

**To:** Hupp, Sydney[hupp.sydney@epa.gov]  
**From:** Benjamin.Dunham@hkllaw.com  
**Sent:** Fri 3/24/2017 4:11:09 PM  
**Subject:** Attendees for April 6 Meeting with Consumer Product Industry  
President Trump Letter 1.24.2017.pdf  
Scott Pruitt Safer Choice letter 3 13 2017.pdf

Hi Sydney,

It was good talking to you yesterday morning. Thanks for setting 4:00 on April 6 as the time and date for the meeting between Administrator Pruitt and the trade association for the consumer product industry, the Consumer Specialty Products Association (CSPA). We understand that we have a 30-minute window with the Administrator.

You asked for a list of topics. The point of the meeting, from our perspective, will be to introduce Administrator to the industry and its role in the U.S. economy, and to share our position on our top regulatory and legislative priorities. Those are listed in the attached letter to President Trump and, in more detail, the letter to Administrator Pruitt on Safer Choice. We'll be concise on addressing each of those points. We'll likely skip the RCRA issue and just focus on TSCA, Safer Choice, and air.

You also asked for attendees. I would like to propose the list below, which includes the top two CSPA executives and several leading board members. The board members proposed below are top executives at some of the country's largest companies. If you accept this attendee list, I'll get you and RJ detailed bios with pictures well in advance of the meeting.

- [REDACTED] Steve Caldeira, President and CEO, Consumer Specialty Products Association
- [REDACTED] Phil Klein, Executive Vice President, Consumer Specialty Products Association
- [REDACTED] Bill Auriemma, President of Diversified CPC and Chairman of CSPA Board
- [REDACTED] Kelly Semrau, VP, SC Johnson and Sons
- [REDACTED] Jerry Porter, VP, Procter & Gamble
- [REDACTED] David Campbell, VP, RB (maker of Lysol, Mucinex, etc.)
- [REDACTED] Paul Siracusa, VP, Church & Dwight (maker of Arm & Hammer and Trojan products)

- [REDACTED] Greg Johnson, Sherwin Williams
- [REDACTED] Steve Christenson, VP, Ecolab
- [REDACTED] Steve Goldberg, VP, BASF (world's largest chemical manufacturer)
- [REDACTED] Ben Dunham, Holland & Knight

Can we confirm this group?

Thanks again for all your help in setting this up!

**Ben Dunham | Holland & Knight**

Sr Policy Advisor

Holland & Knight LLP

800 17th Street N.W., Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20006

Phone 202.329.2708 | Fax 202.955.5564

[benjamin.dunham@hklaw.com](mailto:benjamin.dunham@hklaw.com) | [www.hklaw.com](http://www.hklaw.com)

---

NOTE: This e-mail is from a law firm, Holland & Knight LLP ("H&K"), and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer and do not copy or disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of H&K, do not construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client unless it contains a specific statement to that effect and do not disclose anything to H&K in reply that you expect it to hold in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client, co-counsel or retained expert of H&K, you should maintain its contents in confidence in order to preserve the attorney-client or work product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality.



Representing Household & Institutional Products

Aerosol - Air Care - Cleaners - Polishes  
Automotive Care - Antimicrobial - Pest Management

January 24, 2017

The President  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

The 250 member companies of the Consumer Specialty Products Association congratulate you on your successful inauguration and express our steadfast commitment to work with you and your administration to vastly improve the nation's economy.

CSPA is the premier trade association representing the interests of companies engaged in the manufacture, formulation, distribution and sale of more than \$100 billion annually in the U.S. of familiar consumer products that help household and institutional customers create cleaner and healthier environments. CSPA member companies employ hundreds of thousands of people globally.

CSPA represents products including disinfectants that kill germs in homes, hospitals and restaurants; air fresheners, room deodorizers, and candles that eliminate odors; pest management products for pets, home, lawn, and garden; cleaning products and polishes for use throughout the home and institutions; products used to protect and improve the performance and appearance of automobiles; aerosol products and a host of other products used every day.

Through our product stewardship program — Product Care® — and the association's retail engagement on chemicals management, transparency and compliance issues, CSPA provides its members a platform for addressing product safety and sustainability.

I have outlined our top priorities for 2017 that involve the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We look forward to working with you, your Administration and the 115<sup>th</sup> Congress on these issues that are of critical importance to our member companies.

