

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 May 2021 09:14
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: analytical methods survey PFHxA

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear [REDACTED]
Fine to share with the consultant if you highlight that the cost information details and the lab names are restricted and should not be referred to in a public document. Ranges of cost estimates can be presented though, if necessary.

There is a "formal" reference you can use when you refer to the survey, which we used in the PFHxA restr process documents. I will dig that also up to you.

Greetings,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 14 May 2021 12:59
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: SV: analytical methods survey PFHxA

CAUTION: This email originated from outside ECHA. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Check the email address of the sender. It is possible that the name of the sender is known to you (e.g. a colleague), but the actual sender is someone else.

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for bringing my attention to the analytical methods survey documents. They look really useful and will for sure be good to have further down the process with the PFAS restriction.

And thanks also for the discussions and questions in the PBT EG this week. 😊

With regards to the survey documents, at a first glance I think some of the information may be useful for our consultant in their compilation of analytical methods, while some of the information may be useful for us, but it may not necessarily be needed to include all of it in the analytical method text for the dossier.

However, I am wondering if we could share the excel sheet and the report with the consultant, partially as a basis for their work and partially for information? What do you think? They are of course bound by a confidentiality clause in the contract.

Best regards,
[REDACTED]

Fra: [redacted] <[redacted]>
Sendt: mandag 10. mai 2021 17:38
Til: [redacted] <[redacted]>
Emne: RE: analytical methods survey PFHxA

Dear [redacted]
I hope you noticed I had uploaded ca 1 month ago some documents to the SID WP folder couple of files from our survey. But if you would like to have the raw answers of the survey, please, say so.

Sorry I did not inform you via email earlier that I had done the upload already!

Greetings,
[redacted]

From: [redacted] <[redacted]>
Sent: 03 March 2021 21:49
To: [redacted] <[redacted]>
Subject: SV: analytical methods survey PFHxA

CAUTION: This email originated from outside ECHA. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Check the email address of the sender. It is possible that the name of the sender is known to you (e.g. a colleague), but the actual sender is someone else.

Dear [redacted]

Sorry to hear that you've had some health issues, but good that your getting back now. I'm fine at my end, considering the circumstances. But the life in my basement home office is sort of monotone... Good thing we are approaching brighter, warmer days.

Anyway, thanks for remembering the analytical survey. It may turn out to be very useful, and the timing for transferring the survey is good. We will hire a consultant to compile information about the analytical methods, and we will select consultant by 9 April and will kick off the project soon after. The PFAS restriction SID-folder works well.

Best regards,
[redacted]

Fra: [redacted] <[redacted]>
Sendt: onsdag 3. mars 2021 15:18
Til: [redacted] <[redacted]>
Emne: analytical methods survey PFHxA

Dear [redacted]

How are you doing?

I was on a long sick leave and am back at work in part-time now and just noticed no-one had sent you the promised survey raw data on the analytical methods. Sorry for that!

Can I upload the data to the S-CIRCABC universal PFAS restriction SID-folder or do you prefer another place?

Did you already get the final report of the survey? I don't remember so need to ask.

BR,

[REDACTED]

Senior scientific officer
Risk Management Unit
European Chemicals Agency
Telakkakatu 6, P.O. Box 400
FI-00121 Helsinki

Mobile: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

<http://echa.europa.eu/>