

Message

From: F Boudier [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
Sent: 12/11/2017 2:34:57 AM
To: Beck, Nancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=168ecb5184ac44de95a913297f353745-Beck, Nancy]
Subject: Re: Frederic's slides, Clear background rather than blue

Dear Nancy,
Of course I knew about your job at EPA, but did not read this horrible characterisation ...If I remember JG also received his fair share of nonsense. Sad.
See you on Tuesday !
Frederic

On Mon, Dec 11, 2017 at 12:22 AM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:
> Yes much to discuss.
> I look forward to seeing you Tuesday.
> Just wanted to be sure you were aware of my new position at EPA. Here is how
> one group has characterized. So you can see why I'm a bit sensitive!
> <https://www.ewg.org/planet-trump/2017/05/scariest-trump-appointee-you-ve-never-heard#.Wi3BRYV0mEc>
>
> Nancy
>
> _____
> Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
> Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
> P: 202-564-1273
> M: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
> Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

> On Dec 10, 2017, at 10:39 AM, F Boudier [Ex. 6] wrote:
>
> Dear Nancy,
> Hope you are well looking forward to meeting and to the panel . I totally
> agree with the point raised actually and this is a myth SRA is here to
> debunk. We are helping bring more science and not the other way round . But
> I see the debate in some circles , very vivid in Europe
> Something interesting to discuss on the panel .
>
> We can have a chat about the study itself around a beer - key people we know
> were involved in the selection of the "elites" (just a conventional
> terminology). All this will be clarified in the forthcoming article.
> Kind regards
> Frederic

> On Sun, 10 Dec 2017 at 09:45, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:
>>
>> Thank you for sharing these.
>>
>> Frederic- while its hard to know what you will say, I have a few
>> questions:
>>
>> Slide 5- notes you conducted 20+ elite interviews. I'm not sure what
>> "elite" means. It may help to clarify this, clarify if you interviewed
>> anyone from the US, clarify is this subset included the regulated community,
>> and also note that this was a convenience sample.
>>
>>
>>
>> Slides 6/7- the heading says what has "clearly changed", and again its
>> hard to know what you may say, but the sub-bullets below seems to raise
>> questions where the answer is not clear so perhaps the heading should not
>> refer to items as "clearly changed". For instance, one can also come from
>> what the press and environmental groups have a called a "corporate
>> background" but also be a scientist with appropriate credentials (aka
>> perhaps someone we both know J).
>>
>>
>>
>> Finally, on slide 9, it is interesting that you say that risk analysis can
>> bring more science into the policy determinations. The sad reality, is that,

>> at least in the case of the chemicals/pesticide world, it is because we are
>> bringing a risk based approach (hazard and exposure) that we are being seen
>> as anti-science, and less interested in public health protections. So
>> actually the risk based approach is having a complete opposite effect on the
>> perception (which your slide 6 almost encourages) of things being
>> anti-science. I think that is an important point that we all need to be
>> aware of.

>>
>> Risk based approaches are seen by the environmental groups (UCS, EDF, EWG,
>> NRDC, etc) as anti-science and less protective.

>>
>>
>> Nancy

>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>> Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
>> Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
>> P: 202-564-1273
>> M: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
>> Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

>>
>>
>> From: Sally Kane [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
>> Sent: Sunday, December 10, 2017 12:47 AM
>> To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Lisa A. Robinson
>> <robinson@hsph.harvard.edu>; Joe Arvai <ilarvai@umich.edu>
>> Cc: Terje <terje.aven@uis.no>; [Ex. 6]
>> Subject: Frederic's slides, Clear background rather than blue

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Hi. Frederic created this version for me making it easier to print. I
>> believe it is essentially the same as his original.
>>
>> Sally