

OF THE CORP.

DUPONT

INTRA-COMPANY CORRESPONDENCE

D1 C7

LS C WTS

To L. X. Sadosky - New York
From M. Hardwick - Berlin
Subject STRESS CORROSION--DU PONT

Date March 6, 1967
R. S. Grant - Toledo
M. V. Smith - Toledo
R. F. Shannon - Granville
A. S. Kevlin - New York
F. C. Wilson - Granville
J. B. Rhoads - Berlin

During our discussion Friday, March 3 you mentioned that any batch or process changes required prior notice to DuPont and special production sampling and testing by DuPont in order to retain approval. It was my understanding at the Team Meeting in Granville in January that the stress corrosion test criteria would be incorporated into the product CAS. I believe it was further agreed that future development would not involve voluntary submission of information from OCF any differently than is involved in current products. Inasmuch as recent years have witnessed a rapid growth of Kaylo development with many new ideas and programs on the board for near future improvements in costs and quality, it does not seem sensible to impede progress by encumbering customer development programs.

My points are therefore twofold:

1. If the product qualification is to be covered by CAS, then our commitment to performance is specified. Therefore, the customer may at any time on any order shipment sample, test, and take any appropriate action. Performance remains a responsibility of plant and in the case of new processing or formulation--R & D.
2. If qualifications as to OCF changes in processing or formulation in spite of 6-day test performance have been attached to this recent approval, then they should be reviewed prior to further commitments financial or otherwise by Manufacturing or R & D.

MB1/jmw

M. Hardwick

42 004 1930