

To: Dravis, Samantha[dravis.samantha@epa.gov]; Dunham, Sarah[Dunham.Sarah@epa.gov]; Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]
From: Laura Kate Bender
Sent: Fri 4/28/2017 8:13:39 PM
Subject: Comment for Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190
Letter requesting 60 Day extension for EPA regulatory reform EO comment period.pdf

April 28, 2017

Samantha Dravis and Ryan Jackson

Regulatory Reform Task Force

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

CC: Sarah Dunham

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Re: Comments on EPA actions implementing Executive Order 13777, "Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda." Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190.

Dear Ms. Dravis and Mr. Jackson:

The American Lung Association appreciates the opportunity to comment on EPA's actions to implement Executive Order 13777. We plan to file more detailed comments before May 15, but first ask that you extend this comment period by an addition 60 days to July 15, 2017, given the sweeping scope and critical nature of the topics it covers.

EPA is seeking input on regulations that may be appropriate for repeal, replacement, or modification. This request will undoubtedly produce numerous comments on a very wide range of issues. For example, the Lung Association will be submitting detailed comments on the importance of retaining and enforcing a number of lifesaving, health-science-based safeguards under the Clean Air Act, each of which was the subject of its own comment period and one or multiple public hearings.

Regulations under the Clean Air Act are critically important, and represent but only one subset of the full scope of regulations that fall under this comment period. Given the importance of these safeguards for the health of the public, we ask EPA for a 60-day extension of this comment period. This extension is critical to ensure robust input from stakeholders, including those who can speak to the positive impacts of existing Clean Air Act protections.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Harold Wimmer". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned at the top left of the page.

Harold P. Wimmer

National President and CEO

American Lung Association

Harold P. Wimmer
National President and
CEO

April 28, 2017

Samantha Dravis and Ryan Jackson
Regulatory Reform Task Force
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

CC: Sarah Dunham
Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Re: Comments on EPA actions implementing Executive Order 13777, "Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda." Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190.

Dear Ms. Dravis and Mr. Jackson:

The American Lung Association appreciates the opportunity to comment on EPA's actions to implement Executive Order 13777. We plan to file more detailed comments before May 15, but first ask that you extend this comment period by an addition 60 days to July 15, 2017, given the sweeping scope and critical nature of the topics it covers.

EPA is seeking input on regulations that may be appropriate for repeal, replacement, or modification. This request will undoubtedly produce numerous comments on a very wide range of issues. For example, the Lung Association will be submitting detailed comments on the importance of retaining and enforcing a number of lifesaving, health-science-based safeguards under the Clean Air Act, each of which was the subject of its own comment period and one or multiple public hearings.

Regulations under the Clean Air Act are critically important, and represent but only one subset of the full scope of regulations that fall under this comment period. Given the importance of these safeguards for the health of the public, we ask EPA for a 60-day extension of this comment period. This extension is critical to ensure robust input from stakeholders, including those who can speak to the positive impacts of existing Clean Air Act protections.

Sincerely,



Harold P. Wimmer
National President and CEO

Advocacy Office:

1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 1425 North
Washington, DC 20004-1710
Ph: 202-785-3355 F: 202-452-1805

Corporate Office:

55 West Wacker Drive, Suite 1150 | Chicago, IL 60601
Ph: 312-801-7630 F: 202-452-1805 info@Lung.org

To: Dravis, Samantha[dravis.samantha@epa.gov]; Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]
Cc: Dunham, Sarah[Dunham.Sarah@epa.gov]
From: Laura Kate Bender
Sent: Mon 5/1/2017 9:53:00 PM
Subject: Comment for Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190
EPA Regulatory Reform Comment Cover Letter.pdf

Attached please find additional comments from the American Lung Association for Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190. Thank you.

Harold P. Wimmer
National President and
CEO

May 1, 2017

Samantha Dravis and Ryan Jackson
Regulatory Reform Task Force
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
William J. Clinton Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20460

Re: Comments on EPA actions implementing Executive Order 13777, "Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda." Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2017-0190.

Dear Ms. Dravis and Mr. Jackson:

The American Lung Association appreciates the opportunity to comment on U.S. EPA's actions to implement Executive Order 13777. EPA has requested input on regulations that may be appropriate for repeal, replacement, or modification. The Lung Association urges EPA to recognize the importance of retaining and enforcing a number of lifesaving, health-science-based safeguards under the Clean Air Act. We reiterate our request that EPA extend the comment period for 60 days until July 15, 2017.

The Clean Air Act is one of the nation's greatest public health laws. The standards and safeguards adopted to implement the Act have led to significantly cleaner and healthier air for all Americans, saving tens of thousands of lives each year. These benefits will continue into the future, unless the standards and safeguards are weakened or their implementation is ignored or unfunded. **The Lung Association urges you to recognize and protect these well-established benefits** when reviewing recommendations for repeal, replacement or modification.

The Lung Association further urges you to ensure that any recommendations to alter the regulations currently in place go through a separate transparent, public and accessible review and comment process consistent with the Clean Air Act, a process that is at least as open and thorough as the process required to initially develop and adopt the regulations.

