July 29, 1971

Mr. James N. Andrews
Holly Farms Poultry Industries, Inc.
P. O. Box 88
Wilkesboro, North Carolina

Dear Jim:

In accordance with our telephone conversation, the following are results from samples we picked up at your feed mill laboratory in Mocksville:

Holly Farms No.	NCDA No.	Description of Product	PCB (ppm), expressed as Aroclor 1242
37140	F.R. 77567	Poultry By-Product Meal	0.46
36977	F.R. 77568	Rendered Beef Tallow	0.89
36963	F.R. 77569	Rendered Animal Fat, possibly a little poultry fat	0.67
36976	F.R. 77570	Pure Poultry Grease	4.75
37071	F.R. 77571	Hydrolyzed Animal and Vegetable Oil	Not analyzed as yet
36997	F.R. 77572	Poultry By-Product Meal	0.43
36969	F.R. 77573	Dried Bakery Products	0.53
36968	F.R. 77574	Peruvian Fish Meal	10.6
36761	F.R. 77575	Dried Bakery Products	0.43
36959	F.R. 77576	Rendered Animal Fat, possibly some poultry fat	Not analyzed as yet
	F.R. 77623	Peruvian Fish Meal, from Swift Turkey Farms, Harrells, N. C.	8.55
	F.R. 77624	Peruvian Fish Meal, from Ralston Purina Co., Wilson, N.C.	1.5

PCB-ARCH-EXT0378690

You will recall that we had some reservation also about the bakery meal. Samples H. F. 36769 and 36761 do not appear to be grossly adulterated with PCB, however, the sample that I mentioned to you in which we found 25.5 ppm has been verified by the Food and Drug Administration. They found 29 and 33 ppm in the same sample. At this point we are adopting a "look-see" attitude and are attempting to pick up more samples. I am somewhat puzzled at the results Mac Millan Laboratories obtained on their runs. This may reflect cleanup procedures which International Fortrition Corporation has taken since our meeting here in Raleigh.

I am happy to find that none of the poultry samples analyzed to date by CSMS have been found excessive.

Yours very truly,

W. Y. Cobb
State Chemist

WYC/DHD

PCB-ARCH-EXT0378691