### **Toxic Substances Control Act**

The formulated products industry was at the forefront of the successful effort to modernize the law governing the safety of chemicals in commerce – the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). With strong bipartisan support in both chambers of Congress, the law was updated and signed by President Obama in June 2016. The implementation of the new law will be a top priority for our members in 2017.

The new law is intended to enhance consumer confidence and to impose a single, science-based, federal regulatory system, rather than a patchwork of state-by-state laws, which can result in costly and overly burdensome regulations for businesses of all sizes.

**Requested Actions:**

- CSPA respectfully requests that you appoint strong leadership for EPA's Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention. The next EPA Assistant Administrator for the Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention should be very familiar with the new law and understand the interests of all segments of the regulated community, including consumer product companies. Some of the most important rulemakings under the new law must be promulgated within the first few months of the Trump Administration, and the new Assistant Administrator will need to be in a position to make informed decisions quickly so the chemical industry receives the certainty that all industries and businesses need to create jobs and sustain economic growth.
- CSPA requests adequate EPA resources for implementation. While the industry funds a portion of EPA's activities under the new law, appropriated funds cover the majority of the costs. Funding for TSCA implementation should increase significantly beyond the baseline set during the years when the law was essentially dormant. Delays on decisions would not only be costly to the chemical industry, but would also stifle job creation.
- CSPA asks for support from the White House and the EPA Administrator on TSCA. The new EPA Administrator and environmental advisors in the White House should understand that regulation under TSCA is not an impediment to business. In fact, regulation enhances U.S. brands by assuring retailers and consumers that a trusted regulator has evaluated risks from chemicals in a neutral, unbiased, and science-based manner. When science and the new law dictate that restrictions on chemicals are necessary, then EPA should follow through and issue regulations accordingly. Otherwise, some states will step in to fill the gap, creating a patchwork of laws that would be costly and overly burdensome for our member companies to navigate.

**EPA's Safer Choice Program**

EPA's Safer Choice Program is a **voluntary program** with safety and efficacy standards surrounding ingredients and product packaging. Any product that meets these higher standards is awarded the opportunity to use a program specific logo that can be used on the product label and in advertising, creating a market-based incentive for innovation. The Safer Choice logo helps consumers, businesses, and purchasers find products that are safer for human health and the environment while maintaining a high level of performance. With over 500 industry partners and more than 2,000 products, companies have made significant financial and employee investments to qualify for the Safer Choice logo.

**Requested Action:**

- EPA should continue the Safer Choice voluntary program to allow products that meet the standards of the program to carry the logo. Many of our member companies have invested substantial resources to develop and market these products so the potential elimination of the program would negate all of the innovation that resulted from those costly efforts.
- EPA should expand the program to allow antimicrobial products that meet the standards to carry the logo, unless the products are specifically prohibited from using the Safer Choice logo under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). We have member companies that see the market value (through proactive innovation) of being part of the Safer Choice program.

**Reauthorization of the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act**

Under FIFRA, a pesticide cannot be used legally if it has not been registered with EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs. The Pesticide Registration Improvement Act of 2003 (PRIA) governs the EPA approval process for pesticides, including a fee schedule for pesticide registration requests. It lists specific timeframes for EPA to make a regulatory decision on pesticide registration and tolerance actions once submitted to the agency. The goal of PRIA was to create a more predictable and effective evaluation process for affected pesticide decisions and couple the collection of individual fees with specific decision review periods. It also promoted shorter decision review periods for reduced-risk applications. PRIA expires on September 30, 2017.

PRIA has been beneficial for stakeholders by providing predictable timelines for industry, new products for consumers, funds for completion of various registration activities (tolerance reassessment/re-registration), and funds for pesticide safety education for farmworkers.

Reauthorization of PRIA, dubbed PRIA-4, has brought together a coalition that includes CSPA, CropLife America, Responsible Industry for a Sound Environment (RISE), American Chemical Council Biocides Panel, International Sanitary Supply Association (ISSA), Biotechnology Innovation Organization (BIO), Biopesticide Industry Alliance (BPIA), Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), Farmworker Justice and the National Association of State Departments of Agriculture. The coalition's proposal is a consensus document that clarifies the intent of the original bill and continues the fee for service program, with some technical adjustments and increased stable funding. Congress should move quickly to reauthorize the highly successful pesticide registration program and provide certainty for the regulated community.

**Requested Action:**

- CSPA respectfully requests that you support the legislation that will be introduced shortly and must pass by September 2017.

- CSPA asks that you sign the bill when it reaches your desk, thereby providing predictability for companies, which will help them to innovate new products, helping grow the economy, and providing important health tools necessary to protect Americans from insect borne disease.

