

TO: George Williams-Blane

TGG: JCL: ERT: MJH: AJO: RF

XF: _____

FROM: T. G. Grumbles

DATE: March 13, 1991

SUBJ: RESPONSIBLE CARE CAER SELF-EVALUATION RESULTS

Interoffice
Communication

VISTA

The results for this year have been reviewed and compiled. On several items listed below you rated the plant at Stage I. I have moved you to a Stage II based on the following. As noted in my request of 12/26/90, last year's evaluation had four stages of implementation, this year's has six. This was done to achieve consistency with other code self-evaluations. Stage I from last year was equivalent to Stage II this year. To be consistent with last year's scoring we should score no less than last year's evaluation. In other words, no slant should be at a Stage I. CMA has also requested this method of scoring for this evaluation.

Elements Moved From I to II

Community Awareness	4, 7, 9
Emergency Response	7, 8, 9



T. G. Grumbles

dlj

VVV 000006105

Interoffice
Communication

TO: Matt Tonkovich-Hammond

FROM: T. G. Grumbles

DATE: March 13, 1991

SUBJ: RESPONSIBLE CARE CAER SELF-EVALUATION RESULTS

VISTA

The results for this year have been reviewed and compiled. On several items listed below you rated the plant at Stage I. I have moved you to a Stage II based on the following. As noted in my request of 12/26/90, last year's evaluation had four stages of implementation, this year's has six. This was done to achieve consistency with other code self-evaluations. Stage I from last year was equivalent to Stage II this year. To be consistent with last year's scoring we should score no less than last year's evaluation. In other words, no slant should be at a Stage I. CMA has also requested this method of scoring for this evaluation.

Elements Moved From I to II

Community Awareness	6, 7, 9
Emergency Response	9


T. G. Grumbles

dlj

cc: J. Pavao-Hammond

VVV 000006106

Interoffice
Communication

TO: K. L. Fogg-LCCP

FROM: T. G. Grumbles

DATE: March 13, 1991


SUBJ: RESPONSIBLE CARE CAER SELF-EVALUATION RESULTS

VISTA

The results for this year have been reviewed and compiled. On several items listed below you rated the plant at Stage I. I have moved you to a Stage II based on the following. As noted in my request of 12/26/90, last year's evaluation had four stages of implementation, this year's has six. This was done to achieve consistency with other code self-evaluations. Stage I from last year was equivalent to Stage II this year. To be consistent with last year's scoring we should score no less than last year's evaluation. In other words, no slant should be at a Stage I. CMA has also requested this method of scoring for this evaluation.

Elements Moved From I to II

Community Awareness	9
Emergency Response	4, 6



T. G. Grumbles

dlj

cc: J. Friend-LCCP

VVV 000006107

Interoffice
Communication

TO: George Shirley-LCVCM

FROM: T. G. Grumbles

DATE: March 13, 1991

SUBJ: RESPONSIBLE CARE CAER SELF-EVALUATION RESULTS

VISTA

The results for this year have been reviewed and compiled. On several items listed below you rated the plant at Stage I. I have moved you to a Stage II based on the following. As noted in my request of 12/26/90, last year's evaluation had four stages of implementation, this year's has six. This was done to achieve consistency with other code self-evaluations. Stage I from last year was equivalent to Stage II this year. To be consistent with last year's scoring we should score no less than last year's evaluation. In other words, no slant should be at a Stage I. CMA has also requested this method of scoring for this evaluation.

Elements Moved From I to II

Community Awareness
Emergency Response 2



T. G. Grumbles

dlj

cc: R. Conrad-LCVCM

VVV 000006108