

Message

---

**From:** Bennett, Tate [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=1FA92542F7CA4D01973B18B2F11B9141-BENNETT, EL]  
**Sent:** 8/14/2018 2:18:58 AM  
**To:** Paul Schlegel [pauls@fb.org]  
**Subject:** Re: Draft language

Sorry- we are working a redline to show you where language along these lines would fit in. Might be easier that way. Standby.

> On Aug 13, 2018, at 8:49 PM, Paul Schlegel <pauls@fb.org> wrote:

>  
> Do you have a context for this?

>  
> Sent from my iPhone

>  
>> On Aug 13, 2018, at 9:48 PM, Bennett, Tate <Bennett.Tate@epa.gov> wrote:

>>  
>> However, EPA could take advantage of existing enforcement authority (albeit not EPA authority) which could provide a credible deterrent against disclosure by designated representatives. EPA could add to 170.311(b)(9) a requirement that the designated representative must give the agricultural employer a signed statement that he/she will disclose the information only to the worker/handler, that statement would then be required by EPA regulations and therefore a false statement would be criminally enforceable by US attorneys under 28 USC 1001.