**Achieve Air Quality Improvements while Maintaining Industry's Ability to Innovate and Expand Economic Growth**

Since the late 1980s, CSPA member companies have spent hundreds of millions of dollars to lower volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in consumer products to help improve air quality while maintaining our industry's ability to supply effective and affordable products that consumers can rely on to benefit their health, safety and quality of life each and every day.

Specifically, CSPA worked cooperatively with EPA in developing the current national consumer products regulation. Moreover, because many consumer products are manufactured for a nationwide or regional marketplace, CSPA has worked cooperatively with the California Air Resources Board to support technologically and commercially feasible regulations that California needed to comply with the federal ozone standards. CSPA is on record supporting regionally consistent regulations in 16 other states to improve air quality without imposing unnecessary impediments to interstate commerce.

However, CSPA member companies have serious concerns about the feasibility of achieving the recently promulgated 2015 national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone within the current timeframe established by EPA. In addition, we are very concerned about the cost burdens that the scheduled implementation of the ozone standards will impose on our country:

- Short-term: restricts businesses from expanding existing facilities or building new facilities in areas of the country that fail to comply with the 2015 ozone standards.
- Long-term: requires states to implement updated regulatory requirements that will force companies to expend significant amounts of money to reformulate their products.

During the 114<sup>th</sup> Congress, CSPA joined with other industry groups supporting commonsense legislation to: (1) provide states with more time and flexibility to implement the 2015 ozone standards by extending regulatory deadlines; (2) reform the regulatory review period for NAAQS; and (3) improve the regulatory process to include consideration of feasibility, cost and additional information.

**Requested Action:**

- It is anticipated that similar legislation will be introduced and passed by the 115<sup>th</sup> Congress. Once Congress acts to pass this necessary legislation, we would respectfully urge you to sign

the bill(s) and enact laws to achieve improvements in air quality while allowing companies to innovate and help the economy grow.

### **Resource Conservation and Recovery Act**

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) provides the national framework for the solid waste program established by Congress and gives EPA the authority to develop the program, regulations, policy, and guidance for the handling of hazardous and non-hazardous solid waste. While non-hazardous solid waste is regulated under the statute, states play an important role in the implementation and may enact more rigorous standards. Hazardous waste, regulated under another section of the statute, is treated differently—it is regulated by the states and if a state fails to have a program, EPA implements the requirements for the state.

EPA recently released the Hazardous Waste Generator Improvements Rule, which contains suggested changes in RCRA regulations and RCRA policy and guidance. EPA has stated its intention to develop a proposed rule that would address aerosol cans as universal waste and, therefore, they would not need to be classified as hazardous waste.

#### **Requested Action:**

- CSPA urges EPA to promptly draft and publish for comment the proposed regulation indicating that aerosol containers be classified as universal waste. CSPA member companies support this action, which would increase the recycling of aerosol containers.

Thank you for your consideration of CSPA member companies' most important public policy issues. We would be truly grateful for the opportunity to brief White House and EPA staff on our positions.

Most respectfully,



Stephen J. Caldeira  
President & CEO

cc: Catherine McCabe, Acting Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

March 13, 2017

The Honorable Scott Pruitt  
EPA Administrator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20460

Dear Administrator Pruitt:

We, the undersigned companies and trade associations are writing to you to convey our united support for the U.S. EPA Safer Choice program. The Safer Choice program has been and continues to be an invaluable resource to industry by working with individual companies to help them develop and promote new and innovative products that meet the rising demand by consumers, businesses, schools, and hospitals for products and goods that possess an excellent environmental, health and safety profile.

As you may know, the Safer Choice Program is a voluntary program with safety and efficacy standards surrounding ingredients and product packaging. Any product that meets these higher standards is awarded the opportunity to use a program specific logo. This logo can be used on the product label and in advertising, creating an incentive for ongoing innovation.

The Safer Choice program helps consumers, businesses, and procurement officers/purchasers to identify products that go beyond regular safety standards by using chemical ingredients that have more favorable attributes, including reduced environmental and health hazards, in their functional class, and that also maintain a high level of performance.

Another advantage of the program is that for many businesses, a national program such as Safer Choice is preferable to a patchwork quilt of logo programs being promulgated and managed by retailers and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), or local governments. Imagine trying to formulate products to meet the criteria of dozens of different programs; net-net, this is extremely costly and burdensome for businesses.

