

from a page-by-page review of the existing voluminous business records and documents of Abex.

Abex further objects to this request to the extent to which it purports to seek information that has been gathered, received or prepared in the course of the asbestos litigation, or which is otherwise subject to the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the rule protecting materials prepared in anticipation of and/or in connection with litigation, or any other applicable privilege.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, and insofar as Abex understands this request, see objections and response to Request For Production No. 1, above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

For each product listed in response to Interrogatory No. 5, please produce a copy of all tests that were conducted to determine any potential health hazards involved in its use or exposure (this Request for Production relates to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory No. 18 previously propounded to Defendant in this litigation).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

See General Objections. Abex further objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague, ambiguous and speculative.

Objection is made to this request on the ground that the terms "tests," "potential health hazards," "use" and "its exposure" are undefined or insufficiently defined, and call for speculation.

Abex further objects to this request on the ground that it purports to shift the burden of establishing causation from plaintiffs to the defendant.

Abex further objects to this request to the extent to which it seeks information regarding time periods and products that are not at issue in this case on the ground that such information lacks relevance, and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.