

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Talcum powder</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER <i>#1</i>	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM <i>A. Schultze</i>	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>1% Chrysotile on Talc. Collaborative study of microscopic method of identification of asbestos in Talc.</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Chrysotile - 800 fibers/mg 2. Tremolite - none observed 3. Talc > 95% 4. Carbonate 2.1% 5. Qtz 1% 6. Hematite Trace 7. Chlorite none observed.</i> <i>% by best vol estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>24 May 74</i>					
13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>John W. Hunt</i>		13b. NUMBER		13c. PC	
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	
				a. BY b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
1. PMS NO. PROBLEM HIA/DCC NO. NO. OF LOTS HOURS					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET

3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM Talc Prod A. Schulze	6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION #2
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE 0.1% Tremolite in Talc Collaborative study of microscopic method of identification of asbestos in				
8. NET CON- TENTS	☐ NOT APPLICABLE	☐ DECLARED	9. LABEL- ING	☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED
	☐ NOT DETERMINED	AMOUNT FOUND		☐ COPIES SUBMITT
	☐ UNITS EXAMINED	% OF DECLARED		☐ NONE

10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

1. Tremolite - 3000 fibers/mg
- 2 Talc 795%
- 3 Carbonate <1%
- 4 Qtz <1%
- 5 Hematite Trace
- 6 Chlorite none observed
- 7 Chrysotile " "

11. RESERVE SAMPLE

12. DATE REPORTED 28 May 74	13a. ANALYST(s) NAME John W. Stewart	13b. NUMBER	13c. PC	14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	a. BY
					b. DATE

15. PROGRAM DATA		OPERATION	ACTIVITY	METHOD (Check no more than two)	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROS
1.					(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM
2.					(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐
3.					(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L)

ANALYST WORK SHEET

3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE 4. DATE RECD 5. RECEIVED FROM 6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION

7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE

Talcum Powder
collaborative study

8. NET
CON-
TENTS

☐ NOT APPLICABLE
☐ NOT DETERMINED
☐ UNITS EXAMINED

☐ DECLARED
AMOUNT FOUND
% OF DECLARED

9. LABEL-
ING

☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED
☐ COPIES SUBMITTED
☐ NONE

10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

1 Talc 50-60%
2 carbonate 40-50%
3 Qtz none observed
4 Hematite Trace
5 Chlorite none observed
6 Chrysotile "
7 Tremolite 5000 lbs/ing

11. RESERVE SAMPLE

12. DATE
REPORTED

29 May 74

13a. ANALYST(S) NAME

John W. Stuart

13b. NUMBER

13c. PC

14. CALCULA-
TIONS
CHECKED

a. BY

b. DATE

15. PROGRAM DATA

OPERATION

ACTIVITY

METHOD (Check no more than two)

(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROS
(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM
(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐
(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L)

	PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET

1. PRODUCT

Talcum Powder

#4

3. SEALS

☐ INTACT

4. DATE RECD

5. RECEIVED FROM

6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION

☐ BROKEN☐ NONE

H. Schu/22

7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE

Talcum USP
Collaborative Study

8.

NET
CON-
TENTS☐ NOT APPLICABLE☐ NOT DETERMINED☐ UNITS EXAMINED☐ DECLARED

AMOUNT FOUND

% OF DECLARED

9.

LABEL-
ING☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED☐ COPIES SUBMIT☐ NONE

10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

1. Talc 795%
2. Carbonate none observed.
3. Qtz " "
4. Hematite " "
5. Chlorite " "
6. Chrysotile " "
7. Tremolite " "
8. isotropic material (?) < 1%

11. RESERVE SAMPLE

12. DATE
REPORTED

30 Nov 74

13a. ANALYST(S) NAME

John W. Hunt

13b. NUMBER

13c. PC

14. CALCULA-
TIONS
CHECKED

a. BY

b. DATE

15. PROGRAM DATA

OPERATION

ACTIVITY

METHOD (Check no more than two)

(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROS(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L)

PMS NO.

PROBLEM

HIA/DCC NO.

NO.
OF
LOTS

HOURS

1.

2.

3.

Active
for month of June 74

1. The following names were given to

samples were taken by hand

microscopy etc. at the same time

After day: #5 149, 152, 154, 151, 153, 153

156, 157, 158, 159, 160 and 165. From

of North Carolina where we saw a lot

and later found a lot of other

specimens. The things in the

laboratory analysis were

2. The DTH has been observed, and

and one of the specimens

is being analyzed.

3. Next day we had a few more

and DTH analysis and

the DTH analysis of the

specimens.