A third very important advantage of the Safer Choice program is that it strikes a balance between protecting confidential business information and public disclosure. Industry must be confident that the trade secrets that make its products innovative, safe and effective are not undercut by poor quality counterfeit products that do not effectively do the job, that can cost companies their reputation and significant financial resources, and most likely will lead to lost jobs.

Finally, as states pass procurement laws requiring third-party certification of the products they purchase, some private certification programs have increased their fees substantially.

The Honorable Scott Pruitt  
March 13, 2017  
Page 2

Most of the 500 industry partners that have over 2,000 products in the Safer Choice program are small and medium-sized companies that would be priced out of a private program, leaving consumers with fewer choices.

The companies below all are strong supporters of the EPA Safer Choice program. They either have participated in the rigorous application process and have received approval to place the logo on their product labels, produce/sell ingredients on the Safer Choice Program's Safer Chemical Ingredient List (SCIL), or are retailers that see the value of the logo as part of their sustainability program.

The breadth of the industries represented by the signatories to this letter clearly highlights the widespread industry belief in the value and benefits associated with displaying the Safer Choice logo on a product label.

For more information on the program, please contact Phil Klein [pklein@cspa.org](mailto:pklein@cspa.org) or Beth Law [blaw@cspa.org](mailto:blaw@cspa.org) at the Consumer Specialty Products Association, Bryan McGannon [bmcgannon@asbcouncil.org](mailto:bmcgannon@asbcouncil.org) at the American Sustainable Business Council, Bill Balek [bill@issa.com](mailto:bill@issa.com) at ISSA, or Erick Lutt [elutt@bio.org](mailto:elutt@bio.org) at BIO.

Sincerely,

Consumer Specialty Products Association  
American Sustainable Business Council  
ISSA  
BIO  
1908 Brands Inc.  
ABC Compounding Co., Inc.  
Aequor, Inc.  
Advanced Vapor Technologies LLC  
Albertsons Companies  
Alex C. Fergusson LLC  
Alpha Chemical Services, Inc.  
American Cleaning Solutions  
Amicus Green Building Center, LLC  
Amway  
Anellotech Inc.  
Arlington Inv. LLC  
Arrow Chemical Products, Inc.  
The Ashkin Group LLC  
Atlantic Chemical & Equipment Co.  
Auto-Chlor System  
Barrett International Technology, Inc.  
BASF

Beautycounter  
Berkley Green  
BioEconomy Partners  
BioRemedy  
Biosynthetic Technologies  
BISSELL Home Care, Inc.  
Brendshann Construction Co Inc  
Bridgewater, Inc.  
California Association for Micro Enterprise Opportunity (CAMEO)  
Cargill  
The Carpe Diem Voice  
Case Medical, Inc.  
Chase Products Co.  
ChemFree Corporation  
Child's Play  
Chosen Futures  
Church & Dwight  
Clean Control Corp.  
Clean Yield Asset Mgmt.  
The Clorox Company  
Communitas Financial Planning  
Compass Minerals  
Continuum Industries, Inc  
Corinne Young LLC  
Cot'n Wash, Inc. (Dropps)  
Country Save Products Corp.  
CRC Industries, Inc.  
Current-C Energy Systems, Inc.  
Custom Compounders Inc.  
DeltaGreen Products, Inc.  
Diamond Chemical Co., Inc.  
Digital Deployment  
Distance Learning Consulting  
Diversey, Inc.  
Dolphin Blue  
The Dow Chemical Company  
DSM  
DuBois Chemicals  
Earth Friendly Products  
Ecco Bella  
Ecolab  
EcoPlum  
Eighty2degrees LLC

Elco Division, Chicago Aerosol  
Elevance  
Equinox Consulting LLC  
Ethical Markets Media  
Financial Alternatives  
Floral Soil LLC  
Florida Chemical  
FMCG Services, Ltd.  
Genesis Biosciences  
GOJO Industries Inc.  
Green Biologics Inc.  
Green Map System  
Green Products Co.  
GreenStar Hub  
Grounds for Change  
Hallelujah!  
HarborWest Design  
Harper & Hopkins, LLC Eco Sheep  
Harvard Chemical Research  
Headwater LLC  
Healthy Building Network  
Henkel North America  
Herban Lifestyle  
Holloway House, Inc.  
Home Green Home  
The Honest Company  
Honeywell  
InNative  
InStep Consulting LLC  
Intex Solutions, Inc.  
Itaconix Corporation  
Jelmar  
JOSELI LLC  
JSA Financial Group  
Just in Time Direction  
JustLaws  
Kinpak Inc.  
Kor-Chem  
K.A. Abdullah & Assoc.  
Kahl Consultants  
Krud Kutter Inc., A Rust-Oleum Company  
LanzaTech  
Lawrence R. Jensen & Associates

