND HYGIENE
COLUMBUS, OHIO

Return Postage Guaranteed

Sec. 562, P. L. & R.
U. S. POSTAGE

Paid
COLUMBUS, OHIO
PERMIT No. 623

O.I.C. MONITOR

BULLETIN OF THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF OHIO

Prepared by
THE DIVISION OF SAFETY AND HYGIENE
T. P. KEARNS, *Superintendent*

MEMBERS OF THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

L. E. NYSEWANDER	THOS. M. GREGORY, <i>Chairman</i>	J. W. BEALL
	T. A. EDMONDSON, <i>Secretary</i>	

Issued monthly. Sent free upon request to the Division of Safety and Hygiene, Columbus, O.

VOLUME VII

APRIL, 1934

NUMBER 4

SAFETY IS BETTER THAN COMPENSATION

Ohio Industrial Commission Monitor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Safety Sentiment Grows.....	PAGE 894
Lead Poisoning Leads in Severity of Occupational Diseases in 1933.....	895-896
With Division Speakers.....	896
February Accident Statistics.....	897
Recent Commission Findings.....	898-899
Safety Tabloids	899
Mine Safety Contest.....	900
Safety Campaign Meetings.....	901
Employment of Minors Reduced.....	901
Awards Made at Youngstown.....	902
Awards for Safe Driving.....	903
District Safety Campaign.....	903
Miscellaneous Safety News.....	904

SAFETY SENTIMENT GROWS

The most striking evidence that Ohio employers appreciate that there are tangible rewards for safety work, is the persistency with which it is being carried on, even in the face of conditions calculated to dampen interest in anything but production and profits.

During the opening months of 1934, the division's facilities have been taxed to the utmost in giving the fullest measure of service in state-wide and community campaigns, with the prospect for even greater demands in the near future.

Confirmation of the steady growth of interest is found in the steadily increasing number of participants in these campaigns. This demonstrates not only that the pioneers in these various movements recognize the value of safety but also that there has been a remarkable growth in safety spirit in industry in the past few years.

Among the groups which are now, or soon will be, engaged in state-wide efforts to cut down accident frequency and severity and the economic costs incident thereto, are construction, mining, cleaners and dyers, refiners, wholesale petroleum distributors, quarries and lime burners. In addition, the meat packers are shaping up plans for a state-wide campaign.

Notable among the community groups in which industrial safety effort has predominated and in which safety campaigns have become an annual institution are those in Cleveland, Cincinnati, Youngstown, Canton, Akron, Elyria, Zanesville, Newark, Pomeroy and Warren. Some of these are of a permanent character, notably those in Cincinnati, Cleveland, Canton and Zanesville, while in the other centers, the usual Safety Week program is being supplemented with a longer period of industrial safety activity with scores of plants competing for records. Dayton and surrounding territory is the latest in line for united effort with a district safety conference on April 12, calculated to interest employers and workers of the entire Miami Valley.

One noticeable feature of these campaigns is the increasing number of participants and the increased percentage of those who have had clean safety records. Even more impressive, is the steady decrease being shown in accident frequency and severity among the various groups, which in itself is sufficient incentive for new campaigns. In some instances this has been especially marked and there has developed a general appreciation of the value of safety to both employers and workers.

Ohio industry as a whole has recorded some real gains for safety in the past year. This statement is based solely upon the statistical reports and without reference to payroll or man-hour exposure, the figures on which are not yet available. But industrial groups that have been pooling their interests in safety campaigns have made more real progress in accident prevention than Ohio industry as a whole. Backed by group records, we say this without fear of successful contradiction.

What the future holds in store for our industries in the way of safety appears to us to depend largely upon our success in enlisting the support and cooperation of some of the large and important groups which have never attempted organized safety effort. Were the frequency and severity among these groups controlled as well as they have been by some of the manufacturing classifications, accident records in Ohio could be pointed to with more pride than is now warranted.

We are pinning our hopes on the future progress of safety in Ohio industry on the thought that the manifold evidences of the practical value of safety will eventually appeal to the indifferent ones and that they will cease contributing more than their share to the establishment of accident records and give industry a fuller measure of cooperation, both individually and collectively, in keeping down the toll.

THOS. P. KEARNS,
Superintendent.

April 134

LEAD POISONING LEADS IN SEVERITY OF OCCUPATIONAL DISEASES IN 1933

A preliminary classification of the claims filed for occupational disease shows a total of 1,218 for the year ending December 31, 1933. As these claims are classified at the time the preliminary report is filed, changes are made occasionally when the claims are given further consideration. It is probable therefore that the figures here mentioned will not correspond exactly with the final report.

Each year a number of claims are filed for such conditions as tuberculosis, asthma, bronchitis and various inflammations and infections of the organs of the body together with diseases of the nerves, heart and blood vessels. As such conditions are not listed in the occupational disease law as compensable, these claims are immediately listed as being non-compensable. During 1933 there were 138 claims so classified. There were therefore 1,080 claims which are probably compensable and if satisfactory proof is filed will be paid when presented to the Commission. It is probable, however, that a number will be disallowed because of lack of proof of disability resulting from an occupational disease or because of failure to comply with the provisions of the compensation law.

In classifying the claims considered compensable it is found that 744 were filed because of some disease of the skin. Most of these are classed as dermatitis, which means an inflammation of the skin probably due to some irritating substance. The law provides that these skin diseases are compensable if they are due to the injurious effects of oils, cutting compounds or lubricants, dust, liquids, fumes, gases or vapors. As most of the claims show that there has been exposure to some of the above named causes a large percentage of dermatitis claims are found compensable. It should be noted that these claims comprise more than 68 per cent of the compensable claims filed during the year. Many of these claims are for the payment of medical expenses with no claim for compensation due to the fact that there was a loss of less than seven days' employment. In many claims there is no loss of time due to the fact that the claimants kept on working at some suitable employment while under treatment. As there are many skin diseases which are not due to occupation, care should be taken that claims are not filed unless it is reasonably certain that the work of the claimant was responsible for the development of the disease.

Lead poisoning was responsible for the filing of 137 claims during the year. Nine of these were fatal. In looking over the various industries from which cases have been reported it is found that several were responsible for most of the lead poisoning claims. These

industries are storage battery manufacturing, printing, paint or enamel spraying or dipping and lead manufacture or smelting. In some of the lead claims filed there was no loss of time but in others the period of disability extended from a few weeks to several months and in a few instances some of our claimants have never returned to work following lead poisoning. The fatal claims are nearly always due to some complicating disease which developed during or following lead poisoning. From the standpoint of time loss lead poisoning is the most serious of the diseases reported.

After deducting the lead poisoning claims there remains 199 claims due to other conditions. Of this number 172 were found to be attributed to tenosynovitis and prepatellar bursitis with 148 due to the former and 24 to the latter. Tenosynovitis is an inflammation of the tendons of the arm and wrist resulting from frequently repeated motions of the hand and forearm causing pain, swelling and tenderness. This quite often occurs when employees are assigned to some employment to which they are unaccustomed and the painful arm or hand results. Prepatellar bursitis is an inflammatory condition of the knee which usually develops from continued kneeling on hard or uneven surfaces. Scrub women, miners and cement finishers quite often develop this condition.

The remaining 27 claims were found in the following eight classifications: Volatile petroleum products (gasoline, naphtha, benzine), 6; benzol or derivatives, 6; brass or zinc, 5; sulphur dioxide, 3; potassium cyanide, 3; carbon dioxide, 2; arsenic, 1; carbon bisulphide, 1.

Considering the total number of claims for the year it should be noted that there is a slight increase over 1932 when 1,135 claims were filed. For the last four or five years the number of claims filed has been near 1,200 per year so that it is safe to estimate that the rate of occupational disease claims is about 100 per month. Experience has shown, however, that most occupational diseases are preventable and that in many instances the development of the disease is due to lack of proper precautions, sometimes on the part of the employee, sometimes on the part of the employer, and quite often on the part of both. In some instances the employee is careless about handling some poisonous substance such as lead, benzol, etc., or refuses to use a respirator or other precautions when such is recommended. In many instances, especially in dermatitis and the handling of lead products, cleanliness is of great importance and the employees are negligent about making proper use of the wash room for the removal of dust or irritating substances. Occasionally

employers have failed to provide proper washing facilities, respirators, helmets, gloves or other equipment necessary to properly protect employees from the hazards existing.

With the proper co-operation on the part of both employer and employee it is probable that much could be accomplished in the reduction of occupational diseases throughout the state.

WITH DIVISION SPEAKERS

Supt. Thos. P. Kearns of the division spoke on "How Compensation Costs Can Be Reduced" at a meeting of the Ohio Lumbermen's Association at the Deshler-Wallick Hotel, Columbus, on March 1. S. S. King was chairman of the meeting and O. L. Appleton, C. T. Melander and R. C. Kuhlman were other speakers. One hundred and fifty lumbermen attended the meeting. On March 7, Mr. Kearns discussed the value of safety education in industry before the Industrial Engineering School of Ohio State University.

Asst. Supt. A. L. Rose represented the division on the program of the annual industrial safety banquet of the Cleveland Safety Council, held in the *Cleveland News* Auditorium on the evening of March 8, there being 300 representatives of Cleveland industries in attendance. Mr. Rose made the presentation of Industrial Commission certificates to group winners in the recent Greater Cleveland Industrial Safety Campaign. F. A. Gage was chairman of the meeting and the speakers were Judge Lee E. Skeel, president of the Council, and Ed. Bang, sports editor of the *Cleveland News*, whose silver trophy for the best safety record in the campaign went to Richman Bros. Co. and was accepted by G. H. Richman, secretary of the company.