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Talc. Powder</i>	2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM	6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>White powder - Talc. Powder</i>				
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ____ UNITS EXAMINED		DECLARED/UNIT _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc. Powder 2. ... 3. ... 4. Hematite ... 5. ... 6. ... 7. ...</i>				
11. RESERVE SAMPLE				
12. DATE REPORTED		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>J. A. ...</i>		13b. NUMBER
				13c. PC
				14. METHOD/ CACULA- TION CHECK
				a. BY
				b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA				
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS
1.				
2.				
3.				
(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC (X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM (P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN (C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO				

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Talc. Powder</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ BROKEN ☒ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVIS					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Whitman Child - Powder, Talc # 6</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ____ UNITS EXAMINED		DECLARED/UNIT _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMI ☐ NONE	

10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 70-85% 2. vermiculite none observed 3. Gt 20-25% 4. Hematite trace 5. ... 1 lb observed 6. ... 7. ... 8. ... 9. best id. ...	
11. RESERVE SAMPLE	

12. DATE REPORTED <i>2/2/52</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John A. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC	14. METHOD/ CACULA- TION CHECK	a. BY	b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA		OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)		
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICRO (X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM (P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) [(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L			
1.								
2.								
3.								

ANALYST WORK SHEET					
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM A. Schulze	
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE Talc, Italian Stearin Talc M 53460 fr. All-Brite Trading Co., Metairie, La.					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		DECLARED/UNIT AMOUNT FOUND % OF DECLARED		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ ☐ COPIES SUBMIT ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc > 95% 2. Carbonate ± 1% 3. Qtz - % 4. Hematite - trace 5. Silica - 1% 6. Pyrite - 1% 7. Magnetite - 1%					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED 3/15/74		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME J. A. Schulze		13b. NUMBER	
				13c. PC	
				14. METHOD/CALCULATION CHECK METHOD/CALCULATION CHECK	
				a. BY	
				b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
				(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICRO	
				(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) [	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L)	

ANALYST WORK SHEET

Talcum Powder.

3. SEALS ☐ BROKEN ☒ INTACT ☐ NONE	4. DATE RECD Feb 74	5. RECEIVED FROM Wm Eisenberg	6. DISTRICT OR DIVIS
--	------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------

7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE

Peoples Baby Powder. purchased fr. Ste
Sample #11 given to Bob Rolle of J+J
CTFA analysis (Round Robin)

8. NET CON- TENTS	☐ NOT APPLICABLE	DECLARED/UNIT _____	9. LABEL- ING	☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED
	☐ NOT DETERMINED	AMOUNT FOUND _____		☐ _____ COPIES SUBMI
	____ UNITS EXAMINED	% OF DECLARED _____		☐ NONE

10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

1. Talc 90%
2. Carbonate 5-10%
3. Hematite <1%
4. Qtz 1-5%
5. Chlorite none observed
6. Chrysotile " "
7. Tremolite " "
8. x/s of $n_x > 1.59$ material gr.
along Hexagonal lines (epitaxial
on Talc plates

11. RESERVE SAMPLE

12. DATE REPORTED 31 July 74	13a. ANALYST(s) NAME John W. Stewart	13b. NUMBER	13c. PC	14. METHOD/ CALCULA- TION CHECK	a. BY
					b. DATE

15. PROGRAM DATA		OPERATION	ACTIVITY	METHOD (Check no more than two)	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DEC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICRO:
2.					(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM
3.					(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) [
					(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L

ANALYST WORK SHEET

1. PRODUCT

Talc Pwd.

3. SEALS

☐ INTACT

4. DATE RECD

5. RECEIVED FROM

6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION

☒ BROKEN☐ NONE

7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE

USP Talc, Fisher Cat # T-4

8.

NET
CON-
TENTS☐ NOT APPLICABLE☐ NOT DETERMINED

UNITS EXAMINED

DECLARED/UNIT

AMOUNT FOUND

% OF DECLARED

9.

LABEL-
ING☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED☐ COPIES SUBMITTED☐ NONE

10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

1. Talc ~ 95%
2. Carbonate 1-5%
3. Qtz < 1%
4. Hematite none observed
5. Chlorite " "
6. Chrysotile " "
7. Tremolite " "

11. RESERVE SAMPLE

12. DATE
REPORTED

31 July 74

13a. ANALYST(S) NAME

John W. Stewart

13b. NUMBER

13c. PC

14. METHOD/
CALCULATION
CHECK

a. BY

b. DATE

15. PROGRAM DATA

OPERATION

ACTIVITY

METHOD (Check no more than two)

(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICRO:(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) [(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L)

PMS NO.

PROBLEM

HIA/DCC NO.

NO.
OF
LOTS

HOURS

1.

2.

3.