

Message

---

**From:** Beck, Nancy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=168ECB5184AC44DE95A913297F353745-BECK, NANCY]  
**Sent:** 4/26/2018 7:32:31 PM  
**To:** Nick Hart [nhart@bipartisanpolicy.org]  
**CC:** Derrick Bolen (bolen.derrick@epa.gov) [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=1ffc58b0468c4deca51a8bad735b7d95-Bolen, Derr]  
**Subject:** RE: Question

Nick!

Ex. 6

For me chatting before 8:30 or after 5pm is best but if you want to do a real meeting during the day (perhaps with others from our team), Derrick can help set that up. Just let me know..

---

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP  
P: 202-564-1273  
M: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy \(PP\)](#)  
[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Nick Hart [mailto:nhart@bipartisanpolicy.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 26, 2018 11:42 AM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Question

Nancy — would love to catch up soon. I think we may have some ideas about activities OCSPP and other parts of EPA could undertake to make real progress in implementing the recommendations of the Commission on Evidence-Based Policymaking.

Sorry for leaving this email dormant for so long!

—Nick

Sent using OWA for iPad

---

**From:** Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Saturday, November 4, 2017 1:06:39 PM  
**To:** Nick Hart  
**Subject:** RE: Question

Hi Nick,

Yes, this definitely helps! Making sure our t-tests, etc associated with scientific evaluations is out of scope would be helpful. As would making sure that any data releases protect CBI. I would be curious to hear what you had in mind for programmatic evaluations—I would really like to see our new chemicals program “leaned” but beyond getting TSCA implemented and keeping the wheels on FIFRA and getting PRIA re-funded, its not clear that there will be much free time. It’s a bit crazy...

Regards,  
Nancy

---

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP  
P: 202-564-1273  
M: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)  
[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Nick Hart [<mailto:nhart@bipartisanpolicy.org>]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 3, 2017 1:21 PM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Question

I guess it depends on which part of the bill you're talking about. For Title I and the chief evaluation officer and learning agendas, the vision is more that these are programmatic or policy evaluations. Personally I think this will be tremendous for EPA if it helps boost Al McGartland's retrospective review efforts.

I wouldn't really expect RIAs or risk assessments to fall explicitly under the definition, though the retrospective reviews of those analyses could. Similarly, there's a lot of basic science that would be out of bounds. So just because something is statistical it wouldn't explicitly fall within scope. But Title III, for example, implicates all work of CIPSEA units like BLS, BEA, etc. and eventually would hopefully also include evaluation units across government to protect confidential individual or firm level data.

Does that help -- or did I completely misread your Q?

As an aside, I once tried to convince Jim Jones to establish an evaluation unit within OCSPP to conduct some small scale programmatic evaluations beyond the traditional work in TSCA and FIFRA. I don't think anything every happened, but there's definitely a lot of room for policy oriented research in your new domain!

--Nick

**Nick Hart, Ph.D.**  
Director, Evidence-Based Policymaking Initiative | Bipartisan Policy Center  
(202) 218-6762 | [bipartisanpolicy.org](http://bipartisanpolicy.org)

---

**From:** Nick Hart <Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)>  
**Sent:** Friday, November 3, 2017 1:08 PM

To: Nick Hart  
Subject: Fwd: Question

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Beck, Nancy** <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>

Date: Fri, Nov 3, 2017 at 7:49 AM

Subject: Question

To: " **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** "

Hey Nick,

Hope you are well. I love seeing all your activities on linked in! Quick question for you about the new bill. Whats the intersection with scientific reviews where there is a statistical analysis? Are those types of evaluations meant to be covered.

Thanks,  
Nancy

\*\*\*\*\*

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator  
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention  
P: 202-564-1273

M: **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)