FILE NAME: Mead (MEAD)

DATE: 2011 Mar 8

DOC#: MEAD065

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Deposition Excerpt

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHARLES RICHARD ARCHER, et al., Plaintiffs, v. MEAD CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	)	CONSOLIDATED UNDER MDL-875 CAUSE NO: 2:09-cv-70093-ER
ALFORD McGUFFIE, et al., Plaintiffs, v. MEAD CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	)	CONSOLIDATED UNDER MDL-875 CAUSE NO: 2:09-cv-70095-ER
REBEKAH RIGGS, et al., Plaintiffs, v. MEAD CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	)	CONSOLIDATED UNDER MDL-875 CAUSE NO: 2:09-cv-70094-ER

DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION
OF ANTHONY OLIVER
TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
Richmond, Virginia
March 8, 2011

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 18

anyone. If the question -- if he does not understand the question, he's more than welcome to tell me. I told him that at the beginning.

MR. SMYTH: Your earlier testimony --

MR. ERNSTER: I'm not testifying.

MR. SMYTH: Your earlier questions of this witness on the testimony established that Woodward was merged into Mead, so in 1974 when Mead has entered into an agreement with ASARCO, Mead is Woodward at that point, and that's my only clarification on that, Cletus.

MR. ERNSTER: Okay.

BY MR. ERNSTER:

Q. But whether you're talking about the agreements, that's all you've got to go on, right?

A. I have the documents. That is correct.

Q. Right. And so what we know from that one agreement where Mead sells its stock to ASARCO is that whatever Mead had that is related to CAPCO was left behind with ASARCO, right?

A. According to the document, that is correct.

Q. All right. That's not the same thing as whatever Woodward had or Mead had at Woodward facilities regarding CAPCO, correct?

A. Woodward was Mead.