FN115. Panel Report, para. 8.203.

FN116. Panel Report, para. 8.145.

FN117. See, further, supra, paras. 117 and 118. See, also, supra, paras. 121 and 122.

FN118. Panel Report, para. 8.121.

FN119. Supra, para. 114.

FN120. Panel Report, para. 8.188.

FN121. Ibid., para. 8.220.

FN122. Ibid.

FN123. Panel Report, para. 8.125.

FN124. Ibid., para. 3.21.

FN125. Ibid., paras. 3.21 (Canada) and 3.23 (European Communities). The lists of important uses given by the parties is not identical in all respects and we have distilled from each list the common elements.

FN126. Panel Report, para. 3.21, footnote 7.

FN127. Supra, paras. 120 and 123.

FN128. Ibid.

FN129. Our reasons for reaching this conclusion are set forth, supra, in paras. 117, 118, 121 and 122.

FN130. Canada did present evidence that the impact of the Decree was to reduce demand for chrysotile (Panel Report, paras. 3.20 and 3.422). However, as Canada recognized, this is a necessary consequence of the prohibition on chrysotile and is not evidence of consumers' attitudes and choices regarding the products at issue. As we have said, regulatory measures may suppress latent consumer demand for a product (supra, para. 123).

FN131. Panel Report, para. 8.203.