

Committee.

Immediately prior to the meeting, Mr. Lee and Mr. Bohrer phoned to indicate that they could not attend the October 25 meeting as last minute internal corporate meetings had preempted their time. Both indicated that they intend to serve this Committee, but would be unable to attend this particular meeting.

The full committee roster is indicated on page one of the minutes. It was suggested that other Institute members might wish to add members to this Committee. One member stated that all friction materials manufacturers should participate in this Committee work. The Secretary stated that too large a Committee might be unwieldy and that participation might best come from individuals specifically qualified to serve the Committee.

PURPOSE, SCOPE AND NAME OF COMMITTEE

When the Asbestos Study Committee was formed in 1971, the Institute was concerned with effects from the Williams-Steiger Act, which was officially called the "Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970." One of the first substances to be regulated under the Act was asbestos. In 1970-71, there was also activity on the State level from the Illinois Pollution Control Board. The likelihood of asbestos regulations from Federal and State Authorities triggered the forming of a Committee to review what was taking place and to give input to regulatory authorities. This, of course, expanded to the Environmental Protection Agency and others as the regulatory authorities targeted asbestos as a major carcinogen. Institute concern was mainly in the asbestos area, because asbestos was a main ingredient in friction materials and was receiving the most attention in Washington and elsewhere.

The recent initiative by the Board of Directors in re-casting and expanding the Committee was to reorient it to the regulatory atmosphere in Washington. It was felt that in certain areas, the Asbestos Information Association did not represent friction materials manufacturers because AIA and FMSI interests might not be common. One of the purposes of the expanded Committee was to maintain better liaison with Washington. One purpose was to talk with those in the Department of Labor and in Toxic Substances Control.

Among the interests of the expanded Committee could be materials other than asbestos. These could be, for example, lead, silica, fiberglass, and solvents used in processing. The Committee would not be involved with the safety of products which would ordinarily be the function of the Brake Performance Study Committee. In other words, brake performance and friction properties would not be the concern of this Committee. The main thrust would be health in the work place and in the environment. This would include disposal of the final product.

There is no written charter for this Committee. The functions of the Committee would be those recommended by the Committee members and