

PFAS in Belgium: market study and support for the development of more sustainable alternatives– final meeting

Meeting minutes

Project No. 3967 – SPF

Date: 07/12/2023

Participants

Name	Organisation
[REDACTED]	Erdyn
	Erdyn
	Erdyn
	Erdyn
	FPS Economy
	FPS Economy & European Chemical Agency
	FPS Public Health
	RDC Environment
	RDC Environment
	Vlaanderen

Agenda

- Objectives
- Conclusions
- Results of the priority sectors
- Overview of the other sectors
- Next steps

Decisions

- **Technical decisions on reporting**
 - In case A, specify that subsidies should be limited to the situation where there is an additional cost.
 - Specify “technical textiles” instead of “textiles” in the figures in the report as the focus was on technical textiles and the specificities regarding PFAS substitution for technical textiles.
 - Mention in the report that geothermal energy was not put forward as an alternative during the HVACR workshop.

- Concerning “pesticides”, make clearly the distinction between coadjuvant and active substances in the report.

There are differences regarding public policies as Member States can adjust their policy on coadjuvants, while active substances are regulated at the European level.

- Use the “plant protection products” terminology in the report instead of pesticides.

- **Other reporting decisions**

- Add FPS Health in the report as the study was financed by FPS Economy and Health
- An anonymised version of the report for publication should be provided.

- **Steering of the study**

- The final report is approved by the steering group subject to comments being taken into account.

To do

- **Steering group**

- The deadline for the comment is 14/12/23

- **Erdyn and RDC Environment**

- Draft and send the minutes on the final meeting by 11/12/23
- Translate the executive summary in Dutch and French
- Finalise the report in 2023