NAME & LOCATION. R. H. Munch - St. Louis Organic Research - South Second Street

December 5, 1969 cc

D. A. Olson

FUTURE POSTURE - DIELECTRICS

D. K. Olson

4676 RI HCL

TO : W. R. Richard

awilor MS

Don Olson has asked how we should go about reaching our objective of being the world leader in the Aroclor business. Under present conditions this question should probably be changed to: How should we go about achieving maximum profit from dielectric fluids or dielectrics in general? There are two reasons for changing the question. One is the environmental pollution problem. The other is that technological needs in the dielectrics area are changing with ever increasing rapidity. Both are compelling reasons.

Attached is a copy of an outline of our current electrical fluids technical program with the items listed roughly in order of priority. We discussed pertinent parts of this with Paul Benignus, Bill Kuhn, Bob Kountz, Quentin Thompson and Don Olson.

In the dielectrics business area we have reached a situation where it is necessary to have a more and more detailed knowledge of how our fluids will be used by our customers in order to promote sale of our products. This led Don to ask whether our capacitor technical facilities are adequate or whether equipment additions are necessary.

I agree that we must have a more detailed understanding of capacitor technology. To get this, we must have available:

1)	Capacitor winding facilities	\$100,000
2)	Capacitor impregnation facilities	10,000
3)	High voltage Schering bridge to test	
	the resulting capacitors	5,000
4)	Corona inception and extinction	
	measuring equipment	10,000
5)	Accelerated life test equipment	10,000

We have more or less adequate equipment for items 2, 3 and 4. We have had capacitor rolls wound for us by Electric Utilities. This has enabled us to start to get the desired information. We could decide to purchase items 1 and 5. Each of the above five areas present many complex problems which take time and capable, experienced manpower to master. It

is risky to assume that we can master all five of these areas in time to achieve our objective. We certainly cannot do it without adding at least one technical and one non-technical man to our group even if we buy the equipment.

This leads me to propose that we should try to work closely with an established Capacitos meaufacturer to take advantage of his existing equipment and competence in the five areas mentioned. The organization chosen should be:

- 1) Highly competent technically
- 2) Trustworthy and cooperative
- 3) Located close to St. Louis if possible
- 4) One that will foster our business objectives.

It would be ideal if we could work with G. E. on this basis. However, they are dedicated to a "do it yourself" policy. They are succeeding well enough at it that they probably would not be receptive. Two other possibilities would be Electric Utilities and Cornel Dubillier. Both of these would probably be receptive. I recommend that we explore the possibility of this approach to our problem.

We should also have a policy on how we will use any technical advances made through this program. In the past, we have only considered giving such results to our Aroclor customers as a free present to keep them happy. We should consider whether or not there is any more profitably way to use the results of our research. Perhaps we should have a joint manufacturing agreement with the organization we work with on testing our research developments.

We expect Mr. Bill Robinson of Cornel Dubillier to visit us on December 12. We need to clarify our thinking on the points raised in this memo. This is particularly true since Mr. Robinson has expressed a willingness to do testing work up through and including capacitor life tests on materials we recommend.

We will arrange a meeting including you, Paul Benignus, Don Olson and me for early next week to discuss this situation and decide on a recommended course of action.

A.H. Munch