

1 He seems to be proceeding on a theory, or a
2 cause of action, that really disassociates Ford's
3 conduct away from any product, and I don't understand
4 that.

5 I mean, basically in his introductory
6 remarks, I think Mr. Hoffman made the strongest case
7 for my motion for judgment. And I understand the
8 Court overruled that.

9 But I think the fact remains that there is
10 no evidence here that the plaintiff was ever exposed
11 to any product supplied or manufactured -- I don't
12 think there is any evidence of manufactured, but
13 supplied or manufactured by Ford, and I am not exactly
14 sure where we go with the plaintiff's interesting
15 theory of negligence.

16 THE COURT: I wouldn't suggest you repeat
17 yourself, but if you want to --

18 MR. HOFFMAN: No, no, Rule -- which one is
19 it? 2?

20 THE COURT: No. Rule 2 is not going to
21 apply. Rule 2 is not going to apply.