

1 this is the kind of thing I talk about regarding state
2 of the art?

3 A I'd be happy to.

4 Q Okay. Would you please do so?

5 A Sure. With respect to asbestos and state of
6 the art I would say that asbestosis was thought to be
7 occurring, they didn't know it was asbestosis, but some
8 lung ailment in the early 1900s right at the turn of
9 the century. It took until 1930 for them to know that
10 asbestos did cause a unique disease called asbestosis.
11 That's made very clear in Meriweather and Price.

12 In 1955 the suspicions that sufficient doses of
13 asbestos in one form or another, if the dose is
14 sufficient, can increase the risk of lung cancer and
15 that's Doll's paper.

16 In 1960 Wagner is fairly certain that the
17 amphiboles can cause an increased risk of mesothelioma.

18 In 1964 Selikoff shows that things that were
19 thought to be not of significant risk with respect to
20 end product insulation, he finds there's an elevated
21 risk if the dose and duration are sufficient, certainly
22 for the amphiboles.

23 And then there becomes a regulatory flurry of
24 activity that begins around 1970 and continues through
25 1994. And that's both in the United States and in