(1)

10/10 EIA meeting - PCB
- Status of Lawyers Research group vs. EPA - ?? ABP - Recent hearing in court of appeals
- Steve Richel (DE) Atty
Dick Rollins (Gerard)

Wayne Peterson - Ch.
Meeting
Dec 27, 73 meeting
Jan 74 Hearings
Sept 23 74 meeting of NC
- EIA - What Role should it play in presenting facts
- Don Wilson - Everson Conv of EIA -
- App in Jan 74 hearing
- & Submitted Statement -
- Status - from convers. on 10/7 w/ EPA (works for Thompson)
- w/ James McDermott - Toxic Substances
- Hearing officer excluded / Quarrels agreed
- EPA feels it must start all over
- 1016 - f xls documentation presently inadeq.

MONS 060369

- Now expected
(1) (do. notice) ANPR - issued w/ in 120 days
(2) Various Symposia
(3) w/ in 180 days new prop. standards
(4) Hearings before final standards
(5) PCB in intake water - ?
- not changes in PCB would what is dealt with

(2)

Bad WBP ☆
Extensive list

Avg 11 Fed Reg { Hazardous Spills

5 M - varies
Bettel - Noncont disch

- instances of large "spike" discharge

→ Proposed § 311 - may permit this - doesn't they feel is inadequate -
- feel 1016 is more biodeg. & less chronic toxic - but more acutely so may decrease (280/micrograms/liter - to 100 micrograms/liter)

- Having difficulty in reviewing record - may later request a format.
- Need max help,
  - present concent. in discharge
  - weight.
  - feel discharges may be bound up in muds -
  - may permit increased discharge -
  -

— feels at least one more year before final regs issue

WBP § 311
- 307 admendments - OMB approved all but "informal" proceeding -
  - GE feels Cong should -
  - GE feels EPA (from Comm) may move forward on own -
- 307 may not be needed & some in EPA may not
  - 304 - Point opponents
  - 311 - etc.

MONS 060370

(3)

□ WBP: Series of proposed amend. to go to Bt. Hill comm. for submission before Cong.
- feels they are trying to relax Rec 27 standards - ~~give~~ but need indus. data - to support it -

Comm: - what additional data - can EIA generate -

WBP
1016 is more acutely toxic to certain species -

- Bill Richard - described papers from MC -
- movement of PCB from soil -
- work on biodegradation in activated sludge.
- Pond experiments -
  - 1254 - 50,000
  1242/1016 - 2000
  not ready to publish - maybe ready for testimony
- new European study - broader test on biomagnification

* [GE - pick species for test that highest?]

MONS 060371

- Partition from sediment

GE - Sedimentation - may come back to haunt us - feels it may become
- Seattle - Westinghouse transformer
- Region II - EPA - [illegible] vs Exxon oil spill - seeking to have oil contam. [illegible] cleaned up -

800,000 Dist - moved

(4)

— Bill R. feels maybe an analytical tech - to differentiate between 1242 & 1016 -

— Need to indentify rainfall - quantities

★ - in streams it is on silt -

GE — health of employees -
— needs data -

OSHA -
NY. Health people -
- ventilate
- not up stack

— water effluent - what about air -
- is EPA thinking about air
- Some feel in air fractionating occurs
- no one has data - incl. data -
(in capacitor mfg. - in creating vacuum - residuals are probably going to atmosphere)

Somebody has Air Data
— 1/2 to 2 lbs a day
2 ppm -

MONS 060372

(?) Plant Background through sediment w/ PCB entering sewer -

GE → { Exclusion of residuals in regs
{ we must be able to distinguish -

WBP - .01 mlg./ltr - ambient level -

★ Bill R - feels unfair to base it on flow past plant

U.S. Government - not been told of our carbon / urethane study -
Calgon testified

?
"LFD" - feels they have process

WBP
★ NRDC - an appeal heard Sept 83:

1) Don't consider economic - Judge app. agreed here
2) List more chemicals - took under advisement

MONS 060373

How organize –

EIA Subcom – Camp. Mfg – headed by ~~Don (?)~~ Cliff –

GE:
(§ 307(a)(6)) → Make Cong. + Senators know problem
→ When prop comes out gives tech + legal rev.
→ + then decide what to do –

★ → leary of the symposia –

→ tend to want to find an explary plant –

(Law firm (Covington + Burling) has record of hearing)

Submit data to us – let us Submit to EPA –

Have MC – propose an approach to testing

MC
★ ? Would MC take a uniform set of samples –
Consider – Stewarts paper write up – ⇄
+ distribute
– joint MC / customer efforts –

MONS 060374

(1)

→ Scope magnitudes of PCB effluent ~~&~~ each day –

① Congress – inform
② Prepare data – for anticipated effluent to be assembled by EIA – perhaps tues.

③ Analyze ~~new~~ proposal – decide action

④ Service for fee basis for sampling + analysis –

8 plants represented

⑤ Sept 23 – Summary

⑥ Cliff Set up ad hoc comm.
Each of 8 men on Comm.
3 – 4 working members
MC – ex officio advisor

NOT to be done

(– U.L. – test of flammable oil)
→ ① PSC
→

MONS 060375

Howard – man/sample – plus analyze samples
Offered – Howard to call Cliff –

MC – ex officio member WBP –

7



GF Shu - look at GE/MC/westinghouse presentations in May

Does MC

MONS 060376