W. A. Kuhn - St. Louis

August 25, 1969

AROCLOR QUALITY
RELATIONSHIP TO NIP 165

P1

Paul Verna
KRUMMRICH

D. C. Armstrong - KRUMMRICH
T. E. Groverman - KRUMMRICH
D. W. Jackson - KRUMMRICH
J. W. Molley - KRUMMRICH
H. S. Bergen
D. B. Hosmer
D. A. Olson

This will confirm that the Functional Fluids Business Group does not see the need for additional work to upgrade the quality of Liquid Arocler.

Obviously certain minor projects such as nitrogen blanketing and the installation of roofs over loading docks and others which will improve cost or maintain quality are appropriate. It is assumed that each such project will carry its own justification and will be included in your new NIP.

Under these guidelines the use of iodine as a co-catalyst would be eliminated and automatic drum flushing facilities justification would be very doubtful. Based on the recent TSD progress report which compares Anniston and Krummrich plant quality, further efforts to demonstrate Aroclor conditions at the Krummrich plant (or vice versa) would seem redundant.

W. A. Kuhn

/jk

DSW 199859

STLCOPCB4057923