Levi Strauss & Co.  
Local First Arizona  
Maintex Facility Solutions  
Management Resources  
Mason Chemical - A Pilot Chemical Company  
Matrix Genetics  
McColl Studio Inc  
Mirador Kitchen & Home  
Misco Products Corporation  
Modular Genetics  
MOMAR, INCORPORATED  
Mr. Rooter LLC  
Naturepedic  
NatureWorks LLC  
Network for Business Innovation and Sustainability  
Nice-Pak  
Northwest Green Chemistry  
Novozymes  
Nyco Products Company  
OALTD, LLC  
On Belay Business Advisors/ReThink Local  
Osprey Biotechnics  
Owens Business & Consulting, Llc  
Oxiten USA  
PDQ Manufacturing, Inc.  
Personal Beast Inc.  
Phytonix Corporation  
PRIDE Industries, Inc.  
The Procter & Gamble Company  
Prosoco  
RB  
Rennovia Inc.  
Rivertop Renewables  
Roots Realty  
RPM Wood Finishes Group  
Santec  
Scientific & Regulatory Consultants, Inc.  
Sealed Air  
Seventh Generation, Inc.  
Sky Systems Co., Inc.  
Solutex, Inc.  
Spartan Chemical Company, Inc.  
Spectrum Scientific Inc.

The Honorable Scott Pruitt  
March 13, 2017  
Page 6

Sprout Consulting  
Staach Inc  
Staples  
Starbrite Inc.  
State Industrial Products  
Stepan Company  
Stearns Packaging Corporation  
SUCCESS group  
Sunburst Chemicals  
Sunshine Makers, Inc.  
Sustainable Arizona  
Sustainable Furnishings Council  
Target  
Tech Networks of Boston  
Tersus Solutions  
Tierra Vista  
Touchstone Enviro Solutions, Inc.  
Triple Ethos  
Triple S  
Tri-Plex Technical Services, Ltd.  
Unfold  
Value Products, Inc.  
Virox Technologies Inc.  
WM Barr & Company, Inc.  
Walmart  
WAXIE Sanitary Supply  
WD-40 Company  
Wegmans  
The Wei  
Weil Aquatronics, Inc.  
Wexford Labs  
Wiltse Kitchen  
Zep Inc.

cc:

Wendy Cleland-Hamnett, Acting Assistant Administrator, OCSP  
Jeff Morris, Director, OPPT  
Clive Davies, Chief, Safer Choice Branch

**To:** Hupp, Sydney[hupp.sydney@epa.gov]  
**From:** Allan, Kristin  
**Sent:** Tue 4/18/2017 4:46:23 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

Hi, Sydney! 2:30-4:00 pm Central/3:30-5:00 pm Eastern works for Nebraska and Iowa; I'm waiting to hear from South Dakota. I hope to have an answer soon.

In the meantime, I think we can release the hold on 2:30-3:30 pm Eastern.

Thank you!

## **Kristin Allan**

*Scheduler*

### **Office of Governor Pete Ricketts**

OFFICE 402-471-1969

[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)

[governor.nebraska.gov](http://governor.nebraska.gov) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

**From:** Hupp, Sydney [mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2017 8:28 AM  
**To:** Allan, Kristin <kristin.allan@nebraska.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

Hey Kristin, needing to schedule an additional meeting in that 2:30-5:00PM time slot. Do we have an idea of what time in there we are looking at?

Thanks!

Sydney Hupp

Office of the Administrator- Scheduling

202.816.1659

**From:** Hupp, Sydney  
**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2017 2:21 PM  
**To:** 'Allan, Kristin' <[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

I'll block the whole time slot!

Thanks!

Sydney Hupp

Office of the Administrator- Scheduling

202.816.1659

**From:** Allan, Kristin [<mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2017 12:55 PM  
**To:** Hupp, Sydney <[hupp.sydney@epa.gov](mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

Sydney: how about the afternoon of 4/28? Maybe 30 minutes between 2:30-5:00 pm ET?

I still need to check this date with the other governors' offices.

