

To: lannarone, Lauren B SCC-CSEA <Lauren.lannarone@shell.com>  
From: Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC  
</O=SHELL/OU=MSXSCC/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=PH197432>  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Received Date: 2004-09-09 22:07:56 GMT  
Subject: FW: Shell Meeting with Shanghai Mayor

---

Lauren, Rich Irons response to my request for the message that needs to be delivered to the Shanghai mayor. Please feel free to forward to Nick and Henry and whoever else needs to know.

Regards,  
Patsy

Patsy Clegg  
Product Steward  
Shell Chemical LP  
One Shell Plaza, 910 Louisiana, Houston, TX 77002-4916

Tel: +1 713 241 2521 Fax: 3325  
Email: patsy.clegg@shell.com  
Internet: <http://www.shell.com/chemicals>

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard.Irons@UCHSC.edu [mailto:Richard.Irons@UCHSC.edu]  
Sent: Thursday, September 09, 2004 4:32 PM  
To: Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC  
Subject: RE: Shell Meeting with Shanghai Mayor

Patsy,

We (We are identified in Shanghai as JCML Joint-clinical and molecular laboratory of Fudan University and Univ of Colorado) have a collaborative agreement (contract between Univ of Colorado and the Institute of Public Health Supervision of Shanghai (part of the Bureau of Public Health of Shanghai) to 1) provide us with access to data and expertise on exposure assessment as part of our hospital based study of leukemia and lymphoma in Shanghai, and 2) to partner with us in evaluating the health of workers potentially exposed to benzene in factories in Shanghai.

We have two issues that we could use help with.

1. To ask the IPHS to "encourage" "district IPHS" help and participation in our projects.

The background is that our contract with IPHS was front-loaded (i.e. we paid them the majority of the funds we agreed to pay them up front during the first two years so that they could organize and pay for some 20 district offices to work with us in exposure assessment activities.) In fact, whatever the history of their internal budgeting structure is, no money filtered to the district offices, so we have not gotten much if any help or manpower out of them to date.

2. To lend the support and influence of the Public Health Bureau and the Mayor's office to getting us factory cooperation and access for the ME study (item 2).

The background is that, although the Mayor's office or the public health bureau are likely to pay lipservice to the notion that they cannot "coerce" factories to participate or cooperate in our project- they could solve our political problems with a wave of the hand. The IPHS does not have the political will or authority to make these things happen but the Mayor's office, and to a lesser extent the Public Health Bureau.