

55-7



1955

20th
ANNUAL MEETING

**INDUSTRIAL
HYGIENE
FOUNDATION**

TRANSACTIONS BULLETIN NO. 29

**INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE FOUNDATION OF AMERICA
MELLON INSTITUTE**

4400 FIFTH AVENUE

PITTSBURGH 13, PA.

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

05754.00

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

WN-001886

TWENTIETH ANNUAL MEETING
INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE FOUNDATION OF AMERICA

HELD AT
MELLON INSTITUTE
PITTSBURGH, PA.

NOVEMBER 16 and 17, 1955

TRANSACTIONS OF
The General Meeting

AND

The Conferences—

—Medical

—Chemical-Toxicological

—Joint Medical and Chemical-Toxicological

—Legal

—Engineering

—Joint Engineering and Legal

THE ECONOMICS OF INDUSTRIAL HEALTH

A. R. FISHER

President, Johns-Manville Corporation

As a trustee of the Industrial Hygiene Foundation, it is only natural, I suppose, that I should be quite enthusiastic about its aims and its accomplishments during its twenty years of service to industry. To me, the Foundation exemplifies what is being done by privately supported institutions to improve the health of the nation.

When I use the word "health," I do not restrict it to its physiological sense. My concept of it is much broader than that. And I am sure yours is too. Nowadays when we speak of health, we do not think only about diseases and injuries that afflict mankind. Equally important are the mental well-being and the personal dignity of man and the members of his family.

Throughout the ages man's well-being has been his chief concern. Since the dawn of civilization, man has sought protection against common ailments and dreaded epidemics. But for the most part, man's efforts were individual and inspired by his instinct for self-preservation. Neither the ruling classes nor private groups paid much attention to the health of the community.

Our world is many thousands of years removed from primitive man who first sought shelter in a cave and who later relied on a fanatical medicine man to cure his physical and mental ills. And yet, in many regions of the globe, millions of people exist under conditions not too unlike those of primitive man. Poverty, ignorance and superstitions prevail. Disease is rampant. The death rate is high. In many areas, human life is considered cheap and expendable. It is a burden to be cast off.

The Most Effective Approach

It is only in our Western civilization that real progress has been made. And the greatest progress has been recorded in the United States and Canada. Perhaps there is a definite reason for this. Ours is the middle approach. We do not leave the problem of the nation's health entirely to the government. We do not leave it entirely to private groups. Nor do we neglect it or merely pay lip service to it. Through experience, we have found our way to be quite effective.

Other countries may not agree with the way the nation's health is handled in the United States and Canada. In this connection, I

read an
cradle-to
swimmi
tain's N
set of
the gre
swimmi
Was th
it beco
nearly

To
is to sa
should
is to q
is bou
lose his

On
of Eng
nor on
cellent
ing to

Th
Canad
promot
health
tions
Our

In
ment
ful w
Servic
helpf
our

group
agene
initi

Conce

divid
with

read an editorial in the Wall Street Journal. It dealt with England's cradle-to-grave security. It happened that a man in England went in swimming and lost a set of false teeth he had obtained under Britain's National Health Program. The man's application for a second set of false teeth was turned down by the city's health council on the ground that he had—and I quote—"exercised lack of care by swimming in a rough sea." This raised some interesting questions. Was the sea rough when the false tooth man first went in or did it become so later? Is that particular sea always rough and are the nearby beaches to be denied all wearers of false teeth?

To rule that a man should be denied a second set of false teeth is to say that government responsibility in the matter of false teeth should go so far and no further. But to say that about false teeth is to question the whole scheme of socialized medicine. For someone is bound to ask, as in the case of the false tooth man, how did he lose his *own* teeth in the first place?

Our approach to the health of the nation is different from that of England. Ours is neither a government-controlled health program nor one completely dominated by private enterprise. It is an excellent example of state health agencies and private enterprise working together for the common good.

There are many private organizations in the United States and Canada, all supported by individual contributions and dedicated to promoting the health of the public of both nations. There are also health departments in every state and city. And there are organizations devoted to improving the health of those engaged in industry. Our Industrial Hygiene Foundation is an outstanding example.

