ARCLOR 1254

Industrial BIO-TEST Laboratories, Inc
1810 FRONTAGE ROAD
NORTHBROOK, ILLINOIS 60062

TOXICOLOGY
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES
PHARMACOLOGY
PLANT SCIENCES
CHEMICAL SCIENCES

AREA CODE 312
TELEPHONE 272-3030

August 4, 1975

Dr. George J. Levinskas, Manager
Environmental Assessment and Toxicology
Monsanto Company
800 North Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Dear George:

Ref: Aroclor - 2 Year Rat Studies 376-1669

In regard to the comments and questions covered in your letter dated July 18, 1975, pertaining to the above, please note the following:

1. We will amend our statement in the last paragraph on page 2 of the Aroclor 1254 report to read, "does not appear to be carcinogenic" in place of "slightly tumorigenic" as requested.

2. In regard to the animal numbers in the Aroclor 1242 and 1260 reports, they are correct in our final revised report. In the original reports, the Aroclor titles for these two materials were reversed.

3. The animal identification numbers appearing in the reports on evaluation of additional liver sections are the same as those in our original report. The animals were not renumbered.

4. We cannot find any discrepancy in animal identification numbers in the reports (original, re-evaluation, final revision) on Aroclor 1254. However, in the report on re-evaluation of additional liver sections dated March 24, 1975, there was a typographical error on page 1 which referred to Aroclor 1260 instead of 1254. Perhaps this is the basis of your confusion.

I hope that this will serve to further clarify the situation. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

MONS 096078

Joe

J. C. Calandra
President

THE EPPLEY INSTITUTE
for
RESEARCH IN CANCER

October 31, 1975

Paul L. Wright, Ph.D.
Monsanto Company
800 North Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Dear Dr. Wright:

Enclosed please find the report on Histopathological Re-evaluation of Tissues from Female Sherman Rats Fed Aroclor 1260. As mentioned in this report, there were about 20 animals with lesions, which on a morphological basis alone, could be interpreted as neoplastic. However, the definite malignant nature of these lesions cannot be proved in the presented material because of the factors mentioned in the section entitled "discussion" in the enclosed report.

I am sorry that I was not able to contact you by telephone. I was very much tied up with several visitors last week.

If you have any questions concerning the report, please contact me.

Sincerely,

P. Pour, M.D.
Professor

rmj

MONS 096079

Monsanto

ORGANIC CHEMICALS DIVISION

Monsanto Company
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, Missouri 63166
Phone: (314) 694-1000

September 30, 1971

Direct Customer

Dear Sir:

As you may recall, on August 31, 1970, Monsanto terminated sales of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) as plasticizers and resin modifiers because it appeared that these products might be associated with some problems in the environment. Monsanto is now selling a line of non-PCB plasticizers under the same trade-name - Aroclor® - as was used in the past. This letter is intended to eliminate any confusion which might result from the fact that this trade-name has been used on both PCB and non-PCB products.

Our Aroclor® product line is now made up of polychlorinated terphenyls, monochlorinated biphenyl, and blends of these products. None of these materials has been shown to create any environmental problems. On the other hand, Food and Drug Administration approval has not been obtained for any of these products. Accordingly, the Aroclor® plasticizers should not be used in connection with food or food-related products, with food wrapping or food container materials. Further, Monsanto strongly recommends that these products not be used in any other application such as non-FDA regulated food systems, in potable water systems, in any animal feeding systems, or in pharmaceuticals.

Very respectfully,

W. E. Schalk

W. E. Schalk
Director of Sales
Plasticizers

/dbw

MONS 096080