

FILE NAME: Early Asbestos Damage Suits (EADS)

DATE: 1930

DOC#: EADS011

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Documents from Case of Pauline Lasin

SOMERSET COUNTY

PAULINE LASIN, administratrix
ad prosequendum of the rights, goods, chattels and credits of
JOHN LASIN, deceased, contact with, and inhaled, the

said dangerous (Plaintiff, particle, to the extent

his lungs, lungs and body became infected

C O M P L A I N T

JOHNS-MANVILLE CORPORATION, a
corporation of the State of
New York, 5. Intestate was not informed or warned by

the defendant and Defendant, knowledge, of the nature of the

asbestos fibers and particles with which

he was in close proximity by the defendant's

The plaintiff, Pauline Lasin, administratrix ad
prosequendum of the rights, goods, chattels and credits
of John Lasin, deceased, residing at Manville, New Jersey,
says that:

1. The intestate, John Lasin, was in the
employ of the defendant corporation, at its plant in
Manville, New Jersey, in the year 1928, and for several
years prior thereto.

2. The defendant corporation, at the time herein
set forth, was engaged in the manufacture of asbestos
products for commercial purposes.

3. The said defendant employed the intestate
at the time and place above set forth in its manufacture
of said asbestos products, and the said defendant not only
knew, but should have known, that the said asbestos
products contained certain dangerous, insidious and harm
agencies composed of asbestos fibers and other particles
that were destructive to life and health in the human
body into close contact with the same.

trouble, so I did not cash my check.
About a week or 2 (two) after receiving
check for \$15.00 Mrs. Saran brought me
cash money, and said, here Mrs. Lavinia
is \$15.00 cash money from the lawyer. I refused
to take it. I got scared that I signed away
my house so I went to this lawyer in
Newark with Jasinski a friend of mine.
Jasinski is American born. Jasinski
demanded to see the papers which I signed
and the lawyer said that I have signed
a case against the Company, and I would
get money from the State and from the Comp.
The lawyer asked me what I did with the
checks, and I told him that I lost them.
I do not want to fight this John Maunell
Co, I never intended to sue this Corp.
and I am willing to sign at any time
papers discontinuing or action against
John Maunell, because I did not let
the lawyer to sue this Company. This
statement was read to me in Polish
and the same is true and correct. — I
made this statement on my free will, as
was not promised any money for this
statement. Policki Lavinia.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

PAULINE LASIN, administratrix :
ad prosequendum of the rights,
goods, chattels and credits of :
JOHN LASIN, deceased, : On motion to quash summons
: and to dismiss complaint.
Plaintiff, :
: ORDER FOR DISCONTINUANCE
vs. :

JOHNS-MANVILLE CORPORATION, a
corporation of the State of :
New York, :
Defendant. :

This matter coming on to be heard in the presence
of the attorneys of the parties (Samuel Greenstone appearing
for the plaintiff and Hobart & Minard appearing for the
defendant) on the return of the rule to show cause allowed
herein on March 7, 1930, why the summons should not be
quashed and the complaint dismissed on the grounds stated
in said rule, and it appearing that a copy of said rule was
duly served upon the plaintiff, and the plaintiff's attorney
within the time and in the manner required by said rule; and
the attorney of the plaintiff having stated in open court
that although he had been properly retained and authorized
to commence the above action, he did not desire to continue
it against the present wishes of his client and would
therefore consent to a discontinuance of the same;

ORDERED, that said action be and the same is
hereby discontinued without costs.

DATED: April 14 1930.

Rule entered this 15
day of April, 1930

George F. Lake
U. S. D. J.