

CAP - DSC

4-1-1973
Rucker

73
FILE

E. A. Schuman, 4 South

June 22, 1973

D. B. Wingertar, 4 South

hmc

See Below

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT
GF-884

OSHA REQUIREMENTS, J-M PRODUCT COMPLIANCE
YOURS TO H. L. RUCKER, JUNE 14

I have a copy of your subject letter. I have reviewed this with H. L. Rucker, and it is our opinion that much of the testing suggested in your letter would be unnecessary.

You have requested that five (5) basic product requirements under your responsibility be "tested for compliance to OSHA requirements when they are normally used in my customer's plants".

When this was reviewed last year regarding the need for labeling, a Corporate policy was established for the criteria. This is covered in W. B. Reitze's letter to me dated July 12, 1972, which states in part that any product "capable of producing Asbestos Fiber levels in excess of published limits (OSHA) when they are cut, sawed, drilled, fitted, ground, machined, or otherwise handled in normal usage by our customers".

There is no question in our minds that any fabrications of Asbestos Paper, Roll Board, Millboard, and probably Asbestos Felt will result in levels far above OSHA requirements. This certainly would cover cutting, sawing, band sawing, slitting, drilling, and probable die cutting and punching of gaskets.

It is my suggestion you further review your request to H. L. Rucker to minimize Research testing where it is obvious we must warn our customers to take precaution to meet OSHA requirements during processing of the above mentioned products, Research testing should be foregone.

cc:

W. H. Bartlett, 4 South

H. L. Rucker, R & D

E. M. Fenner, 4 North

W. B. Reitze, 1 South

BLATT & FALES
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1611 ALLEN STREET
BARNWELL, SOUTH CAROLINA 29812

SOLOMON BLATT
IRA FALES 1934-1965
WALTER REDINGFIELD
WILES LONDMOLT
J. TERRY POOLE
RONALD L. MOTLEY
TERRY E. RICHARDSON JR.
THOMAS W. WEEKS

JOSEPH F. DICE
THOMAS H. HART, II
CHARLES W. PATRICK JR.

TELEPHONE
803/250-3525
P. O. BOX 365

June 16, 1982

Edward Tananhaus, Esquire
140 West 51st Street
New York, New York 10020

RE: GAF

Dear Ed:

With regard to asbestos paper, you have taken the position that it was not hazardous and thus, the settlements offered by GAF were much less. However, I thought you would be interested in this June 22, 1973 memo of Johns-Manville indicating asbestos paper will result in levels far above OSHA requirements. I would appreciate your re-evaluating your position as we believe GAF asbestos paper is likewise hazardous and should justify the normal settlement.

Sincerely,

Terry E. Richardson, Jr., P. A.

TER/dsc

Enclosure