

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

WILLIAM R. GAFFEY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PETER MONTAGUE, et al.,

Defendants.

Cause No. 91-1938-C-7

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST
FOR ADMISSIONS

Come now defendants, and for their response to plaintiff's request for admissions, state as follows:

1. Admitted.
2. Admitted.
3. Admitted.
4. Denied.
5. Denied.
6. Denied.
7. Denied.
8. Denied.

9. OBJECTION: This is vague as to what is meant by "performed or were involved in" or "type of study."

10. Denied.

11. Defendants cannot admit or deny, as this asks defendants to speculate as to what is in the minds of readers.

12. Denied.

13. Denied.

EVANS & DIXON

BY: Kathi Chestnut
John A. Michener #22509
Kathi L. Chestnut #33358
Co-Counsel for Defendants
1200 Saint Louis Place
200 North Broadway
St. Louis, MO 63102
621-7755

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copy of the foregoing mailed this 8 day of ^{November}~~October~~,
1991 to Richard A. Wunderlich, Daniel D. Zegura, Attorneys for
Plaintiff, 8182 Maryland Avenue, Suite 400, Clayton, MO 63105;
and Gerald R. Orthals, Attorney for Defendants, 1800 Equitable
Building, 10 South Broadway, St. Louis, MO 63102.

Kathi Chestnut