

06184251

4/30/76 - present - Frederick County, Md. CDP 9013670

4/30/76 - present - Frederick County, Md. CDP 9013670

4/30/76 - present - Frederick County, Md. CDP 9013670

0001954 - June 1956 - Frederick U.S.

Heaford, Resident - Frederick County, Md.

Oct 1954 W.R.G. acquired W.R.G. Chem. Co.

15174322


GRACE

Construction Products Division

661825

TO: E. S. Wood  
O. H. FAVORITO  
R. H. Locker  
DATE: June 22, 1978  
SUBJECT: Dr. Smith's Comments  
on Proposed OSHA Rule  
FROM: H. C. Duecker

Dr. Smith sent to me yesterday a copy of his comments to OSHA under "Identification, Classification and Regulation of Toxic Substances Posing a Potential Occupational Carcinogenic Risk" outlined in Federal Register of October 4, 1977. A copy is attached for your edification.

  
H. C. Duecker

HCD:mlr

attachment

JUN 23 1978

15174323

GRACE

Construction Products Division

May 4, 1976

06184331

TO: E. A. Brown  
J. W. Wolter  
H. C. Duecker  
H. A. Eichenbach  
W. F. McCord  
E. K. Williams  
W. R. Hanson

SUBJ: Comments on OSHA Proposed 0.5 Fiber Standard

cc: O. M. Favorito (w/o attachment)

Attached are the 3 lists of comments on file in Washington. The first (index #1-53) is of those who filed written comments at the original December deadline. The second (index #54-97) is of those who filed written comments at the February extended deadline. The third (index #98-185) is of those who filed written comments at the April extended deadline.

Some parties filed comments on all three deadlines (such as W. R. Grace & Co.). Others filed comments only on one or two deadlines (such as Johns-Manville Corp., filing in December and April, but not in February).

We now have copies of the comments from Homestake Mining Company, Cypress Industrial Minerals, the Asbestos Information Association, the American Mining Congress, and the ASTM. I intend to also get comment copies from Vanderbilt Co. and Johns-Manville.

If there are any comments you (based on process, products, etc.) feel might contain information helpful for us, would you please tell me which ones by Friday, May 7, and I'll request them with mine.

*R. H. Locks*  
R. H. Locks

RHL:cj

attachment

MAY 5 1976

15174324

CS184340

MEMORANDUM

April 26, 1976

TO: R. E. Locke

cc: R. M. Vining  
H. A. Eschenbach  
H. A. Brown  
H. C. Dieckerhoff  
J. W. Wolter

RE: Proposed Revision to Asbestos Standard

Enclosed for your information is a listing of those comments filed to meet the April 9, 1976 deadline for comments on the proposed revision to the asbestos standard. These comments are numbered sequentially to comments filed previous to such date. I believe I gave you a listing of the comments which have been previously filed. If you don't have such, please let me know and I will provide the same to you. Also attached are copies of comments filed by Homestake Mining Company and Cypress Industrial Minerals Company.

My information is that the Government's Inflationary Impact Statement in respect of the proposed revision to the OSHA standard will not be ready for at least three months. Therefore, no tentative date has been set for a hearing. Optimistically, a target date for a hearing would be late summer, 1976.

  
O. M. Favorito

OMF:scl

Attachments

15174325

CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS DIVISION

TO: Mr. FAVORITO

Mr. Brown

Mr. Walter

DATE:

January 27, 1976

SUBJECT:

Reserve Mining

06184392

FROM:

H. C. Duecker

The attached article appeared in the January 14, 1976 issue of The Chronicle of Two Harbors, Minnesota, under the heading "Reserve Comments on Homestake Study".

You will see that Dr. Wright's comments on the NIOSH study at Homestake are somewhat similar to the points we already made. It appears that the final paper may have already been accepted for publication by the New York Academy of Science. I will see if we can get a preprint of the article.

The second article is on the dismissal of Judge Lord and makes for interesting reading as well.

  
H. C. Duecker

HCD:mlr

attachment

JAN 28 1976

15174326

06134333

From the desk of  
HENRY A. SCHERBACH

To: OMF

The ASTM is  
going to suggest this  
or something similar  
to OSHA. Do you  
have any input?

*MS*

15174327