



**U.S. EPA, Region 5 Enforcement and
Compliance Assurance Division
77 West Jackson Street, Chicago, IL 60604**

UNPERMITTED INDUSTRIAL FACILITY STORMWATER INSPECTION REPORT

Inspection Date(s):			5/6/2022		
Time:			Entry: 8:48 AM		Exit: 9:55 AM
Weather Conditions:			Light rain, Temperature 45°F		
Media/Program:			Water – CWA §§ 301, 402 – Industrial Stormwater		
Operator Name:			AMG Resources		
Owner Name:			AMG Resources		
Facility or Site Name:			AMG Resources - Gary		
Permit ID or Tracking # (leave blank if no permit):					
SIC Code(s) (Primary & Relevant Others):			5093		
Facility Address:			459 Cline Avenue		
(City, State, Zip Code)			Gary, Indiana,		
County:			Lake County		
Geographic Coordinates:			Lat/Long: 41.616421, - 87.431533		
Operator Mailing address:			459 Cline Avenue, Gary, Indiana 46406		
Owner Mailing address:			459 Cline Avenue, Gary, Indiana 46406		
Regular Days/Hours of Operation:			6:00 am – 9:00 pm, Monday - Friday		
# of Employees at location:			9 - 14		
Size of Facility (in acres):			16 acres		
Receiving Water(s):			Grand Calumet River		
Date facility est. @ location:					
Onsite Representative:					
Name: Shannon Jordan		Title: Operations Manager		Phone #: 219-707-9528	
				Email: sjordan@amgresources.com	
Authorized Official:			→ Contacted? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Name: Shannon Jordan		Title: Operations Manager		Phone #:	
				Email:	
Additional Personnel Participating in Inspection:					
Name:		Title:			
Inspector(s):					
Name(s):		Title:		Phone #:	
Andi Hodaj		Environmental Engineer		312-353-4645	
Matthew Schulte		Physical Scientist		312-886-2405	
Inspection Report Author:					
Name: Andi Hodaj			Signature/Date:		
Supervisor Review:					
Name: Molly Smith			Signature/Date: MOLLY SMITH		<small>Digitally signed by MOLLY SMITH Date: 2022.07.01 15:50:15 -05'00'</small>

SECTION I – INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Inspection

The purpose of the inspection was to determine compliance with the industrial stormwater requirements under §§ 301 and 402(p) of the CWA and its implementing regulations found at 40 CFR Part 122.26. The inspection was (check one) unannounced announced and consisted of interviewing facility representatives, recording field observations, and taking photographs to document site conditions throughout the facility at the time of the inspection.

Opening Conference

- 1) Brief narrative documenting those present, introductions, and explanation of the purpose of the inspection.

Attendees: Andi Hodaj and Matthew Schulte, US EPA

Shannon Jordan, AMG Resources

Andi Hodaj and Matthew Schulte (Collectively, EPA) introduced themselves and showed the inspector credentials to Mr. Jordan. EPA explained the purpose of the inspection and asked for some information on the operations taking place at the facility. EPA asked for permission to do a walkthrough of the outdoor area of the facility and take photographs.

- 2) Credentials presented to: Shannon Jordan
- 3) Confidential Business Information (CBI) reviewed: yes no
- 4) Facility has been individually notified by Permit authority or EPA that it is subject to stormwater permitting requirements? Yes No Describe:
- 5) Has the Facility applied for an Industrial Stormwater Permit or a Waiver from the requirement to have one (such as through the filing of a No Exposure Certification)? Yes No Describe:
- 6) Overview of Facility (attach, if available):
- a) Aerial of facility with immediate environs, especially any storm drain inlets and surface waters
 Yes No;
- b) Site map showing industrial processes and locations Yes No; and
- c) Facility schematics labeling industrial processes occurring onsite Yes No.

FACILITY'S OPERATION & PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Brief description of business and industrial activities occurring throughout the site. Include operator's description and note any documentation that further establishes SIC code (permit applications, reports, business registries, website, etc).