Thank you,

Kristin

**From:** Hupp, Sydney [<mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2017 8:13 AM  
**To:** Allan, Kristin <[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)>  
**Cc:** Lundgren, Danielle <[danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov](mailto:danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov)>; Miltenberger, Matt <[matt.miltenberger@nebraska.gov](mailto:matt.miltenberger@nebraska.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

The week of the 24<sup>th</sup> might be our best upcoming time. We have our best flexibility on the 28<sup>th</sup> but there are a handful of time slots that week.

Thanks!

Sydney Hupp

Office of the Administrator- Scheduling

202.816.1659

**From:** Allan, Kristin [<mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2017 8:51 AM  
**To:** Hupp, Sydney <[hupp.sydney@epa.gov](mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Lundgren, Danielle <[danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov](mailto:danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov)>; Miltenberger, Matt <[matt.miltenberger@nebraska.gov](mailto:matt.miltenberger@nebraska.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

Sydney: thank you for the update. We certainly understand.

I'll work with the other governors' offices to identify some good options on our end.

Could you suggest a few dates that work well for the Administrator/dates that are best to avoid? If it's easier to compare calendars over the phone, please feel free to give me a call at 402-471-1969.

Thank you, again!

**Kristin Allan**

*Scheduler*

**Office of Governor Pete Ricketts**

OFFICE 402-471-1969

[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)

[governor.nebraska.gov](http://governor.nebraska.gov) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

**From:** Hupp, Sydney [<mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov>]

**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2017 7:00 AM

**To:** Allan, Kristin <[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)>

**Cc:** Lundgren, Danielle <[danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov](mailto:danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov)>; Miltenberger, Matt <[matt.miltenberger@nebraska.gov](mailto:matt.miltenberger@nebraska.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

Hi Kristin,

I'm sorry for the delay in getting back with you. I have been trying to make this work on our end but I think that due to travel we just won't be able to. I'm so so sorry. Is it possible for us to begin looking at other dates?

Thanks!

Sydney Hupp

Office of the Administrator- Scheduling

202.816.1659

**From:** Allan, Kristin [<mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 16, 2017 11:06 AM  
**To:** Hupp, Sydney <[hupp.sydney@epa.gov](mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Lundgren, Danielle <[danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov](mailto:danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov)>; Miltenberger, Matt <[matt.miltenberger@nebraska.gov](mailto:matt.miltenberger@nebraska.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

Good morning, Sydney.

Just wanted to touch base - do you know if a conference call tomorrow might be a possibility?  
Thank you!

Regards,

Kristin

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 13, 2017, at 3:54 PM, Allan, Kristin <[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)> wrote:

Hi, Sydney! Any update on the possibility of a Monday call?  
I'll be out of the office tomorrow, so I'm copying my back-up Danielle Lundgren. Can you please add her on any emails regarding the call?

Thank you!

**Kristin Allan**

*Scheduler*

**Office of Governor Pete Ricketts**

OFFICE 402-471-1969

[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)

[governor.nebraska.gov](http://governor.nebraska.gov) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

**From:** Allan, Kristin  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 12, 2017 1:12 PM  
**To:** 'Hupp, Sydney' <[hupp.sydney@epa.gov](mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Lundgren, Danielle <[danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov](mailto:danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

Good afternoon, Sydney.

Thank you for your email and for helping to schedule to this call. Yes, that sounds great.

FYI - On Friday (4/14), I will be out of the office and checking email from time-to-time. If you need immediate assistance on Friday, please contact my back-up, Danielle Lundgren (copied), at 402-471-1960 or at [danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov](mailto:danielle.lundgren@nebraska.gov).

Thank you, again.

**Kristin Allan**

*Scheduler*

**Office of Governor Pete Ricketts**

OFFICE 402-471-1969

[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)

[governor.nebraska.gov](http://governor.nebraska.gov) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

**From:** Hupp, Sydney [<mailto:hupp.sydney@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 12, 2017 1:05 PM

**To:** Allan, Kristin <[kristin.allan@nebraska.gov](mailto:kristin.allan@nebraska.gov)>  
**Subject:** Gov Call with Administrator Pruitt

Hi Kristin,

Hope you're well! Touching base on the Gov call proposed on April 17<sup>th</sup> at 1PM CST.  
The Administrator is set to be traveling that day but I am trying to work out logistically finding a way for him to call in and participate on the road. Is it okay if I reach back to you on this tomorrow afternoon?

Thank you!

Sydney Hupp

Office of the Administrator- Scheduling

202.816.1659