Administrator of USEPA will publish in the Federal Register the Agency's final action on the Order in 40 CFR Part 65.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 65

Air pollution control.

Authority.—42 U.S.C. 7401–7642.

Dated: June 11th, 1986.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 86–13974 Filed 6–19–86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

46 CFR Parts 510, 580, and 582

[Docket No. 86–19]

Anti-Rebating Certification by Those Engaged in the Foreign Commerce of the United States

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule: notice of availability of finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: The Federal Maritime Commission's Office of Special Studies has determined that the proposed rule published on May 15, 1986 [51 FR 17754], will not, if adopted, constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the preparation of an environmental impact statement is not required.

DATE: The Finding of No Significant Impact will become final unless a petition for review is filed pursuant to 46 CFR 504.6(b).

ADDRESS: Submit petition(s) for review of the finding to: John Robert Ewers, Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20573.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert G. Drew, Director, Bureau of Tariffs, Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20573, (202) 523–5796.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Availability of Finding of No Significant Impact

Upon completion of an environmental assessment, the Federal Maritime Commission's Office of Special Studies has determined that Docket No. 86–19 will not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. section 4321 *et seq.*, and the preparation of an environmental impact statement is not required.

The Commission proposed to amend its rules governing the filing of anti-rebating certificates in the U.S. foreign commerce. The purpose of the proposed rule is, among other things, to establish uniform application of anti-rebating rules for common carriers and freight forwarders, and provide that companies which function in more than one capacity need file only one anti-rebating certificate.

This Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) will become final within 10 days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register unless a petition for review is filed pursuant to 46 CFR 504.6(b).

The FONSI and related environmental assessment are available for inspection upon request from the Office of the Secretary, Room 11101, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, telephone (202) 523–5725.

By the Commission.

John Robert Ewers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86–13881 Filed 6–19–86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

49 CFR Ch. X

[Ex Parte No. 445 (Sub–2)]

Intramodal Competition Proportional Rates; Petition Denied

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Petition for rulemaking denied.

SUMMARY: Petitions requesting the Commission to institute a rulemaking and to adopt a rule requiring railroads to publish proportional rates on demand of shippers or connecting carriers are denied. In Ex Parte No. 445 (Sub-No. 1), *Intramodal Rail Competition*, 1 I.C.C. 2d 822 (1985), *(Intramodal)*, the Commission specifically declined to mandate proportional rates, as such a requirement might conflict with other competitive access measures adopted in that proceeding. Further, the proposed rule is inconsistent with the Staggers Act's focus that we control railroad pricing only when competition is ineffective. Finally, the proposed rule is unnecessary as a predicate for any legislation that the Commission might recommend.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 20, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald Shaw (202) 275–7972

or

Joseph Lynch (202) 275–6441.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Additional information is contained in the Commission's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decisions, write to T.S. InfoSystems, Inc., Room 2229, Interstate Commerce Commission Building, Washington, DC 20423, or call 289–4357 (DC Metropolitan area), or toll-free (800) 424–5403.

This action does not significantly affect the quality of the human environment or energy conservation.

Decided: May 29, 1986.

By the Commission, Chairman Gradison, Vice Chairman Simmons, Commissioners Sterrett, Andre, and Lamboley. Commissioner Lamboley dissented with a separate expression.

Noreta R. McGee,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86–13960 Filed 6–19–86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

49 CFR Ch. X

[Ex Parte No. MC–178 (Sub–1)]

Petition for Investigation of Insurance Surcharges

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Extension of time to file comments to notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This proceeding is meant to determine whether carriers should be prohibited from excluding revenues earned under insurance-related surcharges from computation of gross revenues. We instituted this rulemaking and requested that comments be filed by June 18, 1986, in a notice published on May 19, 1986 (51 FR 18346). For good cause shown, we will grant a 45-day extension of time to file comments as requested by the American Trucking Associations, Inc. This will permit their members time to discuss and determine the practical effects on the proposed rule, to conduct whatever studies are necessary, and to submit complete comments. The extension will also permit the Owner-Operators Independent Drivers Association of America, Inc. (who requested a 30-day extension) to contact as many of its owner-operator members as possible so that they can submit their individual views.

DATES: Comments must be filed by August 4, 1986.

GLEASON-000801