In addition to these privately supported, local and state government groups, there are several federal health agencies doing wonderful work. There is, for example, the United States Public Health Service. In my opinion, this excellent organization has been most helpful and cooperative in collaborating with us in industry to solve our many, and at times, complex problems in health.

This is a typical American way of doing things. Our private groups utilize the services of the government and our government agencies work with us. In this way, we retain our freedom and our initiative.

Concern for the Individual's Welfare

As a result, private industry promotes the well-being of the individual. Indirectly, we have our so-called cradle-to-grave security without the heavy hand of government. Directly we in industry are

interested in the employee from the day of employment to retirement. And that covers a good measure of the span of life of most of us. As you all know, industry does this through carefully planned programs based on maintaining a sound mind in a sound body. We need only refer to a few statistics to realize how the nation's health has improved through the combined efforts of state, industry and private groups. In 1909 the life expectancy of the industrial population was 46 years. For the general population it was 52 years. In 1954 the life expectancy of the industrial population was nearly 70 years or an increase of 24 years. And for the general population the life expectancy was also about 70 in 1954, an increase of only 18 years. There are truly significant figures. They show that the life expectancy of the general population has increased roughly a third during the last 45 years. And they show that the life expectancy of the industrial population has increased more than a half in this period.

These advances have been due to a considerable extent to the amazing achievements of our modern scientists.

The figures also show the important part played by industry in improving the health of the nation. It has done so because industry today is directed by enlightened professional managers who realize their obligations and responsibilities to their employees, customers, stockholders and the public.

This concern for the individual's welfare, of course, is a relatively modern idea. At the start of the Industrial Revolution 200 years ago, no one gave a thought to man's health or his dignity. All too frequently employees were looked upon as mere chattels or commodities. Men, women and children were transplanted from homes to factories and mines. Sweatshop conditions prevailed.

What little progress there was could hardly be detected. For example, what was considered a milestone in industrial relations occurred in 1842, almost a century after the start of the Industrial Revolution. At that time Massachusetts passed a law forbidding children under 12 years of age from working more than 10 hours a day in manufacturing establishments. I mention this merely to point out that the capitalism of a century ago is a far cry from the progressive capitalism existing in the United States and Canada today.

Admittedly, early capitalism was primitive, crude and, on occasion, inhuman and ruthless. Very little, if any, thought was given to the health, working conditions and general welfare of the employees. Nor was any thought given to the effect on the community of fumes, polluted streams, environmental and occupational health hazards and other nuisances of the factory and mine.

Amazing progress has been made in eliminating such conditions.

But
of on
talism

T
still b
tinne
mon
minor
shocke

T
ago a
workin
few ci
little fi
was sh
concern
attenti
cases.
the gro

In
tel or
highest

Pe
probe i
and pr
plovee

Pr
pushed
and st
being c
moting

From I

In
on the
treating
eine ha
directed

In
the field
talents
have all

But past evils are difficult to live down. Even in this enlightened era of ours the memory of former wrongs still haunts the word capitalism.

Those who would undermine our system of private enterprise still build up their strawmen or tilt at fictitious windmills as they continue to hurl their reckless charges about the exploitation of the common man. Fortunately they are fast becoming a woefully weak minority. The falsity of their claims is too apparent. Industry has shocked and stunned them by its amazing strides.

To be sure, industry's awakening was a slow one. Fifty years ago a few leaders in industry attempted to improve the health and working conditions of employees. But it was just an attempt. The few efforts that were made were crude and isolated. And precious little financial support was offered. It is not surprising that progress was slow. Then the public's voice was heard. The public's growing concern for the health of the employee was forcibly brought to the attention of industry. There were just too many occupational diseases, too many tragedies in the mines, mills and factories. And to the great credit of industry, the problems were recognized.

Industry no longer considered an employee to be a mere chattel or commodity to be put on the block and auctioned off to the highest bidder. His dignity as a human being was acknowledged.

Public-spirited men of wealth endowed research institutions to probe into occupational hazards and diseases and to develop methods and procedures to improve the health of the individual, of the employee in industry and conditions in the community.

