

Message

From: Jim Myers [Ex. 6]
Sent: 12/6/2017 10:24:02 PM
To: Abboud, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b6f5af791a1842f1adcc088cbf9ed3ce-Abboud, Mic]
Subject: Re: Media inquiry on WOTUS provision in spending bill

10-4. Thanks.

Sent from my iPad

On Dec 6, 2017, at 5:10 PM, "Abboud, Michael" <abboud.michael@epa.gov> wrote:

Hey Jim, you can attribute this to an EPA spokesperson.

"EPA did not request the language in the bill."

From: Jim Myers [mailto: [Ex. 6]
Sent: Wednesday, December 06, 2017 10:40 AM
To: Lynn, Tricia <lynn.tricia@epa.gov>
Subject: Media inquiry on WOTUS provision in spending bill

Tricia,

Just checking in on the WOTUS language that the Washington Post on Dec. 1 reported was in the spending bills.

According to the Post, that language is designed to block legal challenges under the APA to the EPA/Corps bid to at least revise the rule.

Anything on that legislative language, specifically whether EPA request ed it?

Thanks,

Jim Myers
Washington Correspondent
The Waterways Journal

[Ex. 6]