Safety Engineer H. P. Heyne spoke on "Safety Responsibility" at a reorganization meeting of the Stark County Foremen's Club, held at the Swiss Country Club near Canton on the evening of February 19, about 300 being in attendance. Robert M. Wallace was chairman and Prof. Russell J. Greenly of Akron University spoke on "Human Relations in Industry." On February 20, Mr. Heyne spoke on "Universal Safety" before 75 members of the Lion's Club of Canton.

Harry L. Sain, special representative of the division, spoke on "What's Worse Than War?" at a dinner meeting of 65 members of the Wilmington Rotary Club. S. S. Ontalt presided. The same day he addressed 600 students of Wilmington High School at a meeting arranged by Supt. H. W. Hodson and Principal J. O. Villars. On Sunday evening, February 25, he gave a safety talk before 45 members of the Fireside Club of the First Congregational Church, Columbus. On March 5, he spoke at a meeting of 60 safety

committee chairmen of the Stark County Campaign, at Canton, Harry Staley being chairman.

On March 9, Mr. Sain made a safety talk to 60 employees of the Ohio Fuel Gas Co., at Homer, with Lester D. Myers presiding and Compressor Supt. Geo. Knoch and Claims Agent P. A. Alberty as other speakers. This meeting was a testimonial to a fine safety record, the compressor station having completed 21 months and the Preston Oil Co. division 29 months, without a lost-time accident. "Safety, Hygiene and Industrial Compensation" was the topic of an address by Mr. Sain at a banquet of the Cleveland Chapter, National Executive Housekeepers' Association, held at the Hollenden Hotel, March 13. Miss Arlene R. Lance was chairman and addresses were made by Mrs. Adele B. Frey of the DeWitt Operated Hotels and E. T. Evanson of Sherwin-Williams Co. The guests at the banquet numbered 150.

On March 15, Mr. Sain spoke on "What's Worse Than War?" to 150 members of the Dunbar High School Parent-Teachers' Association at Dayton. Mrs. Franklin Dunlap was chairman of the meeting and an excellent musical and literary program was presented, with Leslie L. Diehl leading the group singing and selections by the Wilberforce Quartet under the direction of Chas. Higgins. On the same day, Mr. Sain spoke on the subject "This Machine Age" to 45 executives of the Inland Manufacturing Co., Dayton, Supt. Chas. Nellis being chairman of the meeting and President Whittaker and Personnel Director Moler also stressing safety work. Mr. Sain also spoke at the meeting of the Dayton Industrial Safety School on March 19 and on March 20 made an address on "Safety and Courtesy" to 150 members of The Three Star Club, a girls' organization of Ohio Fuel Gas Co., at the Athletic Club, Columbus. Miss Bernadine Gues, president of the club, was chairman of the meeting.

INFECTION IS DANGEROUS

Apparently trivial accidents can become of major importance. A specific case in question came before the Commission recently. In 1931, a clerk employed at a Toledo hotel had answered a call at the hotel switchboard and in turning away struck his glasses against an iron pillar. He sustained a slight cut on the nose, which later became infected, resulting in loss of part of the upper eyelid and almost all of the lower lid and the entire right eye. He was totally disabled for over a year and the Commission recently granted him, in addition to the compensation and medical expenses, \$1,000 for facial disfigurement. The cost of this accident, while heavy, is purely incidental. The sad feature of the case is that the victim of the accident, by reason of the disfigurement, is seriously handicapped for the future.

Sept. '34

cinnati; Ralph Bowsman, Piqua, and Opfer & Faber, Sandusky.

Class 1B—The Van Gundy-Beck Co., Lancaster; The Penker Construction Co., Cincinnati, and The H. R. Blagg Co., Dayton.

Class 2B—S. E. Johnson Co., Toledo; Geo. W. Timmons Inc., Columbus, and Lewis & Copeland Construction Co., Lima.

Class 1C—The People's Steel Co., Cleveland, and Bass Construction Co., Cleveland.

Class 2C—The Haddad-Mall Co., Cleveland; The Cogito Construction Co., Cleveland, and United Engineering and Construction Co., Sandusky.

Class 1D—Antonio Glaros, Youngstown; The Fifth City Wrecking & Lumber Co., Cleveland, and D. Geo. Lowry, Canton.

Light and Power Campaign

The state-wide campaign being conducted by the recently organized Ohio Electric Light and Power Safety League, under sponsorship of the Industrial Commission of Ohio, Division of Safety and Hygiene, got under way in July but reports received for the opening month have not yet been tabulated.

About fifty plants throughout the state are entered in the contest. Included in this number are all the large utilities and eighteen municipal plants which generate and distribute electric power.

It is estimated that close to 10,000 workers are represented in the campaign.

Quarries Campaign

With the close of June, the sixth month of the state-wide Quarries Safety Contest, the 50 firms completing reports for the entire period reported having worked 719,471.5 man-hours with 27 accidents, a frequency rate of 37.5.

Thirty-one of the firms went through the first half of the year without a chargeable accident. On a basis of man-hours worked, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co., Zanesville, was first; National Lime & Stone Co., Bucyrus, second, and Medusa Portland Cement Co., Toledo, third.

The cumulative record of man-hours and accidents does not include the records of four firms whose June reports have not yet been filed.

MAKING SAFETY PAY

Another striking example of the value of organized safety work is given by the accident experience of the Libbey-Owens-Ford Glass Co., Toledo, during the past four years.

In 1930, the company had 687 medical cases with a time loss of 4,476 days for an exposure of 3,022,622 man-hours. The need for greater accident prevention effort was recognized and in 1931, a well-organized safety department began to function. In this year, accidents were reduced to 204 and time loss to 2,080

days, despite an increase of 464,184 man-hours of exposure. The amount paid out for compensation by the Industrial Commission for injuries to the plant's employees in this year was \$53,669.57.

In 1932, while man-hours worked slumped to 2,860,865, accidental injuries dropped to 130 and time loss to 1,611 days, while compensation costs were cut to \$20,628.44. The revival in industry in 1933 shot the man-hours worked up to a new record of 4,388,295, yet accidents increased to only 237 and the severity was only 349 days greater than that of 1932, while compensation costs were still further decreased to \$18,554.95.

Considered solely from an economic viewpoint, the value of safety education to this firm is clearly defined in dollars and cents. Over a period of only three years, it saved \$35,114.62, notwithstanding the fact that in 1933, its employees worked 901,489 man-hours more in that year than they did in 1931.

Still further evidence of the fruits of safety effort put forth by the company is shown in the fact that the average time lost per accident was cut from 12.4 days in 1932 to 8.27 days in 1933.

In May of 1933, the company changed from an eight-hour day to a six-hour day and many new employees were added to the payroll. In June, 1933, the volume of business had increased to a point where a further increase in personnel was necessary and today the company employs about 3,000 people.

Much of the credit for the splendid success of the safety work of the Libbey-Owens-Ford Co. is due to managerial interest, the effective safety system established under the direction of Safety Director C. A. Carson and the splendid spirit of cooperation prevailing among the employees.

AWARDED SUNOCO TROPHY

On Wednesday evening, August 15, at the *Cleveland News* auditorium in Cleveland, The Sunoco trophy plaque for the best safety record in the state-wide Wholesale Petroleum Distributors' Contest was presented by Safety Engineer H. M. Schnerer of the Division of Safety and Hygiene to J. G. Gordon, Ohio division manager of the Shell Petroleum Corp.

About 100 attended the meeting and addresses on safety topics were made by Special Representative Harry L. Sain of the Division of Safety and Hygiene; Judge Lee E. Skeel, president of the Cleveland Safety Council; Sergeant Arthur Roth of the Cleveland Police Department; Safety Engineer H. M. Schnerer and Glenn Byers, St. Louis, Mo., safety director for the Shell Corporation.

As a manifestation of their interest in safety, the Canfield Oil Co., The Vacuum Oil Co., and the Shell Petroleum Corp. divided the expense of the meeting.

NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS SESSIONS A GREAT IMPETUS TO MOVEMENT

To attend a meeting such as that of the National Safety Congress at Cleveland last month, listen to the many capable addresses before general and section meetings and attempt to segregate one particular trend of thought indicative of definite pressure being brought to bear on any one point looking to the solution of the safety problem, is practically a hopeless task.

Many men of many minds are assembled in such gatherings. There is very little of similarity in either the theoretical or practical application of solutions offered for accident ills. Yet one was able to detect in the various addresses and discussions something of a general theme which contributed to the positive element of harmony in the plans and purposes of the deeply interested and intensely enthusiastic delegates.

Keynotes of the Sessions

No doubt the identification of this general theme would bring opinions as widely divergent as the group interests represented and influenced to no small degree by the sectional affiliations of the delegates. Since our interest in safety is specifically directed toward accident prevention in industry, our attempt to detect the keynote of the sessions was devoted to the addresses and discussions covering that phase of safety. Our deductions led us to this conclusion—the consensus of opinion seemed to be that safety in this day is inextricably interwoven with the social problem, that success depends largely upon management's willingness to counsel with its employees on accident prevention and that the necessity for human engineering is centered in the work of eliminating the hazards of loss of skill due to long periods of idleness and the rehabilitation of men as regards their mental attitudes traceable to the same cause.

Not one, but many of the speakers made clear allusions to the new problems for safety created by changing social relations and the strong probability that these relations would necessarily have some degree of permanency. Generally speaking, it was predicted that the relations between management and men would necessarily be closer, and as a natural consequence, more harmonious. It follows naturally, that success of the safety program would then be dependent, to a great extent, upon the measure of participation in safety matters assured to employee representation. This infers a closer human relationship between employer and employee, a more general recognition of the mutual interests involved in accident prevention and a greater universal striving toward humanitarian, as well as economic, accomplishments for the cause.