Progressive-minded companies established medical clinics. They pushed ahead with health and safety programs. The programs were, and still are, based on taking care of the physical and mental well-being of employees, helping and protecting the consumer and promoting the common good.

From Defensive to Offensive

In the early days, of course, industrial medicine was essentially on the defensive. For the most part its programs were confined to treating injuries and diseases. But in recent years industrial medicine has taken the offensive. Its programs are positive. They are directed at the preventing disease and maintaining good health.

In its sincere concern for employees, industry has truly covered the field. Its entire resources have been mobilized to this end. The talents of engineers, doctors, scientists, chemists and personnel men have all been enlisted in the cause. Through the efforts of industry,

occupational diseases, accidents and other causes of absenteeism have been reduced amazingly.

It has been said that each year about a half a billion man days are lost to industry. But of these, only 10 per cent are due to occupational causes. The problem of industry, therefore, is not only to try to reduce occupational absenteeism. Our job is to work with other health organizations in our economy to reduce the nonoccupational causes of absenteeism.

Obviously, such an undertaking requires a vast expenditure of funds. Statistics on this point are not readily available. But in a survey made in 1951 by the National Association of Manufacturers, it is interesting to note that almost 1,600 companies spent many millions a year on health services.

Multiply this figure by the thousands of other companies not surveyed and I am sure you will agree with me that modern industry is doing its best to promote the well-being of its employees.

We in industry realize that as good citizens it is part of our obligation to society to help improve the health of the nation as well as the community. Obviously it is also good business, for healthier employees mean less absenteeism and more productivity.

Johns-Manville, of course, is but one of many companies that has established health and safety programs.

In the thirty-two years that I have been with Johns-Manville, I have observed the increasing interest in and the progress made by our company in the field of occupational health. And our records show that as long ago as 1895 we established a clinic with a doctor serving part time at our Asbestos Mine in Quebec.

One Company's Program

Today our program is typical of those in American industry. I mention it only because naturally I am more familiar with it. Johns-Manville's program includes a physical examination when employed; continuing preventive health measures and periodic examinations; control of occupational hazards; immediate on-the-job medical care; safety precautions; accident and health insurance; hospitalization; group life insurance; vacations; a pension plan; and plant staffs of medical, industrial hygiene and safety personnel coordinated by a headquarters health department.

In past years Johns-Manville has sponsored independent research on various raw materials and finished products at private research institutions throughout the country. For example, the Industrial Hy-

giene Founda-
our newer pr

All of th
employee in
more useful

Responsibili

As with
bilities to the
designed to
constantly st
proved prod
effort is mad
and better m

Years a
of health an
product was
trial research
safeguard is
consumer.

A num
and laborate
that might
lowships for

And in
to label pro
sumer if he

Health and

All of
consumer,
stances and
of the day,
healthful a

Now th

In my
tem direct
aware of
more comm
ment has
the totalit

giene Foundation is currently investigating the properties of one of our newer products.

All of these features of our program are based on keeping the employee in good mental and physical health. They enable him to be more useful to himself, his family, his company and his community.

Responsibility to the Consuming Public

[As with the rest of industry, we are also aware of our responsibilities to the consuming public. Today, the products of industry are designed to promote the health and comfort of the public. Industry is constantly striving to bring about more pleasant living through improved products at better values to the consumer. Moreover, every effort is made nowadays to protect the consumer with safer products and better methods of handling them.]

Years ago industrial research was unconcerned about problems of health and safety that might be involved in a product. When the product was developed, it was put on the market. Today our industrial research organizations probe into every health hazard. And every safeguard is insisted upon before the product is marketed to the consumer.]

A number of companies have established research organizations and laboratories for the sole purpose of eliminating health hazards that might injure the consumer. Some companies have granted fellowships for this specific purpose.

And industry has inspired, to a very great extent, the movement to label properly certain types of products that might harm the consumer if he were not forewarned.]

Health and Safety Outgrowth of Free Enterprise System

All of these things not only help the individual employee and consumer, but the community at large. Modern plants, in which nuisances and health hazards are reduced to a minimum, are the order of the day. And in their construction, every consideration is given to healthful and safe working conditions.

