

all, by stating on information and belief the degree of knowledge of a particular fact, event or subject as held by a person at a specific time. Such answer, if given, is not intended and should not be deemed to constitute an acknowledgment by Abex that such knowledge is attributable to it.

The failure of plaintiff to limit these interrogatories to information related to Abex's alleged liability in this case renders the interrogatories as a whole, irrelevant to the subject matter of this action individually, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Objection is also made to the extent these interrogatories assume the truth of matters not established, and on the grounds that they seek information which is not relevant to the subject matter of this lawsuit and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Objection is also made to these interrogatories to the extent that they seek information or materials which have been gathered or prepared in the course of the asbestos litigation, or which is otherwise subject to the attorney-client privilege, protected by the attorney work-product doctrine, the rule protecting materials prepared in anticipation of and/or in connection with litigation, or any other applicable privilege. Abex further objects to these interrogatories to the extent these discovery requests seek or make inquiry into confidential, proprietary or trade secret information or materials.

To the extent that these interrogatories seek information regarding alleged potential health risks to individuals who worked at plants where Abex's asbestos-containing