While it was not humanly possible for any one person to hear even all the talks on industrial safety, many

of the section meetings being held simultaneously, the writer listened to many of them and was impressed with the fact that a majority of the speakers, either specifically or incidentally, referred to the problem of the reinstated employee and his status as an asset or liability to plant safety. The tenor of remarks along this line so nearly coincides with editorial expressions in *The Monitor* during the past year that repetition is unnecessary.

Old Truths Get New Meanings

Expressions of opinion on a problem so thoroughly debated and analyzed over a long period of years as that of safety could hardly be expected to develop anything decidedly revolutionary in safety thought and it is doubtful whether any new angles more significant than the ones just mentioned were developed. But it is doubted if any session of the Congress ever presented an array of speakers who gave such force and significance to old and accepted truths. No phase of the safety movement was overlooked in the compilation of the many programs and for five full days there was hardly an hour of the day or evening when it was not possible to hear discussed some topic of particular interest.

Everything connected with the sessions was on a magnificent scale. The general sessions, the section meetings, the formal dinners and luncheons, the topic sessions—all attracted an attendance which taxed the facilities provided. The safety exhibit was bigger, better and more ingenious than ever and the accommodations afforded by the Cleveland hotels met every requirement. It was a stupendous affair, too big to admit of detail, yet too splendid to be dismissed with such generalities as are necessitated by the space at our disposal.

Taking into consideration the uncertain tendencies of the times, it is doubtful if ever in the twenty-three years of its history the National Safety Council has had a more gratifying annual convention than that at Cleveland. It was pleasing to the officials of that great national organization, not only for the practical results achieved in advancing the cause of safety but in the confidence it reflects in the future of American social and industrial policies. It demonstrated the power and influence of the Cleveland Safety Council, the hospitality of the City of Cleveland and the extent and quality of safety sentiment in Ohio. The influence it will radiate to every section of the union will be invaluable to the cause of universal safety and the stimulus it should give to industrial safety in Ohio is assured. On the whole, it was a remarkable program, competently

devised and capably executed and reflects credit upon its sponsors.

In view of the fact that there were literally hundreds of speakers on the various programs and that the cream of oratorical talent on every phase of safety activity was represented, it would be unjust to single out any particular number for specific comment and a roster of even those who took part in the industrial meetings and their topics for discussion would fill pages of this bulletin. Suffice to say that not many men in America of prominence in the field of safety endeavor were missing from the list.

All Ohio Day

Ohio's participation centered in the exercises of All Ohio Day, held on Tuesday, October 2 at the Carter Hotel. This was one of the largest and most representative group gatherings of the Congress and while primarily an Ohio meeting, it was graced by the presence of officials of the National Safety Council, the Cleveland Safety Council and representatives of industry and officials of many other states.

The program opened with a luncheon meeting in the beautiful Rainbow Room at the Carter and its commodious accommodations were taxed to the utmost to handle the hundreds who attended. A. F. Allen, secretary and treasurer of American Steel & Wire Co., Cleveland, officiated as toastmaster. An orchestra furnished music during the luncheon and the singing of "America" was led by Harry G. Ehret, safety director of the Ohio Division of Workshops and Factories.

Governor White was scheduled for the opening address but was unavoidably absent, and Thomas M. Gregory, chairman of the Industrial Commission of Ohio opened the speaking program, followed by L. E. Nysewander and J. W. Beall, the other members of the Commission. Each of the speakers discussed some phase of safety and touched upon some of the problems encountered in the administration of the Workmen's Compensation Law. Their remarks were enthusiastically received, the audience being unusually responsive to suggestions for bringing about improvement in industrial safety.

A general session following the luncheon was presided over by Supt. Thos. P. Kearns of the Division of Safety and Hygiene and group singing was led by Mr. Ehret, who also favored the gathering with a solo.

Illness at the eleventh hour prevented the appearance on the program of Charles R. Hook, president and general manager of the American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, O., but his paper on "Management's Opportunity and Responsibility for Securing Employees' Cooperation in Accident Prevention" was read and amplified by his assistant, C. H. Murray. The views embodied in the paper elicited much favorable comment from both employer and employee representatives at the meeting.

"Labor's Interest in Accident Prevention" was the topic discussed by James Wilson, Cincinnati, O., vice president of the American Federation of Labor. Mr. Wilson, who is an ardent safety advocate and an active figure in industrial accident prevention movements in Cincinnati, corroborated Mr. Hook's view of the necessity for employee representatives in safety activities. While charging full responsibility to management for safe working conditions he did not absolve the worker from equal responsibility for those failures of the human element which lead to injury.

Bernard M. Robinson, Akron, O., general counsel for the Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., had a really brilliant address on "The Personal Equation." It was a logically built and beautifully phrased argument for the acceptance of personal responsibility for accident prevention and, as is the case with all of Mr. Robinson's safety talks, was vociferously applauded. For logic, sequence, effectiveness and oratorical excellence, it was one of the best presentations of a safety thought that the writer heard at the Congress.

At the conclusion of the speaking program, Chairman Kearns made the awards of certificates of safety merit to winners in the state-wide mine safety contest sponsored by the Industrial Commission of Ohio and they were accepted by the representatives of the companies in attendance. The results of this contest appear elsewhere in this issue.

Cleveland Industries Celebrate

Another large gathering of the Congress (probably the largest) in which the Ohio delegates had a particular interest, was the banquet at the Cleveland Hotel on Tuesday evening, October 2, celebrating the conclusion of the fourth annual Greater Cleveland Industrial Safety Campaign, a movement sponsored by the Industrial Commission of Ohio and the Cleveland Safety Council.

More than 500 people had seats at the banquet tables and an excellent musical program, both instrumental and vocal, was presented. Supt. Thos. P. Kearns of the Division of Safety and Hygiene and chairman of the Cleveland Campaign Committee, presided at the meeting. The guest speaker of the evening, Whiting Williams of New York City substituted for Arthur H. Young, vice-president for industrial relations, United States Steel Corporation. Mr. Williams, widely known as an author on social topics, traveler and adventurer, had an intensely interesting talk on the social problems of the day as they were affecting and might further affect industrial relations. Mr. Williams' arguments were well received and their general trend seemed to find favor with the audience.

Hugh Hinchliffe, public relations director, acting for Dan R. Hanna Jr., president of the Cleveland News Co., made the presentation of the *Cleveland News* Safety trophy to the Friedman-Blau-Farber Co.,

winner of the contest, and it was accepted by A. J. Farber, secretary-treasurer of that company, who indicated in his remarks that safety in his company's plant was so generally accepted as an institution that the competitive spirit had not entered into their efforts. Herman Friedman, veteran president of the company, was present, but unable to speak on account of a throat affliction. A framed certificate of merit was presented to the Great Lakes Aircraft Corporation, the runner-up in the contest, by Judge Lee E. Skeel, and it was accepted by D. E. Wilson, personnel director of that company. The third place award was also presented by Judge Skeel to The Bloomfield Co. and was accepted by Supt. Z. K. Waldron of that company. The certificates were hand lettered, painted and framed by R. E. Wenger, commercial artist. Chairman Kearns announced that the certificate awards to group winners would be mailed, the time allotted for the meeting being insufficient to permit of personal presentations.

The numerical strength of the meeting and the intense enthusiasm pervading it was a splendid testimonial to the safety sentiment aroused by the Cleveland campaign and to the organizing ability of President Skeel and Managing Director Smith of the Cleveland Safety Council, who were ably assisted by Safety Engineer H. M. Schnerer of the Division of Safety and Hygiene, representative of the interests of the division in the movement.

Mutual Interests Recognized

General recognition of the mutual responsibility of both the employer and the worker for the success of industrial safety efforts and the mutual rewards of such efforts was demonstrated by the complexion of congress delegations.

In almost every section meeting and in many of the topic discussions, executives, foremen and workers vied with each other in paying tribute to the value of safety and there was but little variance of opinion as to the mutual value of safety methods and safe practices.

This unanimity of purpose was no more clearly defined than in the sessions of All-Ohio Day. At the speakers' table on this occasion, recognized representatives of capital and labor sat shoulder to shoulder and without the slightest evidence of class distinction each applauded the other's tribute to a common cause. The presence of Jas. A. Wilson of Cincinnati, vice-president of the American Federation of Labor, Thos. J. Donnelly of Columbus, secretary of the Ohio State Federation of Labor and Warren F. Perry of Columbus, secretary of the Ohio Manufacturers' Association in posts of honor and a heavy representation of both employers and employees on the floor of the meeting, was strongly indicative of the joint interest in the movement.

This evidence of a common ground upon which management and men can meet in harmonious discussion of a problem so vital to both was, in the opinion of the writer, one of the most significant and gratifying incidents of the entire Congress and presages a further growth of the spirit of helpful cooperation in the safety movement in the industries of the state, so essential to the attainment of the purposes of safety advocates.

State Support Appreciated

Gracious acknowledgment of the extraordinary efforts of the division and the Commission to make of the Congress a success commensurate with the importance of the safety movement and one that might sustain the reputation of Ohio as a leader in safety thought, is contained in excerpts from letters received by Superintendent Kearns under date of October 8th.

J. E. Long, president of the National Safety Council said:

"Your personal contribution to the Twenty-Third Annual Safety Congress and Exposition helped, we feel, to make it one of the most successful meetings in the history of the safety movement in the United States. I take genuine pleasure in thanking you.

"Every year these big gatherings add impetus to the steady march of safety in our country; now more than ever before is the employer, the worker, the government official, the teacher, the housewife, the man on the street aware of the need of care on his part to reduce the terrible toll of unnecessary accidents.

"I hope that you take a great deal of satisfaction in contributing to an enterprise which has stimulated national interest in this humanitarian cause."

W. H. Cameron, managing director of the Council, wrote:

"I cannot help writing this extra letter to say two things: (1) that we are greatly indebted to you for the success of the Cleveland Congress and (2) the pleasure and satisfaction of having you serve on our National Executive Committee. There is plenty of pioneer work yet to be done and I hope we will be spared to work together.

