

APR 11 1892

NEW YORK,

April 8<sup>th</sup> 1892

Mr. W. E. Sherwin  
Pres. The I.W.O.

100 Canal St. Cleveland.

Dear Sir,

Your favor of 5<sup>th</sup> inst. received, and I am glad you have progressed with the tests. By reference to Mr. Dahl's letter of 12<sup>th</sup> Feb. you will see that he stated that the lower numbers of the bbls. were not as good as those numbered higher, especially Nos 130/137. The difference in the lead is principally that of gravity and is the result of manipulation entirely and can be kept under perfect control. The lead which you state ground with even less oil than Dutch lead would have a decided advantage for lead in oil, and the light gravity be of great advantage for mixed paint and other purposes.

Mr Dahl has not yet

0007-SWP-043388

N23206

THOS. B. SIMS,  
71 BROADWAY

<sup>no</sup> H&J Puch. #2

NEW YORK, April 8 .1892

returned from abroad.

I think the explanation I have  
made as to the lead will be satisfactory  
and that you will be able to go on  
with your tests to determine the  
uses and advantages of the light  
and heavy gravity lead respectively.  
and kindly advise the result of  
the tests.

Yours very truly  
T. B. Sims

Rec'd.  
Carrington for this  
There is in - 1200 20  
1200  
APR 11 1892

0007-SWP-043389

0007-SWP-000126735