FILE NAME: Owens-Corning (OC)

DATE: 1967 June 21

DOC#: OC404

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Memo with Re-Typed Version - Kaylo - Replacement of Asbestos

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 394 V

Mr. J. E. Thomas, Toledo

June 21, 196'

E. F. Shuman, Granville

Mr. D. W. Ladd, New York
Mr. R. J. McEvoy, Granville
Mr. L. L. Seberg, New York
Central Files

MTD - REPLACEMENT OF ASBESTOS

I called D. W. Ladd, per your request, concerning the Wall Street Journal article on "High Rate of Cancer Noted Among Workers In Asbestos Industry".

D. W. Ladd does not want us to accelerate or transfer our effort to the 'replacement of asbestos' program. He wants us to place our emphasis for the next several months on stress corrosion. Then, in the fall after vacations are out of the way and the program of upgrading strength of the stress corrosion product is completed (estimated completion date - September), we can at that time increase our emphasis on the replacement of asbestos program.

D. W. Ladd pointed out we have a ten million dollar Leyle operation. He wants 'us', as a team, to be in the position to tell management what fibers we can use to reinforce Leyle if and when the day arrives when the whole industry is 'forced' to remove asbestos from their products. He doesn't want OCF to wait until "D" day to start looking for substitute fibers.

I told Del we are conducting a 'low gear' program in finding substitutes for asbestos. Most of our effort is being directed toward stress corrosion. We hope by the end of the year to be able to recommend to management one or more fibers, which could be used to reinforce Leyle with a high probability of success if a major R & D effort is directed toward optimizing and debugging the process of adding such fibers to Leyle batch.

In 1967 we are only screening candidate fibers. We do not plan to carry our investigation to the point of completing plant trials and test marketing. Del thought this was a fair enough objective.

It was also pointed out by Mr. Ladd that if and when "D" day for the removal of asbestos arrives, we won't be alone. The whole industry would be in the same boat with us. The industry may be forced, at that point, to accept a weaker, softer product as a price they must pay for the removal of asbestos.

E. F. Shuman

PROPRIETARY

EFS/ris

Attachment

This document or any parts of it should not be copied nor divulged to others without permission of Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation.

I called D. W. Ladd, per your request, concerning the Wall Street Journal article on "High Rate of Cancer Noted Among Smokers In Asbestos Industry".

D. W. Ladd does not want us to accelerate or transfer our effort to the 'replacement of asbestos' program. He wants us to place our emphasis for the next several months on stress corrosion. Then, in the fall after vacations are out of the way and the program of upgrading strength of the stress corrosion product is completed (estimated completion date September), we can at that time increase our emphasis on the replacement of asbestos program.

D. W. Ladd pointed out we have a ten million dollar Kaylo operation. He wants 'us' as a team, to be in the position to tell management what fibers we can use to reinforce Kaylo if and when the day arrives when the whole industry is 'forced' to remove asbestos from their products. He doesn't want OCF to wait until "D" day to start looking for substitute fibers.

I told Del we are conducting a "low gear" program in finding substitutes for asbestos. Most of our effort is being directed toward stress corrosion. We hope by the end of the year to be able to recommend to management one or more fibers, which could be used to reinforce Kaylo with a high probability of success if a major R & D effort is directed toward sytimising and debugging the process of adding such fibers to Kaylo batch.

In 1967 we are only screening candidate fibers. We do not plan to carry our investigation to the point of completing plant trials and test marketing. Del thought this was a fair enough objective.

It was also pointed out by Mr. Ladd that if and when "D" day for the removal of asbestos arrives, we won't be alone. The whole industry would be in the same boat with us. The industry may be forced, at that point, to accept a weaker, softer product as a price they must pay for the removal of asbestos.