"May you feel repaid in some measure for your fine efforts last week when you realize the success of the national demonstration through so many channels of expression."

Carl L. Smith, managing director of the Cleveland Safety Council, in a post-Congress letter, said:

"The Safety Congress is past history, but before the files are closed I want to take this opportunity to express to you my personal appreciation, in which Judge Skeel joins me, for the very excellent help you gave in connection with the arrangements for the Congress. There was a lot to be done, but I think that we shall all be compensated by the good that has been done and will be done in our community."

Castleman File: Armco

w/c = with cover letter or memo If DATE = 0, undated
CD-ROM Document #:Armco *S* DATE *1933*

___ article from ___ medical journal ___ trade publication

___ article from The Arm-co-operator

___ government report

___ government inspection results

___ unpublished internal report

___ unpublished presentation from conference

___ newspaper article

___ letter ___ memorandum

___ warning labels

___ sales literature

___ recommended practices

___ meeting agenda ___ minutes ___ attendee list

Transactions
✓ ~~Proceedings~~ of National Safety Congress

___ Proceedings of All Ohio Safety Congress

___ Legal: _____

1933

TRANSACTIONS

National Safety Council

Incorporated

TWENTY-SECOND ANNUAL
SAFETY CONGRESS



Chicago, Illinois

October 2 to October 6, 1933

The Stevens Hotel

Copyright, 1934, National Safety Council, Inc.

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

APG-46

Plan of Publication

CONTENTS

THE TRANSACTIONS of the Twenty-second Congress of the National Safety Council are published in two volumes. The first, containing the General, the General Subject, and the Industrial Section sessions, is supplemented by a smaller volume containing the sessions of the Street and Highway Traffic Section, the Child Education Section, and Home Safety.

THE LARGE GENERAL, volume is being sent automatically to the Industrial members of the Council; the smaller volume is sent automatically to members who are believed to be chiefly interested in those sessions. It may also be secured on request by other Council members.

THE PLAN OF PUBLICATION is the same as that adopted for the sessions of the Twenty-first Congress and found to be very satisfactory. It is a condensed record. The papers of each session or division of the Congress have been assembled, edited with care and discernment to abridge or delete the less essential portions, and the concise results are presented for study and reference. Although not "complete" in the sense that every word is reproduced, it is a readable, interesting record which emphasizes the memorable parts of each paper and address. The original manuscripts are on file in the Council Library, available for additional reference as desired.

ALTHOUGH THE National Safety Council endeavors to eliminate from discussion at its conventions matters which are not pertinent to its purposes or which are contrary to its policies, the Council cannot accept responsibility for the views expressed either in the papers presented or the discussions thereon.

	PAGE
Council Officers and Directors.....	4
Council Purposes and Policies.....	9
Annual Meeting of Members.....	11
Banquet and Dance.....	21
Subject Sessions—	
Accident Records.....	23
Ergonomics.....	31
Lost Problem in Industry.....	37
Falls of Persons.....	45
Fire Prevention.....	51
Handling Materials.....	59
Hoisting Chains.....	63
Maintaining Interest in Safety.....	69
Practical Medical Program for Industry.....	75
Industrial Nursing.....	83
Safety Equipment.....	91
Safety Organization and Program.....	107
Safe Use of Chemicals in Industry.....	115
Safe Use of Electricity in Industry.....	123
The Eye and Its Relation to Safety.....	131
Mental Training for Safety.....	141
Accident Prevention Equipment Manufacturers' Section.....	173
A. S. S. F.—Engineering Section.....	187
Automotive and Machine Shop Section.....	191
Cement Section.....	201
Chemical Section.....	209
Construction Section.....	223
Delivery, Taxicab and Bus Section.....	235
Electric Railway Section.....	249
Food Section.....	265
Marine Section.....	279
Meat Packing, Tanning and Leather Industries Section.....	299
Metals Section.....	307
Mining Section.....	325
Paper and Pulp Section.....	343
Petroleum Section.....	357
Power Press Section.....	379
Public Utilities Section.....	389
Quarry Section.....	405
Refrigeration Section.....	413
Rubber Section.....	423
Safety Section, ARA—Steam Railroad Section, NSC.....	439
Textile Section.....	479
Woodworking and Lumber Manufacturing Section.....	485
Index.....	495

R. A. BRYANT, Safety Division, Syracuse Chamber of Commerce.
 W. H. CAMERON, National Safety Council.
 ROBERT J. CARRIS, Actua Life Insurance Company.
 FRANK H. COGAN, Marine Section.
 WILCOX COOPER, Marshall Field & Company.
 A. FERRIS CRANE, Safety Department, Nashville Chamber of Commerce.
 J. E. CURTIS, Bethlehem Steel Company.
 HOWARD DANA, Boston Elevated Railway.
 C. A. DAVISON, Consulting Engineer.
 J. JOSEPH DAVIS, Memphis Safety Council.
 RICHARD H. DAVIS, Blackstone Valley Safety Council.
 W. DAWLEY, Refrigeration Section.
 LAWRENCE D. DAWSON, Grand Rapids Safety Council.
 LAWRENCE A. DEBLOIS, Consulting Engineer.
 LAWRENCE E. DECKER, Mason City Safety Council.
 LAWRENCE H. DOWD, The Philadelphia Gas Works Company.
 DR. LOUIS I. DUNN, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company.
 EDWARD H. EAMES, Textile Section.
 LAWRENCE W. ELLIS, Meat Packing, Tanning & Leather Industries Section.
 J. D. FENNEL, Chicago Safety Council.
 LAWRENCE A. FINCH, Electric Railway Section.
 JOSEPH A. FISKE, Toledo Safety Council.
 EDWARD F. FISKE, Western Pennsylvania Safety Council.
 EDWARD B. FOX, Burroughs Wellcome & Co. (U.S.A.) Inc.
 R. FRIEDMAN, Lehigh Valley Safety Council.
 I. G. GILSON, Paper & Pulp Section.
 DR. THOMAS W. GOSLING, Child Education Section.
 EDWIN M. GRAVES, The General Crushed Stone Company.
 LAWRENCE GUTENBERG, The Pullman Company.
 EDWARD HALE, The Atchafalaya, Tonawanda & Santa Fe Railway Company.
 DR. T. HALLAM, United States Bureau of Mines.
 DR. T. HALLAM, Chicago, North Shore & Milwaukee Railroad Company.
 EDWARD E. HILL, New York Central Lines.
 EDWARD G. HORTON, Street & Highway Traffic Section.
 LAWRENCE J. HUBBARD, Albany Safety Council.
 EDWARD W. HUNTER, Hudson County Safety Council.
 EDWARD J. JAMES, Pennsylvania Department of Labor & Industry.
 DR. JAMES, James Manufacturing Company.
 L. KINGS, Power Press Section.
 T. KRITZER, Detroit Industrial Safety Council.
 LAWRENCE KIRK, Pennsylvania Salt Manufacturing Company.
 EDWARD W. KIRK, Woodworking & Lumber Manufacturing Section.
 EDWARD M. KIRK, Transon Safety Council.
 L. LAFORCE, Great Northern Railway Company.
 EDWARD C. LAMM, Knoxville Safety Council.
 EDWARD J. LANKFORD, Fort Pitt Allegheny Iron Company.
 G. LICHTER, Eastbay Safety Council.
 EDWARD W. LLOYD, Employees' Publication Section.
 DR. R. M. LITTLE, New York Department of Education.

Officers and Directors

EDWARD A. LEWIS, Madison County Safety Council.
 JOHN E. LLOYD, The Delaware & Hudson Railroad Corporation.
 H. W. LOW, Rubber Section.
 W. R. LLOYD, Safety Division, Birmingham Chamber of Commerce.
 H. T. MANN, Fisk Rubber Company.
 F. W. MARSON, Minnesota Safety Council.
 PASTOR MENDELSSOHN, Detroit.
 HENRY MINSTER, Elizabeth Safety Council.
 W. S. MONTGOMERY, Ft. Wayne Safety Council.
 R. B. MONTGOMERY, Industrial Accident Prevention Association.
 M. J. MCCARTHY, Automobile & Machine Shop Section.
 MINNIE MCCARTHY, Harvard University.
 T. H. MCKESSY, Illinois Steel Company, South Works.
 H. W. MYR, Accident Prevention Equipment Manufacturers' Section.
 JOHN A. OAKLEY, Carnegie Steel Company.
 GEORGE C. A. ORR, Detroit Edison Company.
 LAWRENCE PALMER, Equitable Life Assurance Society.
 JOHN C. PARKER, Brooklyn Safety Council.
 E. M. PERRY, Public Utilities Section.
 C. E. PETERSON, American Mutual Liability Insurance Company.
 J. H. PINE, Seattle Traffic & Safety Council.
 ALBERT S. PETERSON, Industrial Relations Committee, Inc.
 L. C. PETERSON, Lehigh Portland Cement Company.
 MANUEL PETERSON, Portland Cement Company.
 JOHN ROACH, Chemical Section.
 EDWARD H. ROSS, Jr., Baltimore Safety Council.
 THOMAS ROTH, Rochester Safety Council.
 JOHN RUSSELL, Jr., Newark Safety Council.
 EDWARD H. RYAN, Delivery, Taxicab & Bus Section.
 G. E. SAMPSON, General Electric Company.
 EDWARD G. SCHWARTZ, Erie Safety Council.
 T. A. SCHWARTZ, Food Section.
 OTTO SCHWARTZ, Wheeling Safety Council.
 EDWARD A. SCHWARTZ, United States Steel Corporation.
 EDWARD H. SCOTT, Bureau of Safety, Inc.
 EDWARD S. SHAW, American Airways, Inc.
 EDWARD S. SHAW, Utica Safety Council.
 G. S. JONES, Massachusetts Safety Council.
 DR. J. A. SINGH, Bethlehem Steel Company.
 E. L. SIMMONS, Southern New England Telephone Company.
 GEORGE P. SIMMONS, Reading-Park County Safety Council.
 C. W. SMITH, Cleveland Safety Council.
 W. D. SMITH, Standard Oil Company (Indiana).
 R. T. SOUTHWELL, Elliott Service Company.
 W. D. STEWART, Peoria Safety Council.
 E. C. STONE, Lancaster, Pa.
 GEORGE R. STEWART, The Safety Bureau, Buffalo Chamber of Commerce.
 EDWARD S. STEWART, United Railways & Electric Company.

