

CANCER FOLLOWING OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS AND VINYL CHLORIDE

WILLIAM J. NICHOLSON, PhD

A review is presented of the various factors important in the identification of carcinogenic disease from occupational and environmental exposure to asbestos and vinyl chloride. The long lapsed period, usually of 20 or more years from first exposure to these materials, is discussed, as well as the requirement for sufficiently long observation periods in prospective epidemiological studies. The multiple-factor etiology of lung cancer involving cigarette smoking and asbestos exposure is illustrated. The risks of asbestos-related cancers to other than those directly working with the material are discussed in a variety of circumstances, and finally the effectiveness of control procedures for vinyl chloride and asbestos are compared.

Cancer 39:1792-1801, 1977.

NINETEEN SEVENTY-FIVE WAS THE 200TH ANNIVERSARY of the publication by Percival Port of his observations of scrotal cancer among chimney sweeps in Great Britain.¹ This pioneering paper on occupational cancer by an alert surgeon recognized one of the salient features of occupational carcinogenesis, the lapsed period between time from first exposure and the manifestation of symptoms. The chimney sweeps were employed as young children, but the cancers did not appear until after puberty, when they were usually considered venereal in origin and treated accordingly. This aspect of occupationally induced cancer continues to bedevil us 200 years later, as we try to identify sources of disease among working populations. Since the serious effects of exposure to carcinogens do not become clinically manifest for years, often decades, a causal association is not often easily identified between agent and disease.

Approximately 100 materials used or appearing in industrial processes have been identified as carcinogens.² Fortunately, most have limited use and few workers are exposed, but some are used extensively. In this latter category are asbestos and vinyl chloride. A review of the health

effects of these two materials is instructive as they offer similar, but in some respects contrasting, examples of the current difficulties of identifying and controlling carcinogenic materials in the workplace.

Asbestos Health Effects

The modern history of asbestos disease dates from the turn of the century, when two reports were published documenting uncontrolled conditions in asbestos textile factories. One, the testimony of Dr. H. Montague Murray at a compensation hearing, described severe pulmonary fibrosis found at autopsy, in 1900, in the last survivor of a group of ten workers first employed 14 years previously in a carding room.³ At the time of the hearing, in 1906, Dr. Murray offered the optimistic prognosis, "One hears, generally speaking, that considerable trouble is now taken to prevent the inhalation of dust, and so the disease is not so likely to occur as heretofore."⁴ The second was the description by Auribault of deaths during the early years of operation of an asbestos weaving mill established at Conde-sur-Noireau, France, in 1890.⁵ During this period, 50 men died, including 16 of 17 recruited from a cotton textile mill previously owned by the factory director.

Subsequently, cases of pulmonary fibrosis following inhalation of asbestos were published in the medical literature, including one by Cooke, who gave the disease its current name, asbestosis.⁶ A 1929 study of asbestos textile operations by the British Factory Inspectorate revealed the existence and extent of a continuing problem.⁷ In a clinical survey of mill employees, 80% of those employed for 20 years or more had

No. 4 CANCER FOLIO

x-ray evidence of asbestosis. The Factor the introduction of control technology in the fishment of an ongoing gram. Again an option "The outlook is a decade, or thereabout application of preventive apparent in a great fibrosis."

In time, however, associated with asbestosis expand. In 1935, an were published of livers who had died of fibrosis.^{8,9} While sufficient to causally relating cancer, the possibility was confirmed by showed that 13% of asbestosis in Great genic carcinoma.¹⁰ of the lining of the scribed in an asbestosis frequently to have exposure in 1960.¹¹ such exposure in 1 also was found to insulation workers.

In 1975, three first identification society continues, ence, unfortunately. Moreover, the general asbestosis-related those directly handling working near by asbestos material simply live in the situation or in the home.

High Exposure

The full spectrum exposure is best Hammond and a nience of 17,800 Table 1 shows among this group 1967, through 1 individuals who was due to lung inal cancer, and (a tumor so rare may account for in the absence

Presented at the National Cancer Institute Conference on Cancer Epidemiology and the Clinician, Boston, Mass., October 23-25, 1975.

From the Dept. of Community Medicine, Mount Sinai School of Medicine of the City University of New York, New York, NY 10029.

Associate Professor.

Address for reprints: William J. Nicholson, PhD, Dept. of Community Medicine, Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY 10029.

Received for publication January 19, 1977.

Asbestosis

REPORT OF THE SECTION ON NATURE AND PREVALENCE
COMMITTEE ON OCCUPATIONAL DISEASES OF THE CHEST
AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CHEST PHYSICIANS

JOHN MARVILLE CORPORATION
HEALTH, SAFETY & ENVIRONMENTAL DEPT.
LIBRARY

Reprinted from *Diseases of the Chest*
Official Journal of the
American College of Chest Physicians
Volume 45, Number 1, January, 1964



8004 0037

PQ