

Can you find analyses for which there is
a signif BPb / BP correl? The ans.

is yes - But not showed this. Is this
correlation consistent over many groupings of
data — Ans. No. — not even always
in positive direction.

Points to Make

- Scientifically low value

• Interesting that key papers didn't adequately address this usual insight of these being well aware of the concern & the controversy, that some of the papers didn't cover it at all.

- What is additional value of this addendum over appendices deleted on results on expert groups reviewing neurological effects, etc.

- Comment on Review procedure that Dupont was not included in

- Comment on use of Swartz' unpublished results & involvement in review process

- Clearly impetus for addendum is based on Hurler & Pirke papers. Much of inform elsewhere was cited was ~~not~~ avail. previously & Olesen not to be included.

Therefore, inclusion of this addendum is solely dependent on ~~the~~ credibility of these papers.

Trying to build artificial case around these papers.

- Evident that an unusual effort being mounted to include this material in document. List highly questionable supportive results here.

EPP
for
discussion

TEH 0412706