ALFRED H. SWAYNE, General Motors Corporation.
 ARTHUR M. TODD, Consulting Marine Engineer.
 W. W. TRENCH, Schenectady Safety Council.
 W. D. TURREVILLE, San Antonio Safety Council.
 WILLIAM F. VERCH, Railway Safety Council.
 VINCENT WAKEFIELD, Kansas City Safety Council.
 GEORGE H. WARFEL, Union Pacific Railroad Company.
 DR. C. H. WATSON, American Telephone & Telegraph Company.
 HARRY M. WEDDER, Illinois Bell Telephone Company.
 S. E. WHITING, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company.
 A. W. WHITNEY, National Bureau of Casualty & Surety Underwriters.
 W. H. WINANS, Union Carbide & Carbon Corporation.
 DR. C.-E. A. WINSLOW, Yale Medical School.
 HARRY WISE, SR., Chattanooga Safety Council.
 J. M. WOLTZ, Youngstown Sheet & Tube Company.
 W. E. WORTH, International Harvester Company.
 E. J. ZAUFF, Safety Bureau, Duluth Chamber of Commerce.
 J. B. ZOOK, Cement Section.

The
 life.
 nation.
 rectly
 free tr
 essent
 Inse
 condit
 Took
 the c
 industr
 merce.
 includi
 Univer
 nation
 The
 tailed
 under
 wauke
 York
 ship

The
 were a
 reme
 and to
 prevent
 accide
 exam

Since
 death
 motor
 of pro
 corded
 cent.
 declin
 vehicle
 ing re
 having
 munity
 facts
 accide
 Since
 best



Dust Problem in Industry

FRIDAY MORNING SESSION

October 6, 1933

The special session devoted to a discussion of the dust problem in industry was called to order by J. R. Allan, assistant manager, Industrial Engineering and Construction Department, International Harvester Company, Chicago, who presided. He briefly outlined the purposes of the session and then presented the first speaker.

The Mechanical Control of Dust

By E. O. JONES

Consultant, National Founders Association, Chicago

The speaker said in part: During the last few years, much has been written about the pathological effects of dust. From this material there seems to be some lack of agreement among scientists as to the pathological effects of these types of dust, their dangerous dimensions, the length of time one must be exposed to their inhalation, the density of concentration which is dangerous, and the extent to which they are directly causative or contributory to disease.

It is unfortunate that in the twilight zone between ethics and non-ethics, there are certain members of the legal and medical profession who are willing to take advantage of these uncertainties and capitalize on them largely for their own benefit.

When the foundry industry was confronted with the accusation that it harbored a potential health hazard, its leaders immediately took steps to study the problem, with the object of eliciting all the facts and determining upon a course of procedure to eliminate the causation. This study has been under way in some localities for some time, but its procedure presents many difficult problems.

The mechanical control of dust is not our only problem, nor does the accomplishment of such control depend upon large expenditures of money. We know from experience that gradually human ingenuity will provide ways and means to this part of the problem.

But let us digress from the subject to consider the amount of dust we as individuals create. Take the molder, for instance, who goes to his bench at the start of his day's work. He reaches for his air hose, and starts the day by removing, with its force everything he can from his equipment. In close proximity to his bench,

or on the window sill in front of him, you will usually find a varied collection of relics. He will usually wet down his sand pile, but seldom his floor or gangway. When he is through with his shift he usually grabs the air hose and proceeds to take a compressed air bath.

And we have the foundry laborer; he has many menial tasks to perform. When he sweeps or uses a shovel he seems to be of the opinion that his efforts are judged by the atmosphere he creates. If he does sprinkle the floor it is usually done very sparingly.

Shake-out men and crane men seemingly give little attention to the results of their thoughtlessness. Grab buckets are usually lifted to the limit of their lift and then dumped. Many companies have furnished equipment, such as positive pressure helmets and respirators, to employees who work in extremely dusty atmospheres. But it is surprising to note the apparent lack of interest on the part of the employee for whose protection this equipment is furnished.

From these remarks some may say "Why shift the responsibility?" Not a bit. We have merely cited these few facts as an indication that possibly we can improve the supervision. If we make every man responsible for the cleanliness in the vicinity in which he works, and every foreman responsible for his department, and then in turn make the superintendent answer for the cleanliness of his shop, we will not have to worry about the increase in labor cost. After dust gets into the atmosphere, it is, of course, very difficult and expensive to remove. Keeping the foundry floor off the ceiling is a man-size job.

Ventilation is a problem everywhere. A diligent survey of our existing equipment may develop the fact that by small expenditures we can improve conditions. Inspect your dust-arresting equipment. See that the rapping device is in order, that the screens are in good condition, we suggest that they are rapped and the hoppers emptied regularly. Repair any leaks in pipes. See that the valves close properly. Chutes should be kept in good repair and when emptying arrestors, respirators should be worn by the men whose duty it is to perform this work. If refuse from the arrestors is not removed at once, keep it in containers or wet down until it is removed. Above all, watch your air velocities and make sure you are removing at the source as much of the dust as the equipment was originally designed to do. You pay for the current the motor consumes to operate your suction equipment, therefore get the proper return for that expenditure.

Do not store or allow sand to accumulate around your blasting equipment. Make sure you are receiving the proper air changes in your sandblast rooms. Inspect your masks to the amount of air purging through. The wearer is prone many times to just crack or partially open the valve, and when this is done he is not getting the full protection for which the helmet was designed. We suggest that the helmet be removed from the sandblast room and stored at the end of the day in a dust-tight cabinet, instructing the wearer to clean before re-using.

In your cleaning rooms where tumbling barrels are used, we deal again with air velocities. Piping should be diligently inspected and kept in good repair. Make sure traps are performing the service they were designed for. It might be well to keep floors in this department wet down. Remove the day's accumulation of refuse at the end of the day's run. When cyclones are used, make sure you are not exhausting at the level of windows which may be opened in other parts of your plant.

We will have to look for further co-operation from the foundry equipment manufacturers who serve our industry. Equipment will have to be sold to us not to

meet ex-
the equ-
regularly
designed.

And w
a foundr
provided
equipment

Our in
For this
is our de
who have
the actual

In the
it is imp
precaution
upon the
of all kno
hope to a
to employ
industry w

How to

Director,

The imp-
disease has
subject has
extension o
increasing
disability d
eliminating
the dustine
given dust,
given quant
font of air;
that is the ;

Many inst
and it may
African inv
pheric dust
early as 19.

varied collection of his floor or gangway. hose and proceeds to

to perform. When his efforts are judged is usually done very

to the results of their their lift and then as positive pressure dusty atmospheres. part of the employee

ability?" Not a bit. ibly we can improve illness in the vicinity rtment, and then in ie shop, we will not into the atmosphere. the foundry floor

existing equipment conditions. Inspect in order, that the and the hoppers elves close properly. respirators should If refuse from the own until it is re- are removing at igned to do. You equipment, therefore

equipment Make oms. Inspect your ne many times to is not getting the hat the helmet be lay in a dust-tight

al again with air repair. Make sure et be well to keep ation of refuse at are not exhausting or plant.

equipment manu- sold to us net to

meet existing codes, but as dust-tight as human ingenuity can design them. After the equipment is installed, it will be the purchaser's responsibility to see that it is regularly inspected and maintained to perform the service for which it was originally designed.

And we refer again to the employee's responsibility. When he elects to work in a foundry, he should be willing to co-operate and wear the safety devices which are provided by his employer, and it will be the purchaser's responsibility to see that the equipment is well cared for and properly maintained.

Our industry is a basic one and we hope that it will long continue to be essential. For this reason we want to assure those who are sitting on the side lines that it is our determination and hope that, with the help of those in the medical profession who have given so generously of their time in scientific study, we can soon determine the actual extent of the hazard.

In the meantime, while many diverse opinions are being brought into agreement, it is imperative that we give more than passive assent to suggested measures of precaution or improvement. The accomplishment of worth while results depends upon the diligent and active co-operation of everyone concerned and the utilization of all known means of prevention and control. Only through united effort can we hope to accomplish an effective program which will give maximum of advantage to employers and employees alike, and to such an end we are confident the foundry industry will continue to dedicate its earnest and conscientious efforts.

How to Determine the Dust Content of the Atmosphere in Dusty Industries

By DR. E. G. MEITER

Director, Industrial-Hygiene Laboratory, Employers Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Milwaukee, Wis.

The importance of atmospheric dust as an agent in the causation of respiratory disease has long been known. However, it is only within the last few years that this subject has achieved medical and legal importance in dusty industries. Because of the extension of compensation laws to include occupational diseases, and through an ever-increasing number of legal suits against industrial concerns by employees claiming disability due to dust inhalation, there is need for a severe attack on the problem of eliminating or otherwise combating the dust hazard. In order to intelligently control the dustiness of the air, the amount of dust present must be determined. With a given dust, two factors are usually considered: (1) the number of dust particles in a given quantity of air, which is usually expressed in millions of particles per cubic foot of air; (2) particle-size, usually expressed in a size frequency distribution curve, that is the percentage less than stated size is plotted against the size of particles.