Now how has this come about?

-In my opinion, it is the natural result of the free enterprise system directed by enlightened men of modern management who are aware of the fact that employees are first of all human beings, not mere commodities to be exploited. Under our system, modern management has had the freedom to progress. It has not been shackled by the totalitarian state or dictator.

And modern management has been able to finance these costly projects through the profits of the free enterprise system.

As a result, industry has not only established its own health and safety programs. It has also fostered independent research in occupational health and has benefited through the by-products of this research.

All of these efforts are reflected in lower turnover, less absenteeism, and reduced production costs. At the same time, the employee's health is better, his morale is higher. He and his family live longer and more happily.

But despite our amazing progress, there is still a great deal to be done. Many problems have hardly been touched. Some are too big, too baffling, and too complex for one company to finance or to study. All of them however have a direct bearing on the nation's health.

A tremendous job lies ahead of us.

We must solve the tragic riddles of cancer, cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy, alcoholism. The common cold still exacts an astronomical toll of absenteeism in industry. We have much to learn about mental health and rehabilitation. And in a nerve-wracked world, we must redouble our efforts to further improve working conditions through noise abatement, the use of appropriate color schemes and other devices to promote the well-being of the industrial employee.

Continued Cooperation Needed

I have merely mentioned a few problems that cry out for a solution. These problems call for further cooperation of management, labor and the government, all working together right down to the man at the machine.

For we all realize that no asset is more vital to a nation than the health of its people. It affects a nation's happiness, its social welfare, its industrial productivity, its moral fibre, its future growth and its prosperity.

There is no doubt in my mind that the job, no matter how gigantic it may seem, can be done. And it can be done within the spirit and the framework of free and enlightened enterprise.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

ANDREW FLETCHER, *Chairman*
President, St. Joseph Lead Company, New York, N. Y.

CARL B. POLLOCK, *Secretary*
Vice President, Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.

M. W. THOMPSON, *Treasurer*
General Manager and Treasurer, The Haff China Company, East Liverpool, O.

T. C. WATERS, *General Counsel*
Miles & Stockbridge, Baltimore, Md.

V. P. AHEARN
Executive Secretary, National Industrial Sand Association, Washington, D. C.

H. W. ANDERSON
Vice President, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Mich.

JOHN BUGAS
Vice President, Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, Mich.

H. K. CLARK
Director, The Carborundum Company, Niagara Falls, N. Y.

J. DEWEY DORSETT
General Manager, Association of Casualty and Surety Companies, New York, N. Y.

PHILIP DRINKER, Sc.D.
School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, Mass.

A. R. FISHER
President, Johns-Manville Corporation, New York, N. Y.

A. D. ROSS FRASER
President, Rome Cable Corporation, Rome, N. Y.

WILLIAM B. GIVEN, JR.
Board Chairman, American Brake Shoe Company, New York, N. Y.

OSCAR A. GLAESER
Vice President, United States Smelting Refining and Mining Company, Salt Lake City, Utah

A. C. HIRTH
Owens-Illinois Glass Company, Toledo, O.

HARVEY B. JORDAN
Executive Vice President, United States Steel Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.

B. E. KUECHLE
Vice President, Employers Mutual Liability Insurance Company, Wausau, Wis.

A. J. LANZA, M.D.
The President's Commission on Veterans' Pensions, Washington, D. C.

GEORGE MIXTER
Director, United States Smelting Refining and Mining Company, Boston, Mass.

E. V. MURPHREE, Sc.D.
President, Esso Research and Engineering Company, New York, N. Y.

ALAN M. SCAIFE
Vice President, T. Mellon & Sons, Pittsburgh, Pa.

R. C. TAYLOR
Executive Vice President, American Can Company, New York, N. Y.

C. RICHARD WALMER, M.D.
Managing Director, Industrial Hygiene Foundation, Pittsburgh, Pa.

W. P. YANT, Sc.D.
Director, Research and Development, Mine Safety Appliances Company, Pittsburgh, Pa.