Facility is a "bale and rail" operation. It bales tin cans and ships them out. It also recycles old rail cars. According to Mr. Jordan, there are fuel tanks and hydraulic oil on-site.

Other industrial facilities owned/operated by same business entity? Yes No Describe:

SECTION II – OBSERVATIONS

SITE EVALUATION

Pollutant Sources	Note location (including whether occurring indoor or outdoor, and whether outdoor areas are paved or unpaved), quantity/size, design issues, any operation and maintenance (O&M) deficiencies (including the nature and extent), potential pollutants, and evidence of exposure to stormwater. Are best management practices (BMPs) in place to minimize or eliminate stormwater discharges from industrial activities? If so, please describe.
Loading/Unloading Operations	None observed.
Industrial Manufacturing/ Processing Operations	None observed.
Industrial Machinery & Equipment Storage	EPA observed the baler press being stored in a covered shed.
Storage of Industrial Materials or Products	Tin cans are baled and stored on site. EPA observed other big metal parts on-site.
Liquid Storage (e.g., Tanks, Liquid Storage Drums)	EPA observed fuel and oil tanks on-site with no secondary containment.
Pollutant Sources	Note location (including whether occurring indoor or outdoor, and whether outdoor areas are paved or unpaved), quantity/size, design issues, any O&M deficiencies (including the nature and extent), potential pollutants, and evidence of exposure to stormwater. Are BMPs in place to minimize or eliminate stormwater discharges from industrial activities? If so, please describe.
Waste Storage/Disposal Areas (solid and/or hazardous)	None observed.
Waste Treatment Facilities (e.g., Pretreatment Systems)	None observed.
Fueling Stations/Equipment Maintenance Areas & Cleaning Areas	EPA observed fueling stations with fuel tanks with no secondary containment.

Sediment & Erosion Controls	None observed.
Spills/Leaks Handling	N/A
Outside Shelters	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary (Date Established _____) <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent N/A

OUTFALL, STORMWATER DISCHARGE & RECEIVING WATER OBSERVATIONS

List any established stormwater discharge points. For each such discharge point, describe its location, the Facility areas it serves, Receiving Water/MS4 into which it discharges, Receiving Water appearance, date the discharge point was established, and evidence of present or past discharge (e.g., Facility records or visual evidence such as stains, deposits, ponding).	None observed.
Note evidence of any discharge pathways from the Facility not otherwise noted above, and for each pathway, describe its location, slope, the Facility areas it may serve, evidence of past or present migration of pollutants offsite via the pathway (e.g., Facility records or visual evidence such as stains, deposits, ponding), Receiving Water/MS4, and Receiving Water appearance.	EPA observed a pathway from the facility to a roadside ditch, west of the facility, which should evidence of being able to flow south to the Grand Calumet River. At the time of the inspection, EPA did not observe flow in the roadside ditch, only the markings of erosion. Erosion on the west side of the facility and by the property's fence, had created a channel that could be a pathway for stormwater from the facility to the roadside ditch.
Note evidence of any non-stormwater discharges, including process water discharges, from the Facility, and for any such discharge, describe its nature, source, discharge location, Receiving Water/MS4, Receiving Water appearance, and discharge authorization, if any.	None observed.

SECTION III – AREAS OF CONCERN

- EPA observed fuel and oil tanks on-site with no secondary containment.

- EPA observed an erosion channel to support the transportation of stormwater flows from the site to the Grand Calumet River. Erosion had created a channel that could route stormwater flows from the facility, then to the roadside ditch, and eventually to the Grand Calumet River.

SECTION IV – CLOSING CONFERENCE

Attendees: Andi Hodaj, Matthew Schulte, both of EPA, and Shannon Jordan of AMG Resources.

Was CBI collected? Yes No Describe: Mr. Jordan claimed all the photos that were taken during the inspection as CBI.

Explanation of next steps: The final inspection report will typically be sent to the facility within 70 days. EPA has the option to offer expedited settlement agreements where facilities meet certain criteria.

SECTION V – LIST OF ATTACHMENTS (as identified in #6 above, where applicable)

Attachment A – Aerial of facility

Attachment B – Photograph Log