Instruments Used for Determining Atmospheric Dusts

Many instruments have been devised for determining the dust concentration in air and it may be well to give a brief historical review of this subject. The South African investigators began using the sugar tube method for the sampling of atmospheric dust in 1911; the same method was used by the U. S. Bureau of Mines as early as 1914. By this method a measured volume of the dust air is passed through

a tube containing granulated sugar, which filters out the suspended dust. At the laboratory, the sugar is dissolved in water and the number of dust particles are determined by counting those in a small volume of the sugar solution under a microscope. This method of dust sampling had certain disadvantages, the chief of which was the fact that the purest commercial sugar contained a certain quantity of dust, which introduced considerable errors, especially in those samples containing a low dust concentration. To overcome the limitations of the sugar tube method various other devices were developed, one of which was the Palmer apparatus. This apparatus consisted essentially of a pear-shaped glass bulb, the lower end of which terminated in a U-tube or trap. Suction was applied to the glass bulb by means of an electric exhaust fan and the volume of air measured by means of a Petot tube. Dust-free distilled water was put into the U-tube. The air drawn through the water in the trap broke it up into a spray within the larger portion of the sampling bulb, which washed out and retained the dust. The dust so obtained was analyzed by the usual methods. The Palmer apparatus also had certain disadvantages, the chief of which was that its efficiency as a dust catcher was low. In the search for a more portable type of instrument, which at the same time would yield rapid results, the South African investigators introduced in 1916 a new instrument known as the Kotzé konimeter. The konimeter samples dust by impinging a small volume of air against the surface of a vaseline-coated glass plate, the vaseline serving to catch the dust particles. The dust retained on the glass plate was then counted under the microscope at a suitable magnification. The chief disadvantages of the konimeter are that in atmospheres containing a high concentration of dust, the spots are too dense to allow counting the individual particles and the method can, therefore, not be used in such cases, also many samples had to be taken to secure a correct average determination in places where the dust concentration was variable.

As a result of the different methods of dust determination being used by the different governmental bureaus and others, it was soon found that the various dust-sampling methods did not yield absolute results, consequently some confusion arose in interpreting the various dust studies. In order to arrive at some basis of comparison the U. S. Bureau of Mines conducted a laboratory study of dust-sampling instruments. The results of this study were published in Public Health Bulletin No. 144 entitled "Comparative Tests of Instruments for Determining Atmospheric Dusts." (1925). During the course of this study two of the investigators, Dr. L. Greenburg and G. W. Smith, devised a new apparatus called the impinger. In the comparative study the dust collecting efficiency of the impinger was found to be high, and in addition the method offered several advantages over previous methods. After several modifications to meet special requirements, this instrument was adopted by the Federal Public Health Service and Bureau of Mines as the standard technique for the sampling of dust in air. The instrument has been used in the study of a number of dust trades, and is the commonly accepted method in use today.

Size of Dust Particles Taken into the Lungs

It has been observed from a study of silicotic lungs that most of the dust particles that have penetrated into the air sacs are less than 10 microns in longest dimension (1 micron is 1/1000th of a millimeter, or 1/2500th of an inch). It is generally agreed, therefore, that we need not bother with particles larger than 10 microns in measuring the concentration of a dangerous dust.

So that you may visualize the size of these small particles consider a 280 mesh

screen
light,
eye, a
such

It is
to lea
naked
by the
are ev

Wh
and s
air ca
one n
will f
perse
indeb
that a

The
outsid
conter
Wisc
ticles
a cub
free
corda
5,250

As
know
throu
merse

The
with
princi
applic
When
may l
gage

The
a kne
cubic
to th
duties
After
place

W
clean
50 mi

ned dust. At the particles are determined under a microscope. of which was the of dust, which dust a low dust method various other us. This apparatus of which terminated means of an electric tube. Dust-free the water in the trans- bulb, which washed the usual methods. which was that its portable type of in- South African in- konimeter. The dust the surface of a particles. The dust at a suitable magni- spheres containing ating the individual also many samples es where the dust

used by the differ- ous dust-sampling on arose in inter- of comparison the pling instruments. No. 144 entitled "Dusts." (1925) Greenburg and comparative study and in addition the several modifica- the Federal Public the sampling of er of dust trades.

the dust particles longest dimension generally agreed, ons in measuring sider a 280 mesh

screen in which the screen openings are 50 microns. In the absence of a beam of light, an atmosphere containing these tiny particles will appear clear to the naked eye, and therefore is extremely deceptive. Only a powerful microscope can make such particles directly visible.

It is necessary, therefore, to make a microscopical examination of the atmosphere to learn how badly polluted it may be. Larger particles such as are visible to the naked eye are essentially harmless in the causation of silicosis, as these are caught by the membranes provided by nature in the nasal and other respiratory passages and are eventually coughed up or eliminated before any damage is done.

When small dust particles are dispersed in air, they are carried about like smoke and settle out very slowly. How long such particles will remain suspended in quiet air can be roughly determined from Stoke's law. Assuming a round particle to be one micron in diameter, it can be calculated by means of this law that such a particle will fall only 20.5 feet in 24 hours. It can be seen, therefore, that any fine dust dispersed into the air is not only a momentary hazard but remains in the air for an indefinite period. This fact is often overlooked by workmen, as it is usually assumed that as soon as the dust cloud is no longer visible all dust has settled out.

The term "dusty working place" is only a relative one. Most air, both inside and outside of factories, contains some dust. It is, therefore, necessary to adopt a standard content for the dividing line between slightly dusty and dusty working places. The Wisconsin dust code prescribes a "tentative figure of 15 million countable dust particles under 10 microns in longest dimension with free silica content of 35 per cent in a cubic foot of air as determined by Public Health Service technique. Variations in free-silica content will make proportional inverse changes in this standard." In accordance with this standard the permissible count for practically pure silica would be 5,250,000 particles.

How Dust Samples are Collected

As mentioned previously, the instrument used in collecting the dust samples is that known as the "Impinger." With this instrument the air to be sampled is drawn through a glass tube and impinged at a high velocity on a glass plate which is immersed beneath a suitable liquid contained in the collecting flask.

The impinger, therefore, combines the principle of collecting dust by impingement with a water-washing or bubbling method and so possesses the advantages of both principles. The dust is thus trapped and remains in the collecting liquid. Suction is applied to the impinger by means of a steam ejector operated by compressed air. Where compressed air is not available, a small electrically-operated vacuum pump may be used. The rate of air flow is measured either by means of a small vacuum gage or an orifice-type flow meter.

The entire apparatus is calibrated before use against a standard gas meter, so that a known volume of air may be sampled. The rate of sampling is approximately one cubic foot of air per minute. The dust-collecting device is placed in close proximity to the breathing level of the workmen involved while performing their respective duties, so that air samples representing actual working conditions may be obtained. After a sufficient volume of dust-laden air has been sampled, the collecting liquid is placed in a suitable container and removed to the laboratory for the necessary analysis.

When the dust sample reaches the laboratory, the entire sample is filtered into a clean graduated flask through a 280-mesh screen so that only particles smaller than 50 microns are permitted to pass; particles larger than 50 microns are not considered

injurious and are, therefore, removed from the sample. After proper dilution, the contents of the graduated flask are thoroughly shaken so that a uniform suspension is obtained, and two portions of about one cubic centimeter are removed with a pipette to just fill two Sedgwick-Rafter counting cells. The cells are allowed to stand at least 20 minutes and the dust particles are then counted by means of a microscope.

The microscope with an Abbe condenser is provided with an eyepiece micrometer, a 16-millimeter objective and a 7X eyepiece. The eyepiece micrometer has a large square engraved on it, and this square is divided into 100 squares, one of which is further divided into 25 smaller squares. The proper tube length of the microscope is determined by calibration with a stage micrometer, so that a side of the large square of the eyepiece covers 1 millimeter (1,000 microns).

The large square of the eyepiece micrometer, therefore, encloses the dust in an area of one square millimeter, and since the counting cell is one millimeter deep, all the dust suspended in one cubic millimeter of the sample is under the ruled field. All particles visually less than 10 microns in longest diameter in one quarter of the field are counted at five points on the cell, namely, near the four corners and the center.

Two cells of each dust sample are counted and the average of 10 counts is obtained. Control counts are subtracted from the average sample counts, giving finally, average net counts of the number of particles which are then calculated in terms of particles per cubic foot of air sampled.

Having determined the concentration of dust particles less than 10 microns in diameter in a given atmosphere, it is also necessary to obtain information regarding the mineral composition of the dust before any evaluation of the health hazard can be made.

In general it may be said that the harmfulness of a quartz containing dust is usually in direct proportion to its free-silica (SiO_2) content. It is, therefore, necessary to distinguish between "free silica", that is the silica that occurs as quartz, and "combined silica", or the silica that is combined with other elements in the various silicate minerals. It should be borne in mind, therefore, that the total silica reported in the customary chemical analysis is no measure of the amount of free silica.

The quartz content of fine dusts is usually determined by a combination of petrographic and chemical methods. Each dust presents individual problems and no individual technique applies to all dusts regardless of their composition. Those interested in this problem should consult Reprint No. 1560 of the Public Health Reports, February 24, 1933, entitled "The Quantitative Determination of Quartz (free silica) in Dusts" by Adolph Knopf, Professor of Physical Geology, Yale University, and Consultant, United States Public Health Service.

Discussion of Dust Problems

By DR. LEONARD GREENBURG

Yale University, New Haven, Conn.

*Orig. 1.1.1933
12/1/33*

The speaker said in part: On the technical side of this problem you have been presented with two excellent papers by Mr. Jones and Dr. Meiter. There is little that I should add from this point of view. Perhaps the most valuable contribution that I can make is to present to you my own personal view of the broader administrative aspects of this problem gained as a result of many years of close contact. In order to do this, let us analyze the problem in its simplest terms.

them you have been
er. There is little
valuable contribution
the broader adminis-
s of close contact.
terms.

