

Message

From: Ang, Edgar [EAng@opisnet.com]
Sent: 4/5/2018 3:53:52 PM
To: Block, Molly [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=60d0c681a16441a0b4fa16aa2dd4b9c5-Block, Moll]
CC: Jones, Enesta [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=65b8e6c6e5ca4a7a9ae85d98a4c8eedb-EJones02]; Press [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b293283291dc44e0b5d1c36be9281d8a-Press]
Subject: RE: Good day Enesta,

great, thanks mucho

e

From: Block, Molly [mailto:block.molly@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 5, 2018 10:27 AM
To: Ang, Edgar
Cc: Jones, Enesta; Press
Subject: RE: Good day Enesta,

That is correct. See highlighted below.

From: Ang, Edgar [mailto:EAng@opisnet.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 5, 2018 11:24 AM
To: Block, Molly <block.molly@epa.gov>
Cc: Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>; Press <Press@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Good day Enesta,

Hi Molly

am i right to say the small refinery exemption rules have not changed? despite a higher number of exemptions given out.

thanks

edgar

From: Block, Molly [mailto:block.molly@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 5, 2018 9:56 AM
To: Ang, Edgar
Cc: Jones, Enesta; Press
Subject: RE: Good day Enesta,

On background (not for attribution): For calendar year 2016, EPA granted an annual exemption for 14 small refineries.

From: Ang, Edgar [mailto:EAng@opisnet.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 5, 2018 10:53 AM
To: Block, Molly <block.molly@epa.gov>
Cc: Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>; Press <Press@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Good day Enesta,

Thank you very much for your prompt reply, Molly and Enesta.

very helpful.

Could you help with some background, not for attribution?

so far, 25 for 2017 RVO obligation. How many exemptions for 2016, 2015,2014?

I was told previously by Christine that 2015 and 2014 was less than five each.

Thanks
Edgar

From: Block, Molly [<mailto:block.molly@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, April 5, 2018 9:49 AM
To: Ang, Edgar
Cc: Jones, Enesta; Press
Subject: RE: Good day Enesta,

Here's the same information I sent to your colleague Jeffrey Barber yesterday afternoon:

"The criteria used to grant waivers has not changed since previous administrations. EPA follows a long-standing, established process where the Agency uses a DOE analysis to inform decisions about refiner exemptions/waivers. These waivers are only considered for refineries that submit applications and that are below the blending threshold," **EPA spokesperson, Liz Bowman**

On background (not for attribution): DOE's analysis is developed based on information submitted to EPA, and DOE provides a recommendation with regard to the waiver. While the applications continue to come in, EPA has granted roughly 25 so far. We do not have specifics on overall refining capacity.

From: "Ang, Edgar" <EAng@opisnet.com>
Date: April 5, 2018 at 10:31:24 AM EDT
To: "jones.enesta@epa.gov" <jones.enesta@epa.gov>
Subject: Good day Enesta,

Howdy

Could you please help with the EPA confirmation on small refinery exemptions for RFS?

Could you please send me the statement?


Thank you very much

Best
Edgar



Edgar Ang
Principal Journalist | OPIS
1401 Enclave Parkway, Ste 200 | Houston, TX 77077

This email message, including accompanying communications and attachments, is strictly confidential, for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain privileged information. Any unauthorized use, review, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.