

Questions 2nd consultation round

A. General questions

A1. For which sub-use would you like to submit information?

- ☐ Consumer mixtures
- ☐ Cosmetics
- ☐ Food contact materials and packaging
- ☐ Lubricants and construction products
- ☐ Medical applications & Pharmaceuticals
- ☐ Metal plating
- ☐ PFAS production (manufacturing)
- ☐ Ski treatment
- ☐ Textiles
- ☐ Petroleum & mining
- ☐ F-gases
- ☐ Electronics & energy
- ☐ Transportation
- ☐ Waste

[more options are possible]

A2. If relevant, please indicate further details (for example textiles used in personal protective equipment)

[open question, max xxx characters]

A3. Are certain uses of PFAS missing?

[open question, max xxx characters]

A4. ... [data gap]...

Commented [A1]: Why are these called sub-uses? Are they not just uses?

Commented [A2]: Wouldn't missing uses be covered by the [more options are possible] in Q A.1?

B. Questions in relation to the use (mainly for branches organizations)

Commented [A3]: We typically use the term 'industry association', if this is what you mean

Information provided based on WP4 report:

Use categories	Tonnage	Emission	Expected trend
	(tonnes/PFAS)	(tonnes/PFAS)	(--/-/0/+ /++)*
Sub-use as specified in Q1			

Commented [A4]: What is a use category?

Commented [A5]: Is this the EU level tonnage and emission?

* --=strong decrease, -=decrease, +=increase, ++=strong increase, 0=neutral

B1. Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted?

Yes/No
If yes, could you specify [open question, max xxx characters] and/or refer to literature / public sources

B2. Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted?

Yes/No
If yes, could you specify [open question, max xxx characters] and/or refer to literature / public sources

B3. Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted?

Yes/No
If yes, could you specify [open question, max xxx characters] and/or refer to literature / public sources

C. Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

Information provided based on WP4 report:

Use categories	Non-PFAS alternatives
Sub-use as specified in Q1	

C1. What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(-s)/processes?
[open question, max xxx characters]

C2. Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives technically applicable in your product(-s)/processes?

Yes/No

If no, could you specify why [open question, max xxx characters]

C3. Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives economically applicable in your product(-s)/processes?

Yes/No

If no, could you specify why [open question, max xxx characters]

Cx. Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile?

Yes/No

If yes, please describe [open question, max xxx characters]

C4. Are there legally binding approval schemes for your product/process which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used?

What is the average approval time? [open question, max xxx characters]

C5. Do you actively (i.e. patenting) work on finding alternatives

Yes/No

If you would like to specify [max xxx characters]

C6. If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a complete transition to those?

Please explain [open question, max xxx characters]

C7. Do you have additional information on alternatives for PFAS in your specific product/process or sector?

[open question, max xxx characters]

Commented [A6]: What is a use category?

Commented [A7]: Is applicable the correct word? Do you mean 'suitable', 'feasible' or 'appropriate', for example?

Commented [A8]: More info why "yes" is also welcome.

Commented [A9]: As above -

Commented [A10]: Unless the SMS already have all this information.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Commented [A11]: Minimum performance standards?

Commented [A12]: Why isn't there a yes/no option for this question? If yes, would you want to know about the details of the scheme? – its name and scope, for example.

Commented [A13]: Patenting is more for the manufacturers of potential alternatives. If the survey goes to downstream users (which do not necessarily make patents for products using alternatives) this is less relevant. I would remove the brackets.

Commented [A14]: This would cover both manufacturers of alternatives and users of them.

Commented [A15]: Yes/no answer might be useful

D. Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and branches)

Commented [A16]: Industry associations

D1. What is the economic impact (euro) and social impact (jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited:

- a) In 3 years [open question, max xxx characters]
- b) In 10 years [open question, max xxx characters]
- c) Please make your calculations transparent in a calculation (Excel) sheet

D2. What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company if the following measures will become mandatory:

- a) **Label your product** [open question, max xxx characters]
- b) Recycling obligation [open question, max xxx characters]
- c)
- d) Please make your **calculations transparent**

Commented [A17]: With what? Needs a more specific description to allow a meaningful answer. For example, would the label state 'contains PFAS'; or would it just need to provide appropriate instructions for use and disposal to minimise releases / exposure.

Commented [A18]: Are you also requesting an Excel file here?

E. Specific questions for this sub-use

-- to be provided by responsible sub-WP4 coordinator (max. 3 questions per sub-use)