We may begin by agreeing that pulmonary fibrosis results from the inhalation of certain dusts in known concentration over a sufficient period of time. This fact has been demonstrated repeatedly by means of industrial, laboratory and pathological studies. On this simple fact, I take it, there is complete agreement.

Such legitimate cases of disabling pulmonary fibrosis are held in many states to be the responsibility of the employer. Further, a perusal of the compensation laws makes it evident that there exists a decided trend in the direction of broadening the compensation acts throughout the Union for we find from time to time that additional states are being added to the list of those holding silicosis to be a compensable disease. We would certainly be blinding ourselves to the facts were we not to admit the existence of this trend in social legislation. It should be pointed out here that this is a very wholesome and desirable state of affairs. The insurance principle has justified its existence in spreading the burden of ill fortune over a long period of freedom from catastrophe and surely in the case of industrial accidents it has freed us from the deplorable state of affairs in existence prior to 1912. In the case of the occupational diseases we may hope for equally satisfactory results.

Nevertheless, compensation insurance is not the complete solution of the problem at hand.

The only adequate means of avoiding the burden of silicosis is by the prevention of this disease. All other methods do not touch the crux of the problem and they carry in their wake a vast amount of litigation, illness, and a large financial burden on industry. If what we have agreed upon to this point is true it is obvious that industry must prevent the dissemination of dust in the workroom air by such adequate engineering techniques as are available; or in certain cases where this is not possible industry must provide, and force the worker to use, such means of personal protection as will adequately safeguard him against the inhalation of dust. It is only by these means that we can guarantee a future free of disabling pulmonary disease due to dust inhalation.

In spite of the very best efforts of industry to solve this problem there will undoubtedly be a certain number of cases brought to the courts and presented as legitimate cases of silicosis. While some of these are true cases of silicosis others, I have been informed, are not, but are designed merely as a means of obtaining funds from industry. A consideration of such cases brings us logically to the second part of the present discussion. What is the ideal method of handling compensation cases with reference to occupational disease and more particularly silicosis?

If we examine the development of the compensation acts we find that a technique designed primarily to adjudicate cases of industrial accidents has by degrees been given jurisdiction over occupational diseases. In fact, in some states diseases are regarded as injuries so that they may be brought within the scope of the original compensation acts. But on close examination it becomes apparent that diseases are far more difficult to adjudicate than are the ordinary injuries arising in industry. They present many technical facets and often require a detailed knowledge of this particular branch of medicine with which the average commissioner is very often unfamiliar. As a result we find in practice that the Court is presented with highly technical evidence by experts for the plaintiff and for the defendant which is very difficult if not impossible to satisfactorily evaluate. Often the commissioner is caught between the conflicting views of such witnesses and is not provided with his own experts to aid him in arriving at the real scientific background of the problem at hand.

What we really need is a strengthening of the technical side of the compensation acts to keep pace with the increased factual understanding regarding the occupational diseases. This does not merely refer to the Courts themselves but should begin with the promulgation of accurately worded compensation acts which clearly define the diseases for which compensation is to be paid. Compensation commissioners should be assisted by boards of technical experts composed of engineers appointed by the deans of the various engineering schools and of physicians appointed by the deans of the recognized medical schools. The engineers selected should have a very complete knowledge of factory conditions and the field of ventilation, and the physicians should be experts in the field of industrial hygiene and occupational disease. It is only with the aid of such highly specialized boards, or their equivalents, that the compensation commissioners may be able to do complete justice to both sides in these highly technical cases. It is the belief of the speaker that employers and employees alike would benefit greatly from the establishment and use of such boards. In order to hasten the establishment of this system employers are justified in appealing to their legislatures with this end in view; certainly such a legitimate demand should receive the hearty support of all concerned.

Frequently one is asked, what should be done with those claims which are based on little or no real evidence of injury to the worker but which are merely reared by some very enterprising attorney? Such claims are, I have been told, becoming very common of late and constitute a real problem to industry. It is obvious that the compensation advisory boards suggested earlier will, as soon as they are formed, serve the future as a healthy bulwark against this type of baseless claim and I venture to predict that few such cases would be favorably acted upon by a board of real experts in this field. At the present time factory officials should employ the very best legal talent available and should reinforce such legal talent with technical and medical experts thoroughly versed in the field of silicosis. Many cases have been lost by a failure to bring to bear the requisite technical aid required to prove the non-existence of a hazard. Here is an excellent example of a case in which money may be saved in the end by increased expenditures.

We now arrive at the final steps in the control of this problem, the physical and X-ray examination of the worker. Every factory should have pre-employment and routine post-examinations. It seems obvious that the burden of the health of the worker should not be placed on the plant without the privilege of the pre-employment examination. How can industry be legitimately expected to hire a worker "in the blind" as to his or her physical condition and then a day later be expected to shoulder the burden of the worker's health? By repeated physical examinations it is possible to discern the faint early deviations from normal health if any take place. In this manner the employer would be forewarned very early if any workmen showed lung changes suggestive of early silicosis. The routine industrial health examination on a broad scale throughout the major portion of American industry would constitute a public health procedure of major significance. By its means a very large proportion of the population would be aided in the prevention of the degenerative diseases of middle life which today constitutes such a real menace.

ADJOURNMENT

per
Den
Cic

7
into
I
buy
than
gra
be a
name
or b
grain
rung
T.
likew
the
nor
is the
ware
permi
Min
shout
exper
ladder
have
the te

TWENTY-SECOND ANNUAL SAFETY CONGRESS
NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL



Safety Section, A.R.A.—Steam
Railroad Section N.S.C.

Officers 1932-33

Chairman—CHARLES F. HILL, New York Central Lines, New York City.
First Vice-Chairman—C. L. LAFONTAINE, Great Northern Railway Co., St. Paul, Minn.

Second Vice-Chairman—H. A. PARISH, Chicago & North Western Railway Co., Chicago.

Secretary—J. C. CAVISTON, American Railway Assn., New York City.

Members, Committee of Direction:

F. HARTENSTEIN, Lehigh Valley Railroad, Bethlehem, Pa.

H. A. ROWE, Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad Co., New York City.

C. N. WOODWARD, New York, New Haven & Hartford Railroad, New Haven, Conn.

ROBERT SCOTT, Atlantic Coast Lines Railroad Co., Wilmington, N. C.

A. O. BECK, Canadian National Railway, Montreal, P. Q., Canada.

F. W. CURTIS, Denver & Rio Grande Western Railroad, Denver.

E. G. EVANS, Louisville & Nashville Railway, Louisville, Ky.

C. F. LARSON, Missouri Pacific Railroad, St. Louis.

F. M. METCALFE, Northern Pacific Railway Co., St. Paul, Minn.

J. T. PRATT, Reading Co., Reading, Pa.

The complete report of these sessions is published in a booklet entitled "Proceedings of the 15th Annual Meeting of the Safety Section", available from the American Railway Association.

TUESDAY MORNING SESSION

October 3, 1933

The sessions of the Safety Section, American Railway Association—Steam Railroad Section, National Safety Council, were held as a part of and in conjunction with the Annual Congress of the National Safety Council in the Stevens Hotel.

TUESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 3, 1933

Address

By FREDERICK E. WILLIAMSON

President, New York Central Lines, New York City

The speaker said in part: I got my first lesson in safety in a very trying way. I was working in Utica, and the yard was badly congested. I was working with a switch engine in the lower part of the yard, and it was snowing hard. I was on top of a string of cars, and I was trying to figure out how to get them out. I swung down on a side ladder, with my mind wholly intent on what I was trying to accomplish, and stepped back on track No. 2—there was a screech of a whistle, and here suddenly was No. 3's engine about 50 feet away, or so it seemed to me. Instinctively, I made a dive between this string of cars that was pulling out, and I could just feel that pilot beam whistle by me, and the engineer thought he had hit me. He stopped and came back to find that I was all right, but certainly I got a safety lesson from him in no uncertain words.

So I learned my first safety lesson by a shock and a scare. Today, however, we have adopted another principle. Now we are trying to teach the young men safety by rules, by education, rather than by letting them learn through experience and narrow escapes that safety is essential.

You and the affiliated safety bureaus of the several railroads have taught us to understand that while you can't undo accidents that have happened, you can see to it that no accident that does happen will be considered a closed book until there is a thorough analysis of its cause, with a view to the avoidance, wherever possible, of that same cause of succeeding accidents.

As we review the official records of the Interstate Commerce Commission, we note with pride the progress of the American railroad in the reduction of accidents, and all who are familiar with the subject recognize the large contribution of the Safety Section of the Association in that noteworthy achievement.

One of my distinguished predecessors in the presidency of the New York Central Lines was fond of saying that a railroad is composed of plant and personnel, and that personnel represents 90 per cent of its value. Much of the success of your work is dependent upon a recognition of the large importance in safe railroading of the so-called "human element." You must consider and deal with a mental approach of officers and employees to all safety work. It is not enough that the physical plants be cleared of lurking hazards, nor is it enough that instructions as to the safe methods of operation be promulgated. Both of these things, of course, are vital. Of equal importance, perhaps of larger importance, is the molding of mental attitude toward safety movements, and toward safety itself. The number of accidents that are utterly unavoidable is surprisingly small. Everything that is done to impress on all the frightful cost of accidents in life, limb and money, is yeoman service. We must eternally seek to instill in the minds of all a serious respect for safety measures and a dogged determination to do, each one, his job, not only well, but safely. Your section is now, as it has been from its inception, in the vanguard of that movement, and it is but candid that I say to you that railroad executives generally, congratulate and honor you for what you have done and are doing.

the operation of track motor cars by: (1) operating a car at excessive speed; (2) improperly storing tools on the car; (3) permitting men to occupy unsafe positions on the car; (4) failure to protect against trains and other track motor cars; and (5) failure to observe switches and crossings, especially with respect to speed and obstructions.

The maintenance of way supervisor must know the standard operating rules, and should at all times have in his possession current time tables and be familiar with special instructions. There are few duties of a maintenance of way supervisor that we can well delegate to someone else, or avoid responsibility for.

From the maintenance of equipment standpoint, it is particularly the duty and responsibility of the supervisor to note hazardous conditions about the shop plant. He should be quick to hear any report of unsafe conditions of the premises, machines and tools, and his quick eye should personally observe these conditions. He should be of as great value as others working under him in foreseeing possible accidents and injury as the result of these hazardous conditions.

After a possible injury, the supervisor also has an important duty toward the injured employee. He should see that immediate first aid care is given the injured person and make sure that he gets into the hands of a competent physician as rapidly as possible. To call in a physician and a claim agent every time a man stubs his toe or skins a knuckle is, of course, a mistake. It is possible to make too much fuss over small injuries. But there should be at hand some simple means of preventing infection for minor injuries, and if an injury is serious, the personal attention of a physician is the only recourse.

The Green Book

The final feature of this session was a presentation of the current "Green Book" by L. R. Palmer, Conservation Engineer, Equitable Life Assurance Society, New York City. Mr. Palmer very briefly called attention to the fact that he had prepared a tabulation covering employee casualty rates for the six months' period of 1933, Class I railroads, in accordance with the standard Green Book grouping and will forward copies to Class I railroads.

WEDNESDAY MORNING SESSION

October 4, 1933

The Goggle Rule and How Enforced

By J. C. YOCUM

Manager, Safety Department, Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis and Omaha Railway

The speaker said in part: It has always been a puzzle to me why it is necessary to repeat over and over again to employees that one of the greatest things which has been given us is sight and how necessary it is that they use the means so freely afforded them to protect and preserve this blessed gift. To my mind, being blind is about the worst disaster that can happen to anybody.

Experience has shown that we cannot take it for granted that men will of their own accord think for themselves in this respect, and for this reason it has been

went into hospitals, too large a percentage, sometimes 50 per cent of them died, although the operation was successful.

Our trouble is not that we don't know how to switch cars, it is not that we don't know how to get trains over a railroad, it is not that we don't know how to make those trains fine and comfortable for passengers or to meet the needs of the shipping public, but we cannot perform our operations without the infection of carelessness; and the only way we can eliminate it from our railroad operations is to make each individual man on our railroad an expert in the performance of his operations.

So we need to study each individual operation and see where the bug gets into that operation, and develop a technique that will assure efficiency every time that operation is performed.

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 4, 1933

Report of Committee on Train Service Accidents

By D. G. PHILLIPS

Superintendent of Safety, Wabash Railway, St. Louis

The speaker said in part: In 1932 there were 63 employees killed and 326 injured in train accidents, while in train service accidents for the same period there were 367 employees killed and 6,861 injured. So without minimizing the importance of safety work in connection to train accidents, these figures show us the much greater field for work in the prevention of train service accidents. In 1932 there were six times as many killed in train service accidents as in train accidents, and 20 times as many injured.

In 1932, 20 persons were killed and 307 were injured in accidents while coupling or uncoupling cars or locomotives. The remedy for this class of accidents naturally suggests itself, keep from between moving cars that are about to couple, do not attempt to make any adjustments in the coupling apparatus of a moving car that requires you to go in front of the car. Such adjustments should be made when car is still. We believe that proper supervision can eliminate entirely this class of accidents, but if a supervising official stands around seeing men doing work in this manner and says nothing, just so long will accidents of this kind occur.

During 1932, 9 persons were killed and 123 injured while coupling or uncoupling air or steam hose. The principal cause of employee injuries coming under this heading is due to attempting to do their work without proper protection and from inadvertently uncoupling air or steam hose under pressure. If accidents of this kind are to be prevented, it is absolutely necessary that where a blue flag rule is applicable, such a rule should be made and should be complied with. However, frequently in switching operations there is no opportunity for the use of a blue flag. In such cases, not only the men doing the work, but those working with them should be on the alert to see that no movement is made when men are between the cars. Of course, the man himself should be sure that air and steam are shut off before any attempt to uncouple hose, and even then they must be careful, as steam and air are frequently trapped and remain for sometime in a short section of hose. Supervising officials should not overlook the failure to blue flag when the rule so provides and should watch to see that the man understands the proper method to uncouple air and steam hose.

cent occurred in casualty rate 0.50. the majority of in mind the six 2,103 falls of falling freight or 1,466 falls of these six causes of

to maintenance of one that should by the evil that is

maintain source, a on Engineer, and ews. From these bring about that them to be safety-directing the men supervisory posi- r which they now tional effort and n what is wanted, e would here pay conditions is un- conditions to do t him to the ut- maintenance of way t supervision that ang from bridges kinds of serious when doing this the importance permitted it from motor and t standing up on and from flying ng of intentions or material is to other accidents is at can be and is ns are invariably

enforce all rules ral efficiency and re all reasonable unnecessary, as ave not failed to tions are greatly

reduced and supervision understaffed and which condition will, we greatly fear, continue for some time. But whereas many activities must be reduced, the one activity, safety education of railroad workers, cannot be allowed to be relegated or delegated to inefficient leadership. The safety organization on every railroad must function as never before in energizing and stimulating interest.

THURSDAY MORNING SESSION

October 5, 1933

Report of Committee on Proper Method of Reporting Casualties to the Interstate Commerce Commission

By G. H. WARFEL

Assistant to Executive Vice President, Union Pacific System, Omaha, Neb.

The speaker said in part: A year ago, in the Committee of Direction, the question was raised as to whether or not there was need for some other method of determining the relative progress of safety work on various railroads. The casualty rate per million man-hours has from time to time been questioned, particularly the very low casualty rate sometimes established, and there have been those who wondered if some other basis could not be developed. So our Committee was appointed to study the desirability or the practicability of developing some form of severity rating for casualties, as well as the frequency rating, to see if by that the relative standings of different carriers and the progress of safety work generally could be better determined.

After a very careful study of severity ratings and the conditions surrounding their reporting, and especially the very great difficulty of securing accurate severity statistics, we came to the conclusion that the casualty rate per million man-hours has been and is a very reliable guide and index to the progress of accident prevention work on railroads, and that the general casualty rate, as it has been established through the Green Book and our other agencies, is a good and reliable general guide to the progress of safety work among the railroads.

There is no question in our minds but what there has been some distortions one way or the other of the relative standings of individual carriers, due usually to different interpretations of what constitutes reportability. Your Committee believes, however, that what is needed is not some other yard stick to measure by, but the assurance that everybody use the present yard stick the same way. We come to the conclusion that what was most desirable was that everybody who had to do with the reporting of accidents on a railroad should understand alike the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Commission Reporting Rules. So this Committee undertook to put together an interpretation of the Interstate Commerce Commission Reporting Rules. We tried to make this interpretation as clear and concise as possible, so that anybody reading them could understand clearly whether or not a man's injury was reportable. I want to sketch for you briefly here some of the points in the text we have finally agreed upon.

Take, for example, "Accidents arising from the operation of a railway." I will read two or three things here: "This expression is intended to include not only accidents resulting directly from the operation, construction or maintenance of a

and to the co-operative response and assistance of those called upon to contribute their thought, time and effort to promote such a commendable cause as the conservation of human life and limb, and desiring to recognize each and every one in a proper and fitting manner,—therefore, be it resolved—

That this Section recognizes and appreciates the contribution made by each and every one who in any manner arranged for or participated in the conduct of these meetings, including, and with particular reference to, those whose presence, although not upon the program, have added so much;

That the Section is not only grateful, but indebted to Messrs. F. E. Williamson, President, New York Central Lines; M. J. Gormley, President, American Railway Association, and Judge R. V. Fletcher, General Counsel, Association of Railway Executives and of the American Railway Association; for their sacrifice of time, and for the great and lasting benefits that must accrue to and aid in the advancement of this Section and its work because of the timely, well chosen and pertinent thought given us;

That our appreciation is also extended to the management of the Stevens Hotel; to the Press and to all others who have so kindly assisted in making our stay in "America's Chicago," so pleasing and so profitable;

That in our hearts, we cherish the memory of those who have been called to fields beyond, and express our earnest desire and hope, that to those of our Members upon whom burdens have been placed through physical infirmities and otherwise, lasting and effective relief may speedily come.

That these Resolutions be spread upon the records of this Annual Meeting.

Announcement of Election of Officers

The chairman then called for the report of the tellers who had canvassed the votes for officers of the Section for the ensuing year. This report was presented by Frank Strouse, Pennsylvania Railroad, who announced the election of officers as follows:

Chairman—C. L. LaFountaine, Great Northern Railway.

First Vice-Chairman—H. A. Parish, Chicago & North Western Railway.

Second Vice-Chairman—W. H. Failing, Central Railroad of New Jersey.

Members of Committee of Direction—

Eastern Territory (One-Year Term)—T. H. Carrow, Pennsylvania Railroad.

New England Territory (One-Year Term)—F. R. Bradford, Boston & Maine Railroad.

Southern Territory—L. G. Bentley, Chesapeake & Ohio Railway.

Canadian Territory—A. O. Beck, Canadian National Railways.

Western Territory—F. A. Meyer, Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific R. R., and

G. H. Warfel, Union Pacific System.

Committee on Nominations—

O. F. Johnson, Minneapolis & St. Louis Railroad; J. G. Fitzhugh, Gulf, Colorado and Santa Fe Railway; A. V. Rohweder, Duluth, Missabe and Northern Railway; J. L. Walsh, Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad; D. G. Phillips, Wabash Railway.

ADJOURNMENT