Decades of evidence show the nation has successfully reduced dangerous air pollution thanks to safeguards and standards under the Clean Air Act. Cleaner air reduces the burden on the health and the risk to the lives of millions of Americans. Cleaner air keeps them out of the emergency room and hospital and enables them to continue to attend school and work. The cost of repealing these clean air protections would be high.

Advocacy Office:

1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 1425 North
Washington, DC 20004-1710
Ph: 202-785-3355 F: 202-452-1805

Corporate Office:

55 West Wacker Drive, Suite 1150 | Chicago, IL 60601
Ph: 312-801-7630 F: 202-452-1805 info@Lung.org

Since 1970, when a bipartisan Congress strengthened the Clean Air Act, the nation has reduced the emissions of some of the most widespread and dangerous air pollutants by more than 70 percent. At the same time, the U.S. economy grew by 240 percent, the population grew by 50 percent and the use of energy increased by 44 percent. ¹

A 2011 peer-reviewed study calculated that the steps put in place to clean up air pollution between 1990 and 2010 prevented 160,000 premature deaths in 2010, and that the annual benefit would grow to 230,000 lives saved in 2020. The study estimated every \$1 spent in cleaning pollution generated \$30 in benefits.²

The standards and safeguards that provide those benefits each included extensive reviews, including of the science and of technology, and involved a public comment process. Some went through repeated reviews, multiple public hearings and extended comment periods.

Solid science provided the foundation of this process. Published, peer-reviewed research informed decisions about complex issues in the standards and safeguards. The reviews explored the evidence based in epidemiological, toxicological, and clinical studies, using research from the U.S. and around the world. For technological requirements on sources of pollution, EPA reviewed and incorporated the best approaches and technology currently in use.

For decades, the American Lung Association has submitted comments on these proposals to EPA that express support for the need to adopt strong, protective standards to comply with the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Since many of these standards may now be under review, the Lung Association submits these comments again to discuss the abundant evidence for their need and to underscore their expected benefits. In many cases, the Lung Association urged EPA to adopt even stronger standards and safeguards for public health than EPA eventually adopted.

Below is a list of the comments attached to this document, each submitted to the previous dockets. In many, public health and medical groups have joined with the Lung Association in submitting these comments.

- [Comments from Health and Medical Groups on the Midterm Evaluation of Light-Duty Vehicle GHG Emission Standards \(September 26, 2016\)](#)
- [Comments from Health, Medical & Nursing Organizations to EPA on Methane Information Collection Request \(August 2, 2016\)](#)
- [Comments to EPA on proposed revision to the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule \(Feb 1, 2016\)](#)
- [Health Groups Comments on Proposed Methane Standards \(December 4, 2015\)](#)
- [Comment From More Than 600 Health and Medical Professionals Urging EPA to Adopt Strong Methane Standards \(December 4, 2015\)](#)
- [Comment from national health and medical groups to EPA on the ozone standard: National Health and Medical Groups support strong ozone standard \(March 17, 2015\)](#)
- [Comment from more than 1,000 Health and Medical Professionals re: urging EPA to adopt most protective ozone air quality standards \(March 17, 2015\)](#)
- [Comment from Health and Medical Groups on EPA's Clean Power Plan \(December 1, 2014\)](#)

- [Health and Medical Partners' Technical Comment on EPA Carbon Pollution Standards for New Power Plants \(May 9, 2014\)](#)
- [Comments to the EPA on the proposed New Source Performance Standards for Wood-burning Devices \(May 5, 2014\)](#)
- [Tier 3 Cleaner Gasoline and Vehicles Standards with 8 health and medical groups \(July 1, 2013\)](#)
- [Comment from health and medical professionals: Cleaner gasoline and vehicle standards \(July 1, 2013\)](#)
- [American Lung Association and National Medical and Health Partners on EPA's Proposed Particle \(Soot\) Standard \(August 31, 2012\)](#)
- [Letter from individual medical and health professionals on EPA's Proposed Particle \(Soot\) Standard \(August 31, 2012\)](#)
- [Comment to the Environmental Protection Agency with environmental groups on: Proposed Mercury and Air Toxics \(August 4, 2011\)](#)
- [Comment to Environmental Protection Agency re: Clean Air Transport Rule \(October 1, 2010\)](#)

Sincerely,



Harold P. Wimmer
National President and CEO
American Lung Association

CC: Sarah Dunham, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

¹ U.S. EPA, [Air Pollution Emissions Trends Data, 2016](#); U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis, [GDP and the National Income and Product Account Historical Tables, 2016](#).

² US. EPA. [Benefits and Costs of the Clean Air Act 1990-2020, the Second Prospective Study, April 2011](#).

To: Dravis, Samantha[dravis.samantha@epa.gov]
From: Hilary Moffett
Sent: Wed 5/3/2017 2:57:40 PM
Subject: FW: Reconsideration of the Final Rule - Oil and Natural Gas Sector: Emission Standards for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources (NSPS OOOOa)
2017 05 01 NSPS OOOOa Letter to EPA Administrator Pruitt Final.pdf

Hey Samantha,

Just wanted to make sure you saw this letter. It details what we believe are the next steps for the NSPS rulemaking approach that EPA is taking. Please let me know if you have questions or want to chat about this in more detail.

Thanks!

Hilary