

To: [REDACTED] (CLIMA)
Cc: [REDACTED] (CLIMA)
Subject: RE: ODS, F-gas and PFAS

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for keeping us in the loop on your actions related to illegal trade. It is very useful for us.

Regarding the ODS review, the option to have a negative list for some feedstock uses has simply been shortlisted for *further analysis*, thus at this stage none of the options are “recommended” for being included in the proposal. Eventually, the Commission will, on the basis of the impact analysis of the various options decide which options are worth pursuing. I think that we have already received sufficient information from stakeholders about the potential impacts of this option. Thus I would suggest we do not use more time on this.

Regarding PFAS I think it would be good to have a talk. [REDACTED] has also asked, so we could maybe make a joint meeting with you and her? For us it looks feasible around 22/4 and 23/4.

Best regards,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@arkema.com>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 3:00 PM
To: [REDACTED] (CLIMA)
[REDACTED] (CLIMA)
Cc: [REDACTED]@cefic.be>
Subject: ODS, F-gas and PFAS

Hi [REDACTED]

I hope that all is well. Several issues.

ODS Review

I have been speaking to the European Chlorinated Solvents Association (ECSA) and I wondered if it would be useful for you if we arranged a meeting between yourselves, EFCTC and ECSA to discuss the ODS feedstock issue and, in particular, the consultant’s recommendation for a negative list. If so, I would suggest may be the middle of April could be a good time. [REDACTED] for both groups.

F-gas Review/Illegal trade

We had a discussion on the illegal trade campaign yesterday at the Executive level and it was decided to concentrate on the successes of the activities (seizures etc.) rather than draw attention to the potential size of the issue.

An apology for not informing you of the (to us surprising) Cooling Post article on T1 Transit. We posted our updated position paper on the EFCTC website with no advertisement and were equally shocked that Neil found it and used it as a headline!

We are planning to send a PQ to the Parliament with the intention of getting DG Taxud to repeat in an answer the comments that they made in the roundtable. I have attached the latest draft for your information. [REDACTED] will share with DG Taxud.

PFAS

Given that these discussions are progressing rapidly and EPEE are starting a broad campaign on this issue, I wondered if it would be useful to have a quick discussion.

Thank you for your consideration.

Have a nice weekend.

Best Regards



Ce courriel, pièces jointes incluses, peut contenir des informations confidentielles et/ou couvertes par le secret professionnel et/ou propriété du Groupe Arkema ou de tiers. Il ne peut être utilisé que dans le cadre de son objet. Si vous n'en êtes pas le destinataire, merci d'en informer l'expéditeur par retour et de supprimer ce courriel sans le lire, le copier ni le distribuer. Merci.

This e-mail, attachments included, may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged and/or proprietary to the Arkema Group or third party. It may only be used for its intended purpose. If you are not the intended recipient, please advise the sender by return and delete this e-mail without reading, copying